



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

LANE MEDICAL LIBRARY STAFFORD
X268 QND 1901

AUG 12 1975

LANE

MEDICAL



LIBRARY


Gift

Walter A. Jillson, M D.

Jillson

LANE MEDICAL LIBRARY
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
MEDICAL CENTER
STANFORD, CALIF. 94305





ORGANON
OF
MEDICINE

BY
SAMUEL HAHNEMANN.

Aude sapere.

TRANSLATED FROM THE FIFTH EDITION, WITH AN APPENDIX,

BY
R. E. DUDGEON, M. D.

AMERICAN EDITION.

PHILADELPHIA:
BOERICKE & TAFEL,
1901.

AUTHORIZED EDITION.

260
162
191

TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.

IN this new edition of my translation of the ORGANON I have completely revised the text, in order to make it a still more exact reproduction of the original. In the Appendix I have given all the more important variations of the previous editions. I have also indicated the corresponding views as set forth in the *Essay on a New Principle* and the *Medicine of Experience*, both of which essays may be regarded as the precursor of the ORGANON. I have added Hahnemann's later opinions on several subjects treated of in this work. In the growth of such a complex thing as a new system of medicine, it was inevitable that there should be considerable alterations and improvements effected in the course of forty-eight years, the time occupied by Hahnemann in the elaboration of his novel doctrine and practice. His first idea of the homœopathic rule of practice occurred to him while translating Cullen's *Materia Medica* in 1790. The *Essay on a New Principle*, in which he propounded the homœopathic therapeutic rule, as yet believed by him to be of only "partial application," viz. to some chronic diseases, was published in 1796. Nine years after this, viz. in 1805, in the *Medicine of Experience*, he enunciated the rule with no such limitations of its applicability. This essay contains much of what we find in the first and later editions of the ORGANON. The first edition of this latter work appeared in 1810. The second edition, differing very considerably from the first, was published in 1819. The third edition, which hardly differed at all from the previous one, appeared in 1824. The fourth edition,

which offers some important variations from the text of its immediate predecessor (chiefly determined by the new theory of chronic diseases), bears the date of 1829. The fifth and last edition, published in 1833, contains several novelties, such as the theories of the "vital force" and "the dynamisation of medicines." In previous editions Hahnemann had in several places spoken rather slightly of the vital force and its influence on the production and cure of disease, but these expressions are either eliminated or greatly modified in the last edition, and the "vital force" occupies quite a different and a much more important position in regard to disease, its cause and cure. The doctrine of dynamisation of medicines by the pharmaceutical processes peculiar to homœopathy, which had only been hinted at in previous editions, is in this edition distinctly stated. The directions as to the repetition of the dose are also different from those in previous editions. These two last-named points are still further modified in Hahnemann's later work on *Chronic Diseases* (1838), as will be seen by the quotations I have made from that work.

Thus while the body of this work contains the ORGANON precisely as it appears in the last edition, the Appendix gives a detailed history of the origin, growth and progress of the homœopathic system of medicine in the mind of its author.

I have not presumed to criticise the views or statements of the author. His denunciations of the practice of the old school, though quite deserved when he wrote, are not applicable to the present condition of allopathic medicine. It is beyond all question that it was mainly owing to the treatment and practice of Hahnemann and his disciples that the disastrous methods in vogue for centuries previous to and far into his time have been abandoned. It remains, however, doubtful if the allopathic methods of the present day have any greater claim to scientific character or success than those they have superseded. Were Hahnemann alive now we can easily imagine how he would have inveighed against the school-medicine of the present day. The tonic, stimulant, anti-

pyretic and narcotic practice of modern medicine is as far removed from the scientific simplicity of homœopathy as were the venesections, blisters, cauteries, purgatives and mercurialisations against which Hahnemann waged successful war. Hahnemann's vigorous protest against the dominant medicine of his day is useful as showing the negative good effects of homœopathy, for almost all the irrational practices he denounced have been abandoned; it remains for his followers to exhibit its positive effects in the victory of rational and scientific medicine.

I am indebted to Dr. Richard Hughes for several emendations of my first translation, whereby the author's meaning has been rendered more exact and clearer; also for some rectifications of Hahnemann's quotations and for the idea of a comparative table or concordance of the aphorisms in the several editions, which he gave in the *British Journal of Homœopathy*, vol. xxxix.

The references in the text to the notes in the Appendix are indicated by the sign "(a)," and some needful explanatory notes are enclosed in square brackets, or divided from the text by a line. The latter are confined to the quotations in the Appendix.

R. E. DUDGEON.

March, 1893.

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

ACCORDING to the testimony of all ages, no occupation is more unanimously declared to be a conjectural art than medicine; consequently none has less right to refuse a searching enquiry as to whether it is well founded than it, on which man's health, his most precious possession on earth, depends.

I consider that it redounds to my honour that I am the only one in recent times who has subjected it to a serious honest investigation, and has communicated to the world the results of his convictions in writings published, some with, some without my name.

In this investigation I found the way to the truth, but I had to tread it alone, very far from the common highway of medical routine. The farther I advanced from truth to truth, the more my conclusions (none of which I accepted unless confirmed by experience) led me away from the old edifice, which, being built up of opinions, was only maintained by opinions.

The results of my convictions are set forth in this book.

It remains to be seen whether physicians, who mean to act honestly by their conscience and by their fellow-creatures, will continue to stick to the pernicious tissue of conjectures and caprice, or can open their eyes to the salutary truth.

I must warn the reader that indolence, love of ease and obstinacy preclude effective service at the altar of truth, and only freedom from prejudice and untiring zeal qualify for the most sacred of all human occupations, the practice of the true system of medicine. The physician

who enters on his work in this spirit becomes directly assimilated to the Divine Creator of the world, whose human creatures he helps to preserve, and whose approval renders him thrice blessed.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

PHYSICIANS are my brethren; I have nothing against them personally. The medical art is my subject.

I have to inquire whether medicine as hitherto taught has, in all its parts, been merely developed out of the heads, the self-deception and the caprice of its professors, or whether it has been derived from nature.

If it be merely a product of speculative subtlety, arbitrary maxims, traditional practices and capricious deductions drawn from ambiguous premises, it is and remains a *nullity*, though it may reckon its age by thousands of years, and be decorated with the charters of all the kings and emperors of the earth.

The true healing art is in its nature a pure science of experience, and can and must rest on clear facts and on the sensible phenomena pertaining to their sphere of action, for all the subjects it has to deal with are clearly and satisfactorily cognizable by the senses through experience. Knowledge of the disease to be treated, knowledge of the effects of the medicines, and how the ascertained effects of the medicines are to be employed for the removal of diseases, all this experience alone teaches adequately. Its subjects can only be derived from pure experiences and observations, and it dares not take a single step out of the sphere of pure well-observed experience and experiment, if it would avoid becoming a nullity, a farce.

But that the whole art of medicine as hitherto practised, though it has been, for want of something better, prac-

tised for these 2500 years by millions of physicians, many of whom were earnest high-minded men, is yet in every respect an extremely stupid, useless and thoroughly *null* affair, is proved by the following few incontrovertible considerations.

Unaided reason can know nothing of itself (*a priori*), can evolve *out of itself alone* no conception of the nature of things, of cause and effect; *every one* of its conclusions about the actual must *always* be based on sensible perceptions, facts and experiences if it would elicit the truth. If in its operation it should deviate *by a single step* from the guidance of perception, it would lose itself in the illimitable region of phantasy and of arbitrary speculation, the mother of pernicious illusion and of absolute nullity.

In the pure *sciences of experience*, in physics, chemistry and medicine, merely speculative reason can consequently have no voice; there *when it acts alone*, it degenerates into empty speculation and phantasy, and produces only hazardous hypotheses, which in millions of instances are, and by their very nature must be, self-deception and falsehood.

Such has hitherto been the splendid juggling of so-called theoretical medicine, in which *a priori* conceptions and speculative subtleties raised a number of proud schools, which only showed what each of their founders had dreamed about things which could not be known, and which were of no use for the cure of diseases.

Out of these sublime systems, soaring far beyond all experience, medical practice could obtain nothing available for actual treatment. So it pursued its course confidently at the patient's bedside in accord with the traditional prescriptions of its books telling how physicians had hitherto treated, and in conformity with the methods of its practical authorities, unconcerned, like them, about the teachings of nature-guided experience, unconcerned about true reasons for its treatment, and quite content with the key to easy practice—the prescription book.

A healthy, unprejudiced, conscientious examination of

this confused business shows plainly that what has hitherto gone by the name of "the art of medicine" was merely a pseudo-scientific fabrication, remodelled from time to time to meet the prevailing fashion in medical systems, like Gellert's hat in the fable, but, as regards the treatment of disease, ever the same blind, pernicious method.

A healing art conformable to nature and experience did not exist. Everything in traditional medicine was the outcome of art and imagination, having no foundation in experience, but pranked out in the habiliments of probability.

The object of cure (the disease) was manufactured to order by pathology. It was arbitrarily settled what diseases, how many and what forms and kinds there should be. Just think! The whole range of diseases, produced in innumerable and *always unforeseeable variety* by infinite Nature in human beings exposed to thousands of different conditions, the pathologist cuts down so ruthlessly that a mere handful of cut and dry forms is the result!

The wiseacres define diseases *a priori*, and attributed to them transcendental substrata not warranted by experience (how could plain pure experience ever sanction such fantastic dreams?); no! they pretended to possess an insight into the inner nature of things and the invisible vital processes, which no mortal can have.

Now, in order to decide on something positive with regard to the instruments of cure, the powers of the different medicines in the materia medica were *inferred* from their physical, chemical and other *irrelevant* qualities, also from their odour, taste and external aspect, but chiefly from impure experiences at the sick bed, where, in the tumult of the morbid symptoms, only mixtures of medicines were prescribed for imperfectly described cases of disease. Just think! the dynamic spiritual power of altering man's health hidden in the invisible interior of medicines, and never manifested *purely* and *truly* in any other way than by their effects on the healthy human body, was arbitrarily ascribed to them,

without interrogating the medicines themselves in this only admissible way of pure experiment, and listening to their response when so questioned!

Then therapeutics taught how to apply the medicines, whose qualities had been thus inferred, ascribed or imagined, to the supposed fundamental cause or to single symptoms of disease, in conformity with the rule *contraria contrariis* of the hypothesis-framer Galen, and in direct opposition to nature; and this doctrine was held to be more than sufficiently established if *eminent* authorities could be adduced in support of it.

All these unnatural human doctrines, after being connected together by all sorts of illogical false deductions, were then welded into scholastic forms by the noble art that devotes itself to division, subdivision and tabellation, and lo! the manufactured article, the *art of medicine*, was ready for use,—a thing the most opposed to nature and experience it is possible to conceive, a structure built up entirely of the opinions of various kinds furnished by thousands of differently constituted minds. In all its parts this edifice is a pure nullity, a pitiable self-deception, eminently fitted to imperil human life by its methods of treatment, blindly counter to the end proposed, incessantly ridiculed by the wisest men of all ages, and labouring under the curse of not being what it professes to be, and not being able to perform what it promises.

Sober, unprejudiced reflection, on the other hand, can easily convince us that to hold correct views about every case of disease we have to cure, to obtain an accurate knowledge of the true powers of medicines, to employ them on a plan adapted to each morbid condition and to administer them in proper dose,—in a word, the complete true healing art, can never be the work of self-satisfied ratiocination and illusory opinions, but that the requisites for this, the materials as well as the rules for its exercise, are only to be discovered by due attention to nature by means of our senses, by careful honest observations and by experiments conducted with all possible purity, and in no other way; and, rejecting every falsifying admixture of arbi-

trary dicta, must be faithfully sought in this the only way commensurate to the high value of precious human life.

It remains to be seen if by my conscientious labours in this way the true healing art has been found.

DR. SAMUEL HAHNEMANN.

LEIPZIG, end of the year 1818.

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

IN the five years since the publication of the Second Edition, the truth of the homœopathic healing art has found so much acceptance from physicians far and near, that it can no longer be obscured, still less extinguished, by abusive writings, of which, however, there is no lack. I rejoice at the benefit it has already conferred on humanity, and look forward with intense pleasure to the not distant time when, though I shall be no longer here below, a future generation of mankind will do justice to this gift of a gracious God, and will thankfully avail themselves of the blessed means He has provided for the alleviation of their bodily and mental sufferings.

A great help to the spread of the good cause in foreign lands is won by the good French translation of the last edition, recently brought out at great sacrifice, by that genuine philanthropist, my learned friend Baron von Brunnow.* He has enriched it with a preface which gives an exposition of the homœopathic healing art and its history, and at the same time serves as an introduction to the study of the work itself.

In this third edition I have not refrained from making any alterations and emendations suggested by increased knowledge and necessitated by further experience.

S. H.

KÖTHEN; *Easter*, 1824.

* *Organon de l'art de guérir; traduit de l'original allemand du Dr. Samuel Hahnemann, Conseiller de Son Altesse Sérénissime le Duc d'Anhalt-Köthen, par Erneste George de Brunnow; à Dresde, chez Arnold, libraire-éditeur, 1824.*

PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION.

WERE that nature whose self-help in diseases is believed by physicians of the traditional school to be the incomparable healing art, a close imitation of which should be the physician's highest aim, great Nature herself, *i. e.* the voice of ineffable wisdom of the great Artificer of the infinite universe, we should then feel constrained to be guided by this infallible voice, though we might be puzzled to understand why we physicians should, with our artificial interference by medicines, disturb or injuriously aggravate these presumably incomparable operations of nature's self-help in diseases (*vis medicatrix*); but this is far from being the case! That nature, whose self-help was alleged by the traditional school of medicine to be the incomparable healing art and the only thing worth imitating, is merely the individual nature of the organic man, is nothing but the instinctive, irrational, unreasoning vital force subject to the organic laws of our body, which is ordained by the Creator to maintain the functions and sensations of the organism in marvellously perfect condition so long as the man continues in good health, but was not intended nor adapted for the restoration in the best manner of deranged or lost health. For should our vital force have its integrity impaired by injurious influences from without, then this force strives instinctively and automatically to free itself from the adventitious derangement (disease) by revolutionary processes; but these very efforts are themselves disease; they are a second different malady substituted for the original one. The vital force, I say, produces, in accordance with the laws of the constitution of the

organism to which it is subject, a disease of a different sort, intended to expel the disease by which it was attacked, which it strives to accomplish by pain, metastases and so forth, but mainly by evacuations and the sacrifice of much of the fluid and solid constituents of the body, with difficult, often dubious, injurious, frequently even disastrous results.

Were it not that men in all ages were aware of this imperfection, and the not infrequent inadequacy of these blind efforts of the instinctive unreasoning vital force in its attempts at self-help in diseases, they would not have longed so much nor so zealously striven to assist the suffering vital force, so powerless to help itself efficiently, by the employment of better remedial means in order to terminate the morbid process in a more speedy and sure manner, thereby restoring the wished-for health as speedily as possible,—in a word, they would not have exerted themselves to discover a healing art.

But as what has hitherto been termed “healing art” was a mere (imperfect) imitation of those unhelpful, useless, not infrequently injurious efforts and operations of the instinctive, unreasoning vital force (misnamed nature) when left to itself in disease, it will, I think, be conceded that before me the true healing art was not discovered.

But that homœopathy is this healing art, which had hitherto been sought for in vain, its fundamental principles teach, its performances prove.

SAMUEL HAHNEMANN.

KÖTHEN; *January*, 1829.

PREFACE TO THE FIFTH EDITION.

IN order to give a general notion of the treatment of diseases pursued by the old school of medicine (allopathy), I may observe that it presupposes the existence sometimes of excess of blood (*plethora—which is never present*), sometimes of morbid matters and acridities; hence it taps off the life's blood and exerts itself either to clear away the imaginary disease-matter or to conduct it elsewhere (by emetics, purgatives, sialogogues, diaphoretics, diuretics, drawing plasters, setons, issues, &c.), in the vain belief that the disease will thereby be weakened and materially eradicated; in place of which the patient's sufferings are thereby increased, and by such and other painful appliances the forces and nutritious juices indispensable to the curative process are abstracted from the organism. It assails the body with large doses of powerful medicines, often repeated in rapid succession for a long time, whose long-enduring, not infrequently frightful effects it knows not, and which it, purposely it would almost seem, makes unrecognisable by the commingling of several such unknown substances in one prescription, and by their long-continued employment it develops in the body new and often ineradicable medicinal diseases. Whenever it can, it employs, in order to keep in favour with its patient,¹ remedies that immediately suppress

¹ For the same object the experienced allopath delights to invent a fixed name, by preference a Greek one, for the malady, in order to make the

and hide the morbid symptoms by opposition (*contraria contrariis*) for a short time (palliatives), but that leave the disposition to these symptoms (the disease itself) strengthened and aggravated. It considers affections on the exterior of the body as purely local and existing there independently, and vainly supposes that it has cured them when it has driven them away by means of external remedies, so that the internal affection is thereby compelled to break out on a nobler and more important part. When it knows not what else to do for the disease which will not yield or which grows worse, the old school of medicine undertakes to change it into something else, it knows not what, by means of an *alterative*,—for example, by the life-undermining calomel, corrosive sublimate and other mercurial preparations in large doses.

To render (through ignorance) if not fatal, at all events incurable, the vast majority ($\frac{9}{10}$) of all diseases, namely, those of a chronic character, by continually weakening and tormenting the debilitated patient, already suffering without that from his disease and by adding new destructive drug diseases, this clearly seems to be the unhallowed main business of the old school of medicine (allopathy)—*and a very easy business it is* when once one has become an adept in this pernicious practice, and is sufficiently insensible to the stings of conscience!

And yet for all these mischievous operations the ordinary physician of the old school can assign his reasons, which, however, rest only on foregone conclusions of his books and teachers, and on the authority of this or that distinguished physician of the old school. Even the most opposite and the most senseless modes of treatment find there their defence, their authority—let their disastrous effects speak ever so loudly against them. It is only under the old physician who has been at last gradually convinced, after many years of misdeeds, of the mischievous nature of his so-called art, and who no longer treats even the severest diseases with anything stronger

patient believe that he has long known this disease as an old acquaintance, and hence is the fittest person to cure it.

then plantain water mixed with strawberry syrup (*i. e.* with nothing), that the smallest number are injured and die.

This non-healing art, which for many centuries has been firmly established in full possession of the power to dispose of the life and death of patients according to its own good will and pleasure, and in that period has shortened the lives of ten times as many human beings as the most destructive wars, and rendered many millions of patients more diseased and wretched than they were originally—this allopathy, I shall first expose somewhat more minutely before teaching in detail its exact opposite, the newly discovered true healing art.

As regards the latter (homœopathy) it is quite otherwise. It can easily convince every reflecting person that the diseases of man are not caused by any substance, any acridity, that is to say, any disease-matter, but that they are solely spirit-like (dynamic) derangements of the spirit-like power (the vital force) that animates the human body. Homœopathy knows that a cure can only take place by the reaction of the vital force against the rightly chosen remedy that has been ingested, and that the cure will be certain and rapid in proportion to the strength with which the vital force still prevails in the patient. Hence homœopathy *avoids everything in the slightest degree enfeebling*,¹ and as much as possible every excitation of pain, for pain also diminishes the strength, and hence it employs for the cure **ONLY** those medicines whose effects in altering and deranging (dynamically) the health it knows *accurately*, and from these it selects one whose pathogenetic power (its medicinal disease) is capable of removing the natural disease in question by similarity (*similia similibus*), and this it administers to the patient in simple form, but in rare and minute doses

¹ Homœopathy sheds not a drop of blood, administers no emetics, purgatives, laxatives or diaphoretics, drives off no external affection by external means, prescribes no warm baths or medicated clysters, applies no Spanish flies or mustard plasters, no setons, no issues, excites no pyalism, burns not with moxa or red-hot iron to the very bone, and so forth, but gives with its own hand its own preparations of simple uncompounded medicines, which it is accurately acquainted with, never subdues pain by opium, &c.

(so small that, without occasioning pain or weakening, they just suffice to remove the natural malady by means of the reacting energy of the vital force), with this result: that without weakening, injuring or torturing him in the very least, the natural disease is extinguished, and the patient, even whilst he is getting better, gains in strength and thus is cured—an apparently easy but actually troublesome and difficult business, and one requiring much thought, but which restores the patient without suffering in a short time to perfect health,—and thus it is a salutary and blessed business.

Thus homœopathy is a perfectly simple system of medicine, remaining always fixed in its principles as in its practice, which, like the doctrine whereon it is based, if rightly apprehended will be found to be so exclusive (and *only in that way* serviceable), that as the doctrine must be accepted in its purity, so it must be purely practised, and all backward straying¹ to the pernicious routine of the old school (whose opposite it is, as day to night) is totally inadmissible, otherwise it ceases to deserve the honourable name of homœopathy.

That some misguided physicians who would wish to be considered homœopathsists, engraft some, to them more familiar, allopathic malpractices upon their nominally homœopathic treatment, is owing to ignorance of the doctrine, laziness, contempt for suffering humanity, and ridiculous conceit; and, besides showing unpardonable negligence in searching for *the best* homœopathic specific for each case of disease, has often a base love of gain and other sordid motives for its spring—and for its result? that they cannot cure all important and serious diseases (which pure and careful homœopathy can), and that they send many of their patients to that place

¹ I am therefore sorry that I once gave the advice, savouring of allopathy, to apply to the back in psoric diseases a resinous plaster to cause itching, and to employ the finest electrical sparks in paralytic affections. For as both these appliances have seldom proved of service, and have furnished the mongrel homœopathsists with an excuse for their allopathic transgressions, I am grieved I should ever have proposed them, and *I hereby solemnly retract them*—for this reason also, that, since then, our homœopathic system has advanced so near to perfection that they are *now no longer* required.

XX

PREFACE TO THE FIFTH EDITION.

whence no one returns, whilst the friends console themselves with the reflection that everything (including every hurtful allopathic process!) has been done for the departed.

SAMUEL HAHNEMANN.

KÖTHEN; *March 28th, 1833.*

CONTENTS. ^(a)

INTRODUCTION.

	PAGE.
Review of the therapeutics, allopathy and palliative treatment, that have hitherto been practised in the old school of medicine . . .	I
Non-medical persons have also found the treatment on the principle of similarity of action to be the only efficacious mode . . .	41
NOTE.—Isopathy	42
Even some physicians of an earlier period suspected that this was the most excellent mode of treatment	45

TEXT OF THE ORGANON 48

- § 1, 2. The sole mission of the physician is to cure rapidly, gently, permanently.
- NOTE.—Not to construct theoretical systems, nor to attempt to explain phenomena.
- 3, 4. He must investigate what is to be cured in disease and know what is curative in the various medicines, in order to be able to adapt the latter to the former, and must also understand how to preserve the health of human beings.
5. Attention to exciting and fundamental causes and other circumstances, as helps to cure.
6. For the physician, the disease consists only of the totality of its symptoms.
- NOTE.—The old school's futile attempts to discover the essential nature of disease (*prima causa*).
7. Whilst paying attention to those circumstances (§ 5) the physician needs only to remove the totality of the symptoms in order to cure the disease.
- NOTE 1.—The cause that manifestly produces and maintains the disease should be removed.
- NOTE 2.—The symptomatic palliative mode of treatment directed towards a single symptom is to be rejected.
8. If all the symptoms be eradicated, the disease is always cured internally also.
- NOTE.—This is stupidly denied by the old school.
9. During health a spiritual power (autocracy, vital force) animates the organism and keeps it in harmonious order.

- ‡ 10. Without this animating, spirit-like power the organism is dead.
11. In disease, the vital force only is primarily morbidly deranged, and expresses its sufferings (the internal change) by abnormal sensations and functions of the organism.

NOTE.—It is unnecessary for the cure to know how the vital force produces the symptoms.

12. By the disappearance of the totality of the symptoms by the cure, the affection of the vital force, that is to say, the whole internal and external morbid state is also removed.
13. To regard those diseases that are not surgical as a peculiar distinct thing residing in the human frame is an absurdity which has rendered allopathy so pernicious.
14. Everything of a morbid nature that is curable makes itself known to the physician by disease-symptoms.
15. The affection of the diseased vital force and the disease-symptoms thereby produced constitute an inseparable whole—they are one and the same.
16. It is only by the spiritual influences of morbidic noxæ that our spirit-like vital force can become ill; and in like manner, only by the spirit-like (dynamic) operation of medicines that it can be again restored to health.
17. The practitioner, therefore, only needs to take away the totality of the disease-signs, and he has removed the entire disease.

NOTES 1, 2.—Illustrative examples.

18. The totality of the symptoms is the only indication, the only guide to the selection of a remedy.
19. The alteration of the state of the health in diseases (the disease-symptoms) cannot be cured by the medicines otherwise than in so far as the latter have the power of also producing alterations in man's health.
20. This power of medicines to alter the state of the health can only be ascertained by their effects on (healthy) persons.
21. The morbid symptoms that medicines produce in healthy individuals are the only thing wherefrom we can learn their disease-curing power.
22. If experience should show that by medicines that possess *similar* symptoms to the disease the latter would be most certainly and permanently cured, we must select for the cure medicines with similar symptoms; but should it show that the disease is most certainly and permanently cured by *opposite* medicinal symptoms, we must choose for the cure medicines with opposite symptoms.

NOTE.—The employment of medicines whose symptoms have no actual (pathological) relation to the symptoms of the disease, but which act on the body in a different manner, is the *allopathic* method, which is to be rejected.

- ‡ 23. By opposite medicinal symptoms (*antipathic treatment*) persisting disease symptoms are not cured.
- 24, 25. The other remaining method of treatment, the *homœopathic*, by means of medicines with similar symptoms, is the only one that experience shows to be always salutary.
26. This is dependent on the therapeutic law of nature that a weaker dynamic affection in the living organism is permanently extinguished by one that is very similar to and stronger than it, only differing from it in kind.
- NOTE.—This applies both to physical affections and moral maladies.
27. The curative power of medicines, therefore, depends on the symptoms they have similar to the disease.
- 28, 29. Attempt to explain this therapeutic law of nature.
- NOTE.—Illustration of it.
- 30—33. The human body is much more disposed to let its state of health be altered by medicinal forces than by natural disease.
- 34, 35. The correctness of the homœopathic therapeutic law is shown in the want of success attending every unhomœopathic treatment of a long-standing disease, and in this also, that two natural diseases meeting together in the body, if they be dissimilar to each other, do not remove or cure one another.
36. I. The older disease existing in the body, if it be equally as strong or stronger, keeps away from the patient a new dissimilar disease.
37. Thus under unhomœopathic treatment that is not violent, chronic diseases remain as they were.
38. II. Or a new, stronger disease, attacking an individual already ill, suppresses only, as long as it lasts, the old disease that is dissimilar to it, already present in the body, but never removes it.
39. It is just in this way that violent treatment with allopathic drugs does not cure a chronic disease, but suppresses it only as long as the action of the powerful medicines, which are unable to excite any symptoms similar to the disease, lasts; after that, the chronic disease makes its appearance as bad or worse than before.
40. III. Or the new disease, after having long acted on the body, joins the old one that is dissimilar to it, and thence arises a double (complex) disease; neither of these two dissimilar diseases removes the other.
41. Much more frequently than in the course of nature, an artificial disease caused by the long-continued employment of powerful, inappropriate (allopathic) medicine in ordinary practice, associates itself with the old natural disease, which is dissimilar to (and therefore not curable by) the former, and the chronic patient now becomes doubly diseased.

- ‡ 42. These diseases that thus complicate one another take, on account of their dissimilarity, each the place in the organism suited for it.
- 43, 44. But quite otherwise is it on the accession of a stronger disease to a pre-existing one *similar* to it; in that case the latter will be removed and cured by the former.
45. Explanation of this phenomenon.
46. Instances of chronic diseases being cured by the accidental accession of another similar but stronger disease.
- 47—49. In cases where diseases come together in the course of nature, it is only one that displays similar symptoms that can remove and cure the other, a dissimilar disease can never do this; this should teach the physician what kind of medicines he can certainly cure with, namely, with homœopathic ones alone.
50. Nature has but few diseases to send to the homœopathic relief of other diseases, and these its remedial agents are accompanied by many inconveniences.
51. On the other hand, the physician has innumerable remedial agents, possessing great advantages over those.
52. From what takes place in nature, the physician may learn never to treat diseases with other than homœopathically selected medicines, whereby he will be able to cure them, which he never could do with heterogeneous (allopathic) remedies, that never cure, but only injure the patient.
- 53, 54. There are but three possible modes of employing medicines against diseases:
1. The homœopathic, which alone is efficacious;
 55. 2. The allopathic, or heteropathic;
 56. 3. The antipathic (enantiopathic) or palliative.
- NOTE.—Remarks on isopathy, as it is termed.
57. The method of treatment in which a remedy which displays an opposite action (*contraria contrariis*) is prescribed for a single symptom of the disease. Examples.
58. This antipathic procedure is not defective merely because it is directed against a single symptom of the disease only, but also because in persisting ailments, after it produces a short apparent amelioration, real aggravation ensues.
- NOTE.—Testimonies of authors to the truth of this.
59. Injurious effects of some antipathic modes of treatment.
60. Increasing the dose at every repetition of a palliative never cures a chronic affection, but does still more harm.
61. Whence physicians ought to have inferred the utility of an opposite and only good mode of treatment, to wit, the homœopathic.
62. The reason of the injurious nature of the palliative, and of the sole efficacy of the homœopathic employment of medicines.

- ‡ 63. Depends upon the difference between the primary action that takes place under the influence of every medicine, and the reaction or secondary action subsequently effected by the living organism (the vital force).
64. Explanation of the primary and secondary actions.
65. Examples of both.
66. From the smallest homœopathic doses of medicine employed in treatment, the secondary action of the vital force merely shows itself in the restoration of the balance of health.
67. These truths explain the salutary character of the homœopathic treatment, as also the perversity of the antipathic (palliative) method.

NOTE.—Cases in which the antipathic employment of medicines is alone admissible.

68. How is the efficacy of the homœopathic system proved by these truths?
69. How is the hurtfulness of the antipathic treatment proved by these truths?

NOTE 1.—Opposite sensations do not neutralise each other in the human sensorium; they are not, therefore, like opposite substances in chemistry.

NOTE 2.—Illustrative example.

70. Short summary of the homœopathic system of medicine.
71. The three points necessary for curing: (1) the investigation of the disease; (2) the investigation of the effects of the medicines; and (3) their appropriate employment.
72. General survey of diseases—acute, chronic.
73. Acute diseases that attack single individuals, sporadic, epidemic, acute miasms.
74. The worst kinds of chronic diseases are those produced by the unskilfulness of allopathic physicians.
75. These are the most incurable.
76. It is only when the vital force is still sufficiently powerful, that the injury can then be repaired, often only after a long time, if the original disease be at the same time homœopathically eradicated.
77. Diseases inappropriately named chronic.
78. Chronic diseases proper; they all arise from chronic miasms.
79. Syphilis and sycosis.
- 80, 81. Psora; it is the mother of all true chronic diseases except the syphilitic and sycotic.

NOTE.—Names of diseases in the ordinary pathology.

82. Among the more specific remedies discovered for these chronic miasms, especially for psora, the selection of those for the cure of each individual case of chronic disease is to be conducted all the more carefully.

- § 83. Requisites for apprehending the picture of the disease.
- 84—99. Instructions to the physician for investigating and tracing the picture of the disease.
- 100—102. Investigation of the epidemic diseases in particular.
103. In like manner must the fundamental cause of (non-syphilitic) chronic diseases be investigated and the great entire picture of psora be displayed.
104. Utility of noting down in writing the picture of the disease, for the purpose of curing, and in the progress of the treatment.
- NOTE.—How the old school physicians go about the investigation of the morbid state.
- 105—114. Preliminaries to be attended to in investigating the pure effects of medicines on healthy individuals. Primary action. Secondary action.
115. Alternating actions of medicines.
- 116, 117. Idiosyncrasies.
- 118, 119. The action of every medicine differs from that of every other.
- NOTE.—There can be no such things as surrogates.
120. Every medicine, therefore, must be carefully proved to ascertain the peculiarity of its special effects.
- 121—140. Mode of proceeding when we make trial of them on other persons.
141. The experiments of the healthy physician with medicines upon himself are the best.
142. The investigation of the pure effects of medicines in diseases is difficult.
- 143—145. Only from such investigations of the pure effects of medicines on healthy persons can a real *materia medica* be formed.
146. The most appropriate therapeutic employment of medicines known in their pure effects.
147. The medicine most homœopathically corresponding is the most suitable, is the specific remedy.
148. Explanation of how a homœopathic cure is probably effected.
149. The homœopathic cure of a disease that has occurred quickly is quickly effected; that of chronic diseases, however, demands proportionally more time.
- NOTE.—Difference betwixt pure homœopathists and the mongrel sect.
150. Slight ailments.
151. Important diseases have a number of symptoms.
152. For those with numerous striking symptoms a homœopathic remedy can be more certainly found.
153. What kind of symptoms ought one chiefly to attend to in the choice of a remedy?

- ‡ 154. A remedy as homœopathic as it is possible to be cures without much disturbance.
155. Cause of the freedom from disturbance of such cures.
156. Cause of the slight exceptions to this.
- 157—160. The medicinal disease very similar, but somewhat superior in strength, to the original disease, termed also *homœopathic aggravation*.
161. In chronic (psoric) diseases the homœopathic aggravations from (antipsoric) homœopathic medicines occur during a period of several days, from time to time.
- 162—171. Rules for treatment when the supply of known medicines is too small to allow a perfect homœopathic remedy to be discovered.
- 172—184. Rules for the treatment of diseases with too few symptoms: *one-sided diseases*.
- 185—203. Treatment of diseases with local symptoms; their external treatment is always injurious.
- 204, 205. All chronic affections and diseases properly so called (that are not merely produced and maintained by a bad mode of living) must be cured only from within, by the homœopathic medicines appropriate for the miasm that lies at their root.
206. Preliminary investigation of the miasm that lies at their root, of the simple miasm or its complications with a second (or even with a third).
207. Inquiry into the treatments previously employed.
- 208, 209. The other preliminary inquiries necessary for the apprehension of the morbid picture of the chronic disease.
- 210—230. Treatment of the so-called mental or emotional diseases.
- 231, 232. The intermittent and alternating diseases.
- 233, 234. The periodical intermittent diseases.
- 235—244. The intermittent fevers.
- 245—251. Mode of using the remedies.
NOTE.—Repetition of the doses.
- 252—256. Signs of commencing improvement.
- 257, 258. False predilection for favourite remedies and unjustifiable aversion to other medicines.
- 259—261. Regimen in chronic diseases.
NOTE.—Injurious things in the habits of life.
- 262, 263. Diet in acute diseases.
- 264—266. Selection of the most energetic, most genuine medicines.
NOTE.—Change effected in some substances in their preparation for food.
267. Preparation of the most powerful and most durable forms of medicines from plants that can be obtained fresh.
268. Dry vegetable substances.
NOTE.—Preparation of powders so that they shall keep.

- ‡ 269—271. The mode of preparing crude medicinal substances peculiar to homœopathy, so as to develop their curative powers to the utmost.
- 272—274. Only *one, single simple* medicine should be given to the patient at one time.
- 275—287. Strength of the doses for homœopathic use—how it may be increased or diminished. Their dynamization.
- 288—292. What parts of the body are more or less susceptible to the influence of the medicines?
- NOTE.—Advantages of the olfaction of highly potentized medicines over every other method of taking them.
- 293, 294. Animal magnetism (mesmerism). Its positive and negative employment.

APPENDIX.

Title	PAGE.
-------	-------

INTRODUCTION.

Heading	201
Allopathy	201
Variations in different editions	201
Tape-worm	204
Homœopathic therapeutic rule	204
Homœopathy	206
<i>Similia similibus curentur</i>	206
Examples of involuntary homœopathic cures in old-school practice	207
<i>Veratrum album in cholera</i>	207
<i>Sodorifics in sweating sickness</i>	208
<i>Purgatives in diarrhœa</i>	208
<i>Tobacco</i>	208
<i>Agaricus muscarius in epilepsy</i>	208
<i>Anise-seed in flatulent colic</i>	209
<i>Achillœa millefolium in hæmorrhages</i>	209
<i>Uva ursi in purulent urine</i>	209
<i>Conium in asthma, whooping-cough, dysuria and amaurosis</i>	209
<i>Colchicum in dropsy and asthma</i>	210
<i>Jalap in colic</i>	210
<i>Senna in colic</i>	211
<i>Dictamnus in leucorrhœa</i>	211

CONTENTS.

xxix

	PAGE.
<i>Clematis in cutaneous disease</i>	211
<i>Euphorbia in dropsy</i>	211
<i>Euphrasia in lippitudo</i>	211
<i>Nux moschata in fainting fits</i>	212
<i>Rose water in ophthalmia</i>	212
<i>Rhus in vesicular eruption and paralysis</i>	212
<i>Dulcamara in diseases from chill, convulsions, eczema, herpes</i>	213
<i>Solanum nigrum in dropsy</i>	214
<i>Sambucus in dropsy</i>	214
<i>Scilla in pleurisy</i>	214
<i>Stramonium in delusions, convulsions, loss of memory</i>	215
<i>Turpentine in dropsy and sciatica</i>	215
<i>Tea in gastralgia, convulsions and exhaustion</i>	215
<i>Cinchona in gastralgia, vomiting, diarrhœa, syncope, jaundice, depression of vital powers, indigestion, anorexia</i>	216
<i>Ipecacuanha in hæmorrhages, spasmodic asthma, diarrhœa</i>	216
<i>Ignatia in convulsions</i>	217
<i>Arnica in contusions</i>	217
<i>Belladonna in hydrophobia, insanity, amaurosis</i>	218
<i>Hyoscyamus in epilepsy, agrypnia, insanity, vertigo, ptosis, dysphagia, cough</i>	219
<i>Camphor in low nervous fevers and influenza</i>	221
<i>Aconite in pains of limbs and joints</i>	221
<i>Wine in inflammatory fevers, phrenitis, delirium</i>	222
<i>Tea in anxiety and palpitation</i>	222
<i>Opium in convulsions, sopor, lethargic fever, epilepsy, constipation, ileus</i>	223
<i>Sabina in uterine hæmorrhage</i>	226
<i>Moschus in spasmodic asthma</i>	226
<i>Cow-pox and smallpox</i>	226
<i>Cantharides in strangury and gonorrhœa</i>	227
<i>Sulphur in dysentery, hæmorrhoids, itch, asphyxia</i>	228
<i>Nitric acid in salivation and ulceration of fauces</i>	229
<i>Potash in tetanus</i>	229
<i>Arsenic in cancer, plague buboes, carbuncle, ague, angina pectoris</i>	230
<i>Cuprum in epilepsy</i>	231
<i>Stannum in phthisis and gastralgia</i>	231
<i>Plumbum in constipation, ileus, hypochondriasis</i>	232
<i>Mercury in inflammation of mouth, imbecility, salivation, sore-throat, aphthæ, caries</i>	233
<i>Electricity in ague, convulsions, sciatica, ophthalmia, varices</i>	235
<i>Hot baths in fever and encephalitis</i>	237

ORGANON.

	PAGE.
Concordance of all editions	238
Title	242
Variations in different editions	242
Vital force	245
Cinchona fever	247
Later explanation of the mode of homœopathic cure	249
Itch as a remedy	251
Isopathy, psorin	254
Palliative treatment	255
Prophylaxis of scarlatina	268
Sydenham on individuality of diseases	269
Directions for proving medicines	272
Repetition of dose in proving	273
Nenning's symptoms	273
Alternation of medicines	275
External remedies	276
Local treatment of chancre	278
Sycosis	282
Typical diseases	283
Alternating morbid states	284
Repetition of medicines in disease	285
Latest teachings on giving and repeating medicines	287
Hahnemann's posology	291
Previous directions for repeating medicines	292
Solutions of medicines	294
Dynamization of medicines	295
Preparation of dilutions or potencies	297
Ægidi's double medicines	297
Homœopathic aggravations	298
Increased sensitiveness in disease	299
Different dilutions for different medicines	300
Relative power of concentrated and divided doses	302
Dilutions beyond the thirtieth	303
Allowable non-homœopathic remedies	303

INTRODUCTION.^(a)

Review of the therapeutics, allopathy^(a) and palliative treatment that have hitherto been practised in the old school of medicine.

As long as men have existed they have been liable, individually or collectively, to diseases from physical or moral causes. In a rude state of nature but few remedial agents were required, as the simple mode of living admitted of but few diseases; with the civilisation of mankind in the state, on the contrary, the occasions of diseases and the necessity for medical aid increased in equal proportion. But ever since that time (soon after Hippocrates, therefore, for 2500 years) men have occupied themselves with the treatment of the ever increasing multiplicity of diseases, who, led astray by their vanity, sought by reasoning and guessing to excogitate the mode of furnishing this aid. Innumerable and dissimilar ideas respecting the nature of diseases and their remedies sprang from so many dissimilar brains, and the theoretical views these gave rise to they called *systems*, each of which was at variance with the rest and self-contradictory. Each of these subtle expositions at first threw the readers into stupefied amazement at the incomprehensible wisdom contained in it, and attracted to the system-monger a number of followers, who re-echoed his unnatural sophistry, to none of whom, however, was it of the slightest use in enabling them to cure better, until a new system, often diametrically opposed to the first, thrust that aside, and in its turn gained a short-lived

renown. None of them, however, was in consonance with nature and experience; they were mere theoretical webs, woven by cunning intellects out of pretended consequences, which could not be made use of in practice, in the treatment at the sick-bed, on account of their excessive subtilty and repugnance to nature, and only served for empty disputations.

Simultaneously, but quite independent of all these theories, there sprung up a mode of treatment with mixtures of unknown medicinal substances for forms of disease arbitrarily set up, and directed towards some material object completely at variance with nature and experience, hence, as may be supposed, with a bad result—such is old medicine, *allopathy* as it is termed.^(a)

Without disparaging the services which many physicians have rendered to the sciences auxiliary to medicine, to natural philosophy and chemistry, to natural history in its various branches, and to that of man in particular, to anthropology, physiology and anatomy, &c., I shall occupy myself here with the practical part of medicine only, with the healing art itself, in order to show how it is that diseases have hitherto been so imperfectly treated. Far beneath my notice is that mechanical routine of treating precious human life according to the prescription manuals, the continual publication of which shows, alas! how frequently they are still used. I pass it by unnoticed, as a despicable practice of the lowest class of ordinary practitioners. I speak merely of the medical art as hitherto practised, which, pluming itself on its antiquity, imagines itself to possess a scientific character.

The partisans of the old school of medicine flattered themselves that they could justly claim for it alone the title of "*rational medicine*," because they alone sought for and strove to remove the *cause of disease*, and followed *the method employed by nature in diseases*.

Tolle causam! they cried incessantly. But they went no further than this empty exclamation. *They only fancied* that they could discover the cause of disease; they did not discover it, however, as it is not perceptible

and not discoverable. For as far the greatest number of diseases are of dynamic (spiritual) origin and dynamic (spiritual) nature, their cause is therefore not perceptible to the senses; so they exerted themselves to imagine one, and from a survey of the parts of the normal, inanimate human body (anatomy), compared with the visible changes of the same internal parts in persons who had died of diseases (pathological anatomy), as also from what they could deduce from a comparison of the phenomena and functions in healthy life (physiology) with their endless alterations in the innumerable morbid states (pathology, semeiotics), to draw conclusions relative to the invisible process whereby the changes which take place in the *inward* being of man in diseases are affected—a dim picture of the imagination, which theoretical medicine regarded as its *prima causa morbi*,¹ and thus it was at one and the same time *the proximate cause of the disease*, and the internal essence of the disease, *the disease itself*—although, as sound human reason teaches us, the cause of a thing or of an event, can never be

¹ It would have been much more consonant with sound human reason and with the nature of things, had they, in order to be able to cure a disease, regarded the originating cause as the *causa morbi*, and endeavoured to discover that, and thus been enabled successfully to employ the mode of treatment which had shown itself useful in maladies having the same exciting cause, in those also of a similar origin, as, for example, the same mercury is efficacious in an ulcer of the glans after impure coitus, as in all previous venereal chancres—if, I say, they had discovered the exciting cause of all other (non-venereal) chronic diseases to be an infection at one period or another with the itch miasm (psora), and had found for all these a common method of treatment, regard being had for the peculiarities of each individual cause, whereby all and each of these chronic diseases might have been cured, then might they with justice have boasted that in the treatment of chronic diseases they had in view the *only available* and useful *causa morborum chronicorum (non venerorum)*, and with this as a basis they might have treated such diseases with the best results. But during these many centuries they were unable to cure the millions of chronic diseases, because they knew not their origin in the psoric miasm (which was first discovered and afterwards provided with a suitable plan of treatment by homœopathy), and yet they vaunted that they alone kept in view the *prima causa* of these diseases in their treatment, and that they alone treated rationally, although they had not the slightest conception of the only useful knowledge of their psoric origin and consequently they bungled the treatment of all chronic diseases!

at the same time the thing or the event itself. How could they then, without deceiving themselves, consider this imperceptible internal essence as the object to be treated, and prescribe for it medicines whose curative powers were likewise generally unknown to them, and even give several such unknown medicines mixed together in what are termed prescriptions?

But this sublime problem, the discovery, namely, *a priori*, of an internal invisible cause of disease, resolved itself, at least with the more astute physicians of the old school, into a search, under the guidance of the symptoms it is true, for what might be supposed to be the probable general *character* of the case of disease before them;¹ whether it was spasm, or debility, or paralysis, or fever, or inflammation, or induration, or obstruction of this or that part, or excess of blood (plethora), deficiency or excess of oxygen, carbon, hydrogen or nitrogen in the juices, exaltation or depression of the functions of the arterial, venous or capillary system, change in the relative proportion of the factors of sensibility, irritability or reproduction?—conjectures that have been dignified by the followers of the old school with the title of causal indication, and considered to be the only possible rationality in medicine; but which were assumptions, too fallacious and hypothetical to prove of any practical utility—incapable, even had they been well grounded, of indicating the most appropriate remedy for a case of disease; flattering, indeed, to the vanity of the learned theorist, but usually leading astray when used as guides to practice, and wherein there was evidenced more of ostentation than of an earnest search for the curative indication.

And how often has it happened that, for example, spasm or paralysis seemed to be in one part of the organism, while in another part inflammation was apparently present!

¹ Every physician who treats disease according to such general character however he may affect to claim the name of homœopathist, is and ever will remain in fact a generalising allopath, for without the most minute individualisation, homœopathy is not conceivable. (a)

Or, on the other hand, whence are the certain remedies for each of these pretended general characters to be derived? Those that would certainly be of benefit could be none other than the *specific* medicines, that is, those whose action is homogeneous¹ to the morbid irritation; whose employment, however, is denounced and forbidden by the old school as highly injurious, because observation has shown that in consequence of the receptivity for homogeneous irritation being so highly increased in diseases, such medicines in the usual large doses are dangerous to life. The old school never dreamt of smaller, and of extremely small doses. Accordingly no attempt was made to cure, in the direct (the most natural) way, by means of homogeneous, specific medicines; nor could it be done, as the effects of most of medicines were, and continued to remain, unknown, and even had they been known it would have been impossible to hit on the right medicine with such generalising views as were entertained.

However, perceiving that it was more consistent with reason to seek for another path, a straight one if possible, rather than to take circuitous courses, the old school of medicine believed it might cure diseases in a direct manner ^(a) by the *removal of the (imaginary) material cause of disease*—for to physicians of the ordinary school, while investigating and forming a judgment upon a disease, and not less while seeking for the curative indication, it was next to impossible to divest themselves of these materialistic ideas, and to regard the nature of the spiritual-corporeal organism as such a highly potentialised entity, that its sensational and functional vital changes, which

¹ Now termed homœopathic.

² “Where experience showed the curative power of homœopathically acting remedies, whose mode of action could not be explained, the difficulty was avoided by calling them *specific*, and further investigation was stifled by this actually unmeaning word. The homogeneous excitant remedies, the specific (homœopathic) medicines, however, had long previously been prohibited as of very injurious influence.”—Rau, *On the Value of the Homœopathic Method of Treatment*, Heidelberg, 1824, pp. 101, 102.

are called diseases, must be produced and effected chiefly, if not solely, by dynamic (spiritual^(a)) influences, and could not be effected in any other way.

The old school regarded all those matters which were altered by the disease, those abnormal matters that occurred in congestions, as well as those that were excreted, as disease-producers, or at least on account of their supposed reacting power, as disease maintainers, and this latter notion prevails to this day.

Hence they dreamed of effecting causal cures by endeavouring to remove these imaginary and presumed material causes of the disease. Hence their assiduous evacuation of the bile by vomiting in bilious fevers;¹ their emetics in cases of so-called stomach derangements;² their diligent purging away of the mucus, the

¹ The estimable Hofrath Dr. Rau (loc. cit., p. 176), at a time when not perfectly conversant with homœopathy, but firmly convinced of the dynamic cause of these fevers, cured them without employing any evacuating remedy, by means of one or two small doses of homœopathic remedies, two very remarkable cases of which he relates in his book.

² In a case of sudden derangement of the stomach, with constant disgusting eructations with the taste of the vitiated food, generally accompanied by depression of spirits, cold hands and feet, &c., the ordinary physician has hitherto been in the habit of attacking only the degenerated contents of the stomach; a powerful emetic should clean it out completely. This object was generally attained by tartar emetic, with or without ipecacuanha. Does the patient, however, immediately after this become well, brisk and cheerful? Oh no! Such a derangement of the stomach is usually of *dynamic origin*, caused by mental disturbance (grief, fright, vexation), a chill, over-exertion of the mind or body immediately after eating, often after even a moderate meal. Those two remedies are not suitable for removing this dynamic derangement, and just as little is the revolutionary vomiting they produce. Moreover, tartar emetic and ipecacuanha, from their other peculiar pathogenetic powers, prove of further injury to the patient's health, and derange the biliary secretion; so that if the patient be not very robust, he must feel ill for *several* days from the effects of this pretended causal treatment, notwithstanding all this violent expulsion of the whole contents of the stomach. If the patient, however, in place of taking such violent and always^(a) hurtful evacuant drugs, smell only a single time at a globule the size of a mustard seed, moistened with highly diluted *pulsatilla* juice, whereby the derangement of his health in general and of his stomach in particular will certainly be removed, in two hours he is quite well; and if the eructation recur once more, it consists of tasteless and inodorous air; the contents of the stomach cease to be vitiated, and at the next meal he has

lumbrici and the ascarides in children who are pale-faced and who suffer from ravenous appetite, bellyache, and enlarged abdomen;¹ their venesections in cases of hæmorrhage;² and more especially all their varieties of blood-

regained his full usual appetite; he is quite well and lively. This is true causal medication; the former is only an imaginary one and has an injurious effect on the patient.

Even a stomach overloaded with indigestible food *never* requires a medicinal emetic. In such a case nature is competent to rid herself of the excess in the best way through the œsophagus, by means of nausea, sickness and spontaneous vomiting, assisted, it may be, by mechanical irritation of the palate and fauces, and by this means the accessory medicinal effects of the emetic drugs are avoided; a small quantity of coffee expedites the passage downwards of what remains in the stomach.

But if, after excessive overloading of the stomach, the irritability of the stomach is not sufficient to promote spontaneous vomiting, or is lost altogether, so that the tendency thereto is extinguished, while there are at the same time great pains in the epigastrium, in such a paralyzed state of the stomach, an emetic medicine would only have the effect of producing a dangerous or fatal inflammation of the intestines; whereas a small quantity of strong infusion of coffee, frequently administered, would dynamically exalt the sunken irritability of the stomach, and put it in a condition to expel its contents, be they ever so great, either upwards or downwards. So here also the pretended causal treatment is out of place.

Even the acrid gastric acid, to eructations of which patients with chronic diseases are not infrequently subject, may be to-day violently evacuated by means of an emetic, with great suffering, and yet all in vain, for to-morrow or some days later it is replaced by similar acrid gastric acid, and then usually in larger quantities; whereas it goes away by itself when its dynamic cause is removed by a very small dose of a high dilution of *sulphuric acid*, or still better, if it is of frequent recurrence, by the employment of minutest doses of antipsoric remedies corresponding in similarity to the rest of the symptoms also. And of a similar character are many of the pretended causal cures of the old-school physicians, whose main effort it is, by means of tedious operations, troublesome to themselves and injurious to their patients, to clear away the material product of the dynamic derangement; whereas if they perceived the dynamic source of the affection, and annihilated it and its products homœopathically, they would thereby effect a rational *cure*.

¹ Conditions dependent solely on a psoric taint, and easily curable by mild (dynamic) antipsoric remedies without emetics or purgatives.

² Notwithstanding that almost all morbid hæmorrhages depend on a dynamic derangement of the vital force (state of health), yet the old-school physicians consider their cause to be excess of blood, and cannot refrain from bleeding in order to draw off the supposed superabundance of this vital fluid; the palpable evil consequences of which procedure, however, such as prostration of the strength, and the tendency, or actual transition, to the typhoid

lettings,¹ their main remedy in inflammations, which they

state they ascribe to the malignancy of the disease, *which they are then often unable to overcome*—in fine, they imagine, even when the patient does not recover, that their treatment has been in conformity with their axiom, *causam tolle*, and that, according to their mode of speaking, they have done everything in their power for the patient, let the result be what it may.

¹ Although there probably never was a drop of blood too much in the living human body, yet the old-school practitioners consider an imaginary excess of blood as the main material cause of all hæmorrhages and inflammations, which they must remove and drain off by venesections, cupping and leeches. This they hold to be a rational mode of treatment, causal medication. In general inflammatory fevers, in acute pleurisy, they even regard the coagulable lymph in the blood—the buffy coat, as it is termed—as the *materia peccans*, which they endeavour to get rid of, if possible, by repeated venesections, notwithstanding that this coat often becomes more consistent and thicker at every repetition of the bloodletting. They thus often bleed the patient nearly to death, when the inflammatory fever will not subside, in order to remove this buffy coat or the imaginary plethora, without suspecting that the inflammatory blood is only the product of the acute fever, of the morbid, immaterial (dynamic) inflammatory irritation, and that the latter is the sole cause of the great disturbance in the vascular system, and may be removed by the smallest dose of a homogeneous (homœopathic) medicine, as, for instance, by a small globule of the decillion-fold dilution of *aconite* juice, with abstinence from vegetable acids, so that *the most violent pleuritic fever, with all its alarming concomitants, is changed into health and cured, without the least abstraction of blood and without any antiphlogistic remedy, in a few—at the most in twenty-four—hours* (a small quantity of blood drawn from a vein by the way of experiment then shows no traces of buffy coat); whereas another patient similarly affected, and treated on the rational principles of the old school, if, after repeated bleedings, with great difficulty and unspeakable sufferings he escape for the nonce with life, he often has still many months to drag through before he can support his emaciated body on his legs, if in the mean time (as often happens from such maltreatment) he be not carried off by typhoid fever, leucophlegmasia or pulmonary phthisis.

Anyone who has felt the tranquil pulse of a man an hour before the occurrence of the rigor that always precedes an attack of acute pleurisy, will not be able to restrain his amazement if told two hours later, after the hot stage has commenced, that the enormous plethora present urgently requires repeated venesections, and will naturally inquire by what magic power could the pounds of blood that must now be drawn off have been conjured into the blood-vessels of this man within these two hours, which but two hours previously he had felt beating in such a tranquil manner? Not a single drachm more of blood can now be circulating in those vessels than existed when he was in good health, not yet two hours ago!

Accordingly the allopathic physician with his venesections draws from the patient labouring under acute fever no oppressive superabundance of blood, as that cannot possibly be present; he only robs him of what is indispensable to life and recovery, the normal quantity of blood and consequently

now, following the example of a well-known bloodthirsty Parisian physician (as a flock of sheep follow the bell-wether even into the butcher's slaughter-house), imagine to encounter in almost every morbidly affected part of the body, and feel themselves bound to remove by the application of often a fatal number of leeches.^(a) They believe that by so doing they obey the true causal indications, and treat disease in a rational manner. The adherents of the old school, moreover, believe that by putting a ligature on polypi, by cutting out, or artificially exciting suppuration by means of local irritants in indolent glandular swellings, by enucleating encysted tumours (steatoma and meliceria) by their operations for aneurysm and lacrimal and anal fistula, by removing with the knife scirrhus tumors of the breast, by amputating a limb affected with necrosis, &c., they cure the patient radically, and that their treatment is directed against the cause of the disease; and they also think, when they employ their *repellent* remedies, dry up old running ulcers in the legs with astringent applications of oxyde of lead, copper or zinc (aided always by the simultaneous administration of purgatives, which

of strength—a great loss which no physician's power can replace!—and yet he vainly imagines that he has conducted the treatment in conformity to his (misunderstood) axiom, *causam tolle*; whereas it is impossible that the *causa morbi* in this case can be an excess of blood, which is not present; but the sole true *causa morbi* was a morbid, dynamical, inflammatory irritation of the circulating system, as is proved by the rapid and permanent cure of this *and every similar case* of general inflammatory fever by one or two inconceivably minute doses of *aconite* juice, which removes such an irritation homœopathically.

The old school errs equally in the treatment of local inflammations with its topical bloodlettings, more especially with the quantities of leeches which are now applied according to the maniacal principles of Broussais. The palliative amelioration that at first ensues from the treatment is far from being crowned by a rapid and perfect cure; on the contrary, the weak and ailing state of the parts thus treated (frequently also of the whole body), which always remains, sufficiently shows the error that is committed in attributing the local inflammation to a local plethora, and how sad are the consequences of such abstractions of blood; whereas this purely dynamic, apparently local, inflammatory irritation, can be rapidly and permanently removed by an equally small dose of *aconite*, or, according to circumstances, of *belladonna*, and the whole disease annihilated and cured, without such unjustifiable shedding of blood.

merely debilitate, but have no effect on the fundamental dyscrasia), cauterise chancres, destroy condylomata locally, drive off itch from the skin with ointments of sulphur, oxyde of lead, mercury or zinc, suppresses ophthalmiæ with solutions of lead or zinc, and drive away tearing pains from the limbs by means of opodeldoc, hartshorn liniment or fumigations with cinnabar or amber; in every case they think they have removed the affection, conquered the disease, and pursued a rational treatment directed towards the cause. But *what is the result?* The metastatic affections that sooner or later, but inevitably appear, caused by this mode of treatment (but which they pretend are entirely new diseases), *which are always worse than the original malady*, sufficiently prove their error, and might and should open their eyes to the deeper-seated, immaterial nature of the disease, and its dynamic (spiritual) origin, which can only be removed by dynamic means.

A favourite idea of the ordinary school of medicine, until recent (would that I could not say the most recent!) times, was that of morbific matters (and acridities) in diseases, excessively subtle though they might be thought to be, which must be expelled from the blood-vessels and lymphatics, through the exhalents, skin, urinary apparatus or salivary glands, through the tracheal and bronchial glands in the form of expectoration, from the stomach and bowels by vomiting and purging, in order that the body might be freed from the material cause that produced the disease, and a radical causal treatment^(a) be thus carried out.^(a)

By cutting holes in the diseased body, which were converted into chronic ulcers kept up for years by the introduction of foreign substances (issues, setons), they sought to draw off the *materia peccans* from the (always only dynamically) diseased body, just as one lets a dirty fluid run out of a barrel through the tap-hole. By means also of perpetual fly-blisters and the application of meze-reum, they thought to draw away the bad humours and to

cleanse the diseased body from all morbid matters—but they only weakened it, so as generally to render it incurable, by all these senseless unnatural processes.^(a)

I admit that it was more convenient for the weakness of humanity to assume that, in the diseases they were called on to cure, there existed some morbid material of which the mind might form a conception (more particularly as the patients readily lent themselves to such a notion), because in that case the practitioner had nothing further to care about than to procure a good supply of remedies for purifying the blood and humours, exciting diuresis and diaphoresis, promoting expectoration, and scouring out the stomach and bowels. Hence, in all the works on *Materia Medica*, from Dioscorides down to the latest books on this subject, there is almost nothing said about the special peculiar action of individual medicines; but, besides on account of their supposed utility in various nosological names of diseases, it is merely stated whether they are diuretic, diaphoretic, expectorant or emmenagogue, and more particularly whether they produce evacuation of the stomach and bowels upwards or downwards; because all the aspirations and efforts of the practitioner have ever been chiefly directed to cause the expulsion of a material morbid matter, and of sundry (fictitious) acridities, which it was imagined were the cause of diseases.

These were, however, all idle dreams, unfounded assumptions and hypotheses, cunningly devised for the convenience of therapeutics, as it was expected the easiest way of performing a cure would be to remove the material morbid matters (*si modo essent!*).

But the essential nature of diseases and their cure will not adapt themselves to such fantasies, nor to the convenience of medical men; to humour such stupid baseless hypotheses diseases will not cease to be (spiritual) *dynamic derangements of our spirit-like vital principle in sensations and functions, that is to say, immaterial derangements of our state of health.*

The causes of our maladies cannot be material, since the

least foreign material substance,¹ however mild it may appear to us, if introduced into our blood-vessels, is promptly ejected by the vital force, as though it were a poison; or when this does not happen, death ensues. If even the minutest splinter penetrates a sensitive part of our organism, the vital principle everywhere present in our body never rests until it is removed by pain, fever, suppuration or gangrene. And can it be supposed that in a case of cutaneous disease of twenty years' standing, for instance, this indefatigably active vital principle will quietly endure the presence of such an injurious, foreign, material exanthematous substance, such as a herpetic, a scrofulous, a gouty acidity, &c., in the fluids of the body? Did any nosologist ever see with corporeal eyes such a morbid matter, to warrant him in speaking so confidently about it, and in founding a system of medical treatment upon it? Has anyone ever succeeded in displaying to view the matter of gout or the poison of scrofula?

Even when the application of a material substance to the skin, or to a wound, has propagated diseases by infection, who can prove (what is so often maintained in works on pathology) that some material portion of this substance has penetrated into our fluids or been absorbed?² The most careful and prompt washing of the genitals does not protect the system from infection with the venereal chancrous disease. The slightest breath of air emanating from the body of a person affected with smallpox will suffice to produce this horrible disease in a healthy child.

What ponderable quantity of material substance could have been absorbed into the fluids, in order to develop,

¹ Life was endangered by injecting a little pure water into a vein. (*Vide* Mullen, quoted by Birch in the *History of the Royal Society*.)

Atmospheric air injected into the blood-vessels caused death. (*Vide* J. M. Voigt, *Magazin für den neuesten Zustand der Naturkunde*, i, iii, p. 25.)

Even the mildest fluids introduced into the veins endangered life. (*Vide* Autenrieth, *Physiologie*, ii, § 784.)

² A girl in Glasgow, eight years of age, having been bit by a mad dog, *the surgeon immediately cut the piece clean out*, and yet thirty-six days afterwards she was seized with hydrophobia, which killed her in two days. (*Med. Comment. of Edinb.*, Dec. 2, vol. ii, 1793.)

in the first of these instances, a tedious dyscrasia (syphilis), which when uncured is only extinguished with the remotest period of life, with death; in the last, a disease (smallpox) accompanied by almost general suppuration,¹ and often rapidly fatal? In these and all similar cases is it possible to entertain the idea of a material morbid matter being introduced into the blood? A letter written in the sick-room at a great distance has often communicated the same contagious disease to the person who read it. In this instance, can the notion of a material morbid matter having penetrated into the fluids be admitted? But what need is there of all such proofs? How often has it happened that an irritating word has brought on a dangerous bilious fever; a superstitious prediction of death has caused the fatal catastrophe at the very time announced; the abrupt communication of sad or excessively joyful news has occasioned sudden death? In these cases, where is the material morbid principle that entered in substance into the body, there to produce and keep up the disease, and without the material expulsion and ejection of which a radical cure were impossible?

The champions of this clumsy doctrine of morbid

¹ In order to account for the large quantity of putrid excrementitious matter and foetid discharge often met with in diseases, and to be able to represent them as the material substance that excites and keeps up disease—although, when infection occurs, nothing perceptible in the shape of miasm, nothing material, could have penetrated into the body—recourse was had to the hypothesis, that the matter of infection, be it ever so minute, acts in the body like a ferment, bringing the fluids into a like state of corruption, and thus changing them into a similar morbid ferment which constantly increases with the disease and keeps it up. But by what all-potent and all-wise purifying draughts will you purge and cleanse the human fluids from this ever reproductive ferment, from this mass of imaginary morbid matter, and that so perfectly, that there shall not remain a particle of such morbid ferment, which, according to this hypothesis, must ever again, as at first, transform and corrupt the fluids to new morbid matter? Were that so it would evidently be impossible to cure these diseases in your way!—See how all hypotheses, be they ever so ingeniously framed, lead to the most palpable absurdities when they are not founded on truth!—The most deeply rooted syphilis may be cured, after the removal of the psora with which it is often complicated, by one or two small doses of the decillionfold diluted and potentised solution of *mercury*, whereby the general syphilitic taint of the fluids is forever (dynamically) annihilated and removed.

matters ought to be ashamed that they have so inconsiderately overlooked and failed to appreciate the spiritual nature of life, and the spiritual dynamic power of the exciting causes of diseases,⁽²⁾ and that they have thereby degraded themselves into mere scavenger-doctors, who, in their efforts to expel from the diseased body morbid matters that never existed, in place of curing, destroy life.

Are, then, the foul, often disgusting excretions which occur in diseases the actual matter that produces and keeps them up?¹ Are they not rather *always excretory products of the disease itself, that is, of the life which is only dynamically deranged and disordered?*

With such false and materialistic views concerning the origin and essential nature of diseases, it was certainly not to be wondered at that in all ages the main endeavour of the most obscure, as well as of the most distinguished practitioners, and even of the inventors of the sublimest medical systems, was always only to separate and expel an imaginary morbid matter, and the indication most frequently laid down was to break up and put in motion this morbid matter, to effect its expulsion by salivation, expectoration, diaphoresis and diuresis; to purify the blood from (acridities and impurities) morbid matters, *which never existed*, by means of the intelligence of sundry obedient decoctions of root and plants; to draw off mechanically the imaginary matter of disease by setons, by issues, by portions of the skin kept open and discharging by means of perpetual blisters or mezereum bark, but chiefly to expel and purge away the *materia peccans*, or the injurious matters as they were termed, through the intestines, by means of laxative and purgative medicines, which, in order to give them a more profound meaning and a more prepossessing appearance, were fondly denominated *dissolvents* and *mild aperients*—all so many arrangements for the expulsion of inimical morbid matters, which never could be, and never were instru-

¹ Were this the case, the most inveterate coryza should be certainly and rapidly cured by merely blowing and wiping the nose carefully.

mental in the production and maintenance of the diseases of the human organism, animated as it is by a spiritual principle—of diseases which never were anything else than spiritual dynamic derangements of the life altered in its sensations and functions.⁽²⁾

Let it be granted now, what cannot be doubted, that no diseases—if they do not result from the introduction of perfectly indigestible or otherwise injurious substances into the stomach, or into other orifices or cavities of the body, or from foreign bodies penetrating the skin, &c.—that no disease, in a word, is caused by any material substance, but that every one is only and always a peculiar, virtual, dynamic derangement of the health how injudicious, in that case, must not a method of treatment directed towards the expulsion¹ of that imaginary material

¹ There is a semblance of necessity in the expulsion by purgatives of worms, in so-called vermicular diseases. But even this semblance is false. A few lumbrici may be found in some children; in many there exist ascarides. But the presence of these is always dependent on a general taint of the constitution (the psoric), joined to an unhealthy mode of living. Let the latter be improved, and the former cured homœopathically, which is most easily effected at this age, and none of the worms remain, and children cured in this manner are never troubled with them more; whereas after mere purgatives, even when combined with cina seeds, they soon reappear in quantities.

“But the tapeworm,” methinks I hear some one exclaim, “every effort should be made to expel that monster, which was created for the torment of mankind.”

Yes, *sometimes* it is expelled; but at the cost of what after-sufferings, and with what danger to life! I should not like to have on my conscience the deaths of so many hundreds of human beings as have fallen sacrifices to the horribly violent purgatives directed against the tapeworm, or the many years of indisposition of those who have escaped being purged to death. And how often does it happen that after all this health-and-life-destroying purgative treatment, frequently continued for several years, the animal is not expelled, or if so, that it is again produced!

What if there is not the slightest necessity for all these violent, cruel, and dangerous efforts to expel and kill the worm?

The various species of tapeworm are only found along with the psoric taint, and always disappear when that is cured. But even before the cure is accomplished, they live—the patient enjoying tolerable health the while—not exactly in the intestines, but in the residue of the food, the excrement of the bowels, as in their proper element, quite quietly, and without causing the least disturbance, and find in the excrement what suffices for their nourishment; they then do not touch the walls of the intestine, and are perfectly harmless. But if the patient happen to be affected with an acute disease of

substance appear to every rational man, since no good, but only monstrous harm, can result from its employment in the principal diseases of mankind, namely, those of a chronic character!

In short, the degenerated substances and impurities that appear in diseases are, undeniably, nothing more than products of the disease of the abnormally deranged organism, which are expelled by the latter, often violently enough—often much too violently—without requiring the aid of the evacuating art, and fresh products are always developed as long as it labours under that disease. These matters the true physician regards as actual symptoms of the disease, and they aid him to discover the nature of the disease, and to form an accurate portrait of it, so as to enable him to cure it with a similar medicinal morbid agent.^(a)

But the more modern adherents of the old school do not wish it to be supposed, that in their treatment they aim at the expulsion of material morbid substances. They allege that their multifarious evacuant processes are a mode of treatment by *derivation*, wherein they follow the example of nature which, in her efforts to assist the diseased organism, resolves fever by perspiration and diuresis, pleurisy by epistaxis, sweat and mucous expec-

any kind, then the contents of the bowels become intolerable to the animal; it twists about, comes in contact with, and irritates the sensitive walls of the intestines, causing a peculiar kind of spasmodic colic, which increases materially the sufferings of the patient. (So also the foetus in the womb becomes restless, turns about and kicks, only when the mother is ill; but when she is well, it swims quietly in its proper fluid without causing her any suffering.)

It is worthy of remark, that the morbid symptoms of patients suffering from tapeworm are generally of such a kind, that they are rapidly relieved (homœopathically) by the smallest dose of tincture of *male-fern root*; so that the ill-health of the patient, which causes this parasitic animal to be restless, is thereby for the time removed; the tapeworm then feels at ease, and lives on quietly in the excrement of the bowels, without particularly distressing the patient or his intestines, until the antipsoric treatment is so far advanced that the worm, after the eradication of the psora, finds the contents of the bowels no longer suitable for its support, and therefore spontaneously disappears, for ever from the now cured patient, without the least purgative medicine.^(a)

toration—other diseases by vomiting, diarrhoea and bleeding from the anus, articular pains by suppurating ulcers on the legs, cynanche tonsillaris by salivation, &c., or removes them by metastases and abscesses which she develops in parts at a distance from the seat of the disease.

Hence they thought the best thing to do was to *imitate* nature, by also going to work in the treatment of most diseases in a circuitous manner like the diseased vital force when left to itself, and thus in an indirect manner,¹ by means of stronger heterogeneous irritants applied to organs remote from the seat of disease, and totally dissimilar to the affected tissues, they produce evacuations, and generally kept them up, in order to *draw*, as it were, the disease thither.

This derivation, as it is called, was and continues to be one of the principal modes of treatment of the old school of medicine.

In this imitation of the self-aiding operation of nature, as some call it, they endeavoured to excite, by force, new symptoms in the tissues that are least diseased and best able to bear the medicinal disease, which should draw away² the primary disease under the semblance of crises and under the form of excretions, in order to admit of a gradual lysis by the curative powers of nature.³

¹ In place of extinguishing the disease rapidly, without exhaustion of the strength and without going about the bush, with homogeneous, dynamic medicinal agents acting directly on the diseased points of the organism, as homœopathy does.

² Just as if anything immaterial could be drawn away! So that here too was the notion of a substance and a morbid matter, excessively subtle though it might be supposed to be! (a)

³ It is only the slighter and acute diseases that tend, when the natural period of their course has expired, to terminate quietly in resolution, as it is called, with or without the employment of not very aggressive allopathic remedies; the vital force, having regained its powers, then gradually substitutes the normal condition for the derangement of the health that has now ceased to exist. But in severe acute and in chronic diseases which constitute by far the greater portion of all human ailments, crude nature and the old school are equally powerless; in these, neither the vital force, with its self-aiding faculty, nor allopathy in imitation of it, can affect a lysis, but at the most a mere temporary truce, during which the enemy fortifies himself, in order, sooner or later, to recommence the attack with still greater violence.

This they accomplished by means of diaphoretic and diuretic remedies, blood-lettings, setons and issues, but chiefly by irritant drugs to cause evacuation of the alimentary canal, sometimes upwards by means of emetics, sometimes (and this was the favourite plan) downwards by means of purgatives, which were termed aperient and dissolvent¹ remedies.

To assist this derivative method they employed the allied treatment by *counter-irritants*; woolen garments to the bare skin, foot-baths, nauseants, inflicting on the stomach and bowels the pangs of hunger (the hunger-treatment), substances to cause pain, inflammation, and suppuration in near or distant parts, as the application of horseradish, mustard plasters, cantharides blisters, mezereum, setons, issues, tartar-emetic ointment, moxa, actual cautery, acupuncture, &c.; here also following the example of crude unassisted nature, which endeavours to free herself from the dynamic disease (in the case of a chronic disease, unavailingly) by exciting pain in distant parts of the body, by metastases and abscesses, by eruptions and suppurating ulcers.

It was evidently no rational principle, but merely *imitation*, with the view of making practice easy, that seduced the old school into those unhelpful and injurious indirect modes of treatment, the derivative as well as the counter-irritant; that led them to this inefficacious, debilitating and hurtful practice of apparently ameliorating diseases for a short time, or removing them in such a manner that another and a worse disease was roused up to occupy the place of the first. Such a destructive plan cannot certainly be termed curing.

They merely followed the example of crude instinctive nature in her efforts, which are barely² successful even

¹ An expression which likewise betrays that they imagined and presupposed a morbid substance, which had to be dissolved and expelled.

² In the ordinary school of medicine, the efforts made by nature for the relief of the organism in diseases where no medicine was given, were regarded as models of treatment worthy of imitation. But *this was a great error*. The pitiable and highly imperfect efforts of the vital force to relieve itself in acute diseases is a spectacle that should excite our compassion, and com-

in the slighter cases of acute disease; they merely imitated the unreasoning life-preserving power when left to itself in diseases, which, entirely dependent as it is upon the organic laws of the body, is only capable of acting in con-

mand the aid of all the powers of our rational mind, to terminate the self-inflicted torture by a real cure. If nature is unable to cure homœopathically a disease already existing in the organism, by the production of another fresh malady *similar* to it (§§ 43—46), which very rarely lies in her power (§ 50), and if to the organism alone is left the task of overcoming, by its own forces and without external aid, a disease newly contracted (in cases of chronic miasms its power of resistance is quite inefficacious), we then witness nought but painful, often dangerous, efforts of nature to save the individual at whatever cost, which often terminate in extinction of the earthly existence, in death.

Little as we mortals know of the operations that take place in the interior economy in health—which must be hidden from us as certainly as they are patent to the eye of the all-seeing Creator and Preserver of his creatures—just as little can we perceive the operations that go on in the interior in disturbed conditions of life, in diseases. The internal operations in diseases are manifested only by the visible changes, the sufferings and the symptoms, whereby alone our life betrays the inward disturbance; so that in no given case can we ascertain which of the morbid symptoms are caused by the primary action of the morbid agent, which by the reaction of the vital force for its own relief. Both are inextricably mixed up together before our eyes, and only present to us an outwardly reflected picture of the entire internal malady, for the fruitless efforts of unassisted vitality to terminate the sufferings are themselves sufferings of the whole organism. Hence, even in those evacuations termed *crises*, which nature generally produces at the termination of diseases which run a rapid course, there is frequently more of suffering than of efficacious relief.

What the vital force does in these so-called crises, and *how* it does it, remains a mystery to us, like all the internal operations of the organic vital economy. One thing, however, is certain: that in all these efforts *more or less of the affected parts are sacrificed and destroyed* in order to save the rest. These self-aiding operations of the vital force for the removal of an acute disease, performed only in obedience to the laws of organic life and not guided by the reflection of an intellect, are mostly but a species of allopathy; in order to relieve the primarily affected organ by a crisis, an increased, often violent, activity is excited in the excretory organs, to draw away the disease from the former to the latter; there ensue vomitings, purgings, diuresis, diaphoresis, abscesses, &c., in order, by this irritation of distant parts, to effect a sort of derivation from the primarily diseased part, and the dynamically affected nervous power seems to unload itself in the material product.

It is only by the destruction and sacrifice of a portion of the organism itself that unaided nature can save the patient in acute diseases, and, if death do not ensue, restore, though only slowly and imperfectly, the harmony of life—health.

The great weakness of the parts which had been exposed to the disease,

formity with these laws, and is not guided by reason and reflection—they copied nature, which cannot, like an intelligent surgeon, bring together the gaping lips of a wound and by their union effect a cure; which knows not how to straighten and adjust the broken ends of a bone lying far apart and exuding much (often an excess of) new osseous matter; which cannot put a ligature on a wounded artery, but in its energy causes the patient to bleed to death; which does not understand how to replace a dislocated shoulder, but by the swelling it occasions round about it soon presents an obstacle to reduction; which, in order to remove a foreign body from the cornea, destroys the whole eye by suppuration; which, with all its efforts, can only liberate a strangulated hernia by gangrene of the bowel and death; and which, by the metaschematisms it produces in dynamic diseases, often renders them much worse than they were originally. But more, *this irrational vital force receives into our body, without hesitation*, the greatest plagues of our terrestrial existence, the spark that kindles the countless diseases beneath which tortured mankind has groaned for hundreds and thousands of years, the chronic miasms—psora, syphilis, sycosis—not one of which can it diminish in the slightest degree, far less expel single-handed from the organism; on the contrary, it allows them to rankle therein, until, often after a long life of misery, death at last closes the eyes of the sufferer.

In such an important affair as that of healing, which demands so much intelligence, reflection and judgment, how could the old school, which arrogates to itself the title of rational, choose as its best instructor, as its guide to be blindly followed, the unintelligent vital force, inconsiderately copy its indirect and revolutionary operations

and even of the whole body, the emaciation, &c., remaining after spontaneous cures, are convincing proofs of this.

In short, the whole operation of the self-aiding power of the organism when attacked by diseases displays to the observer nothing but suffering—nothing that he could or ought to imitate if he wishes to cure disease in a truly artistic manner.

in diseases, imagining these to be the *non plus ultra*, the best conceivable, when that greatest gift of God, reflective reason and unfettered judgment, was given us to enable us infinitely to surpass it in salutary help to suffering humanity?

When the old school practitioners, thoughtlessly imitating the crude, senseless, automatic vital energy, with their counter-irritant and derivative methods of treatment—by far their most usual plans—attack innocent parts and organs of the body, either inflicting on them excruciating pains, or, as is most frequently done, compelling them to perform evacuations, whereby strength and fluids are wasted, their object is to direct the morbid vital action in the primarily affected parts away to those artificially attacked, and thus to effect the cure of the natural disease indirectly, *by the production of a disease, much greater in intensity and of quite a different kind*, in the healthy parts of the body, consequently by a circuitous way, at the cost of much loss of strength, and usually of great sufferings to the patient.¹

The disease, if it be acute, and consequently naturally of but short duration, may certainly disappear, even during these heterogeneous attacks on distant and dissimilar parts—but it is not cured. There is nothing that can merit the honourable name of *cure* in this revolutionary treatment, which has no direct, immediate, pathological

¹ Daily experience shows the sad effects of this manœuvre in chronic diseases. *Anything but a cure is effected.* Who would ever call that a victory if, in place of attacking the enemy in front in a hand-to-hand fight, and by his destruction terminating at once his hostile assaults, we should, in a cowardly manner and behind his back, lay an embargo on everything, cut off his supplies, burn down everything for a great way round him? By so doing we would at length deprive him of all courage to resist, but our object is not gained, the enemy is far from being destroyed,—he is still there, and when he can again procure provisions and supplies, he once more rears his head, more exasperated than before—the enemy, I repeat, is far from being destroyed, but the poor innocent country is so completely ruined that it will be long before it can recover itself. In like manner acts allopathy in chronic diseases, when, by its indirect attacks on innocent parts at a distance from the seat of the disease, instead of effecting a cure, it destroys the organism. Such is the result of its hurtful operations!

relation to the tissues primarily affected. Often, indeed, without these serious attacks on the rest of the organism, would the acute disease have ceased of itself, sooner most likely, with fewer subsequent sufferings and less sacrifice of strength. But neither the mode of operation of the crude natural forces, nor the allopathic copy of that, can for a moment be compared to the dynamic (homœopathic) treatment, which sustains the strength, while it extinguishes the disease in a direct and rapid manner.

In far the greatest number of cases of disease, however—I mean those of a chronic nature—these perturbing, debilitating, indirect modes of treatment of the old school are scarcely ever of the slightest use. They suspend, for a few days only, some troublesome symptom or other, which, however, returns when the system has become accustomed to the distant irritation, and the disease recurs worse than before, because by the antagonistic pains¹ and the injudicious evacuations the vital powers have been depressed.

Whilst most physicians of the old school, *imitating in a general manner* the efforts of crude, unaided nature for its own relief, carried out in their practice these derivations of merely hypothetical utility, just as they judged expedient (guided by some imaginary indication); others, aiming at a higher object, undertook *designedly to promote the efforts of the vital force to aid itself by evacuations and antagonistic metastases, as seen in diseases*, and by way of lending it a helping hand, to increase still more these derivations and evacuations; and they believed that by this hurtful procedure they were acting *duce natura*, and might justly claim the title of *ministri naturæ*.

¹ What good results have ever ensued from those foetid artificial ulcers, so much in vogue, called issues? If even during the first week or two, whilst they still cause pain, they appear somewhat to check by antagonism a chronic disease, yet by and by, when the body has become accustomed to the pain, they have *no* other effect than that of weakening the patient and giving still greater scope to the chronic affection. Or does anyone imagine, in this nineteenth century, that they serve as an outlet for the escape of the *materia peccans*? It almost appears as if this were the case!

As the evacuations effected by the natural powers of the patient in chronic diseases are not infrequently the precursors of alleviations—though only of a temporary character—of troublesome symptoms, violent pains, paralyses, spasms, &c., so the old school imagined these derivations to be the true way of curing diseases, and endeavoured to promote, maintain and even increase such evacuations. But they did not perceive that all these evacuations and excretions (pseudo-crises) produced by nature when left to herself were, in chronic diseases, only palliative, transient alleviations, which, far from contributing to a real cure, on the contrary, rather aggravate the original, internal dyscrasia, by the waste of strength and juices they occasioned. No one ever saw a chronic patient recover his health permanently by such efforts of crude nature, nor any chronic disease cured by such evacuations effected by the organism.¹ On the contrary, in such cases the original dyscrasia is always perceptibly aggravated, after alleviations, whose duration always becomes shorter and shorter; the bad attacks recur more frequently and more severely in spite of the continuation of the evacuations. In like manner, on the occurrence of symptoms excited by an internal chronic affection that threaten to destroy life, when nature, left to its own resources, cannot help herself in any other way than by the production of external local symptoms, in order to avert the danger from parts indispensable to life and direct it to tissues of less vital importance (metastasis), these operations of the energetic but unintelligent, unreasoning and improvident vital force conduce to anything but genuine relief or recovery; they only silence in a palliative manner, for a short time, the dangerous internal affection, at the cost of a large portion of the humours and of the strength, without diminishing the original disease by a hair's breadth; they can, at the most, only retard the fatal termination which is inevitable without true homœopathic treatment.

The allopathy of the old school not only greatly over-

¹ Equally inefficacious are those produced artificially.

rated these efforts of the crude automatic power of nature, but completely misjudged them, falsely considered them to be truly curative, and endeavoured to increase and promote them, vainly imagining that thereby they might perhaps succeed in annihilating and radically curing the whole disease. When, in chronic diseases, the vital force seemed to silence this or that troublesome symptom of the internal affection by the production, for example, of some humid cutaneous eruption, then the servant of the crude power of nature (*minister naturæ*) applied to the discharging surface a cantharides plaster or an exutory (mezereum), in order, *duce natura*, to draw still more moisture from the skin, and thus to promote and to assist nature's object—the cure (by the removal of the morbid matter from the body?); but when the effect of the remedy was too violent, the eczema already of long standing, and the system too irritable, he increased the external affection to a great degree without the slightest advantage to the original disease, and aggravated the pains, which deprived the patient of sleep and depressed his strength (and sometimes even developed a malignant febrile erysipelas); or if the effect upon the local affection (still recent, perhaps) was of milder character, he thereby repelled from its seat, by a species of ill-applied external homœopathy, the local symptom which had been established by nature on the skin for the relief of the internal disease, thus renewing the more dangerous internal malady, and by this repulsion of the local symptom compelling the vital force to effect a transference of a worse form of morbid action to other and more important parts; the patient became affected with dangerous ophthalmia, or deafness, or spasms of the stomach, or epileptic convulsions, or attacks of asthma or apoplexy, or mental derangement, &c., in place of the repelled local disease.¹

When the diseased natural force propelled blood into the veins of the rectum or anus (blind hæmorrhoids), the

¹ Natural effects of the repulsion of these local symptoms—effects that are often regarded by the allopathic physician as fresh diseases of quite a different kind.

minister naturæ, under the same delusive idea of assisting the vital force in its curative efforts, applied leeches, often in large numbers, in order to give an outlet to the blood there—with but brief, often scarcely noteworthy, relief, but thereby weakening the body and occasioning still greater congestions in those parts, without the slightest diminution of the original disease.

In almost all cases in which the diseased vital force endeavoured to subdue the violence of a dangerous internal malady by evacuating blood by means of vomiting, coughing, &c., the old school physician, *duce natura*, made haste to assist these supposed salutary efforts of nature, and performed a copious venesection, which was invariably productive of injurious consequences and palpable weakening of the body.

In cases of frequently occurring chronic nausea, he produced, with the view of furthering the intentions of nature, copious evacuations of the stomach, by means of powerful emetics—never with a good result, often with bad, not infrequently dangerous and even fatal consequences.

The vital force, in order to relieve the internal malady, sometimes produces indolent enlargements of the external glands, and he thinks to forward the intentions of nature, in his assumed character of her servant, when, by the use of all sorts of heating embrocations and plasters, he causes them to inflame, so that, when the abscess is ripe, he may incise it and let out the bad morbid matter (?). Experience has shown, hundreds of times, that lasting evil almost invariably results from such a plan.

And having often noticed slight amelioration of the severe symptoms of chronic diseases to result from spontaneous night sweats or frequent liquid stools, he imagines himself bound to obey these hints of nature (*duce natura*), and to promote them, by instituting and maintaining a complete course of sweating treatment or by the employment of so-called gentle laxatives for years, in order to promote and increase these efforts of nature (of the vital force of the unintelligent organism), which he thinks tend

to the cure of the whole chronic affection, and thus to free the patient more speedily and certainly from his disease (the matter of his disease?).

But he thereby always produces quite the contrary result: aggravation of the original disease.

In conformity with this preconceived but unfounded idea, the old school physician goes on thus promoting¹ the efforts of the diseased vital force and increasing those derivations and evacuations in the patient which *never* lead to the desired end, but are *always* disastrous, without being aware that all the local affections, evacuations, and seemingly derivative efforts, set up and continued by the unintelligent vital force when left to its own resources, for the relief of the original chronic disease, are actually the disease itself, the phenomena of the whole disease, for the totality of which, properly speaking, the only efficacious remedy, and the one, moreover, that will act in the most direct manner, is a homœopathic medicine, chosen on account of its similarity of action.

As everything that crude nature does to relieve itself in diseases, in those of an acute, but especially those of a

¹In direct opposition to this treatment, the old school not infrequently indulged themselves in the very reverse of this: thus, when the efforts of the vital force for the relief of the internal disease by evacuations and the production of local symptoms on the exterior of the body became troublesome, they capriciously suppressed them by their *repercutients* and *repellents*; they subdued chronic pains, sleeplessness and diarrhœa of long standing by doses of opium pushed to a dangerous extent; vomitings by effervescent saline draughts; fœtid perspiration of the feet by cold footbaths and astringent applications; eruptions on the skin by preparations of lead and zinc; they checked uterine hæmorrhage by injections of vinegar; colliquative perspiration by alum; nocturnal seminal emissions by the free use of camphor; frequent attacks of flushes of heat in the body and face by nitre, vegetable acids and sulphuric acid; bleeding of the nose by plugging the nostrils with dossils of lint soaked in alcohol or astringent fluids; they dried up discharging ulcers on the legs, established by the vital power for the relief of great internal suffering, with the oxydes of lead and zinc, &c., with what sad results experience has shown in thousands of cases.

With tongue and with pen the old school physician brags that he is a rational practitioner, and that he investigates the cause of the disease so as always to make radical cures; but behold, his treatment is directed, in these cases, against a single symptom only, and always with injurious consequences to his patient. (a)

chronic kind, is extremely imperfect and even *actual disease*, it may easily be conceived that the promotion by artificial means of this imperfection and disease must do still no harm; at least, it cannot improve the efforts of nature for its own relief, even in acute diseases, because medical art is not in a condition to follow the hidden paths by which the vital force effects its crises, but attempts to produce them from without, by violent means, which are still less beneficial than what the instinctive vital force left to its own resources does, but on the other hand are more perturbing and debilitating. For even the incomplete amelioration resulting from the natural derivations and crises cannot be obtained in a similar manner by allopathy; with all its endeavours it cannot procure anything like even that pitiful relief the vital force left to itself is able to afford.

It has been attempted to produce, by means of scarifying instruments, a bleeding at the nose, in imitation of that sometimes occurring naturally, in order to mitigate, for example, the attacks of a chronic headache. By this means a large quantity of blood could be made to flow from the nostrils and weaken the patient, but the relief afforded was either nil, or much less than the instinctive vital force would procure at another time, when, of its own accord, it would cause but a few drops to flow.

A so-called critical perspiration or diarrhœa, produced by the ever active vital force after a sudden indisposition, excited by anger, fright, a sprain or a chill, will be much more successful, at least for the time, in relieving the acute disease, than all the suderific or purgative drugs in the pharmacopœia, which only make the patient worse, as daily experience shows.

But the vital force, which of itself can only act according to the physical constitution of our organism, and is not guided by reason, knowledge and reflection, was not given to man to be regarded as the best possible curative agent to restore those lamentable deviations from health to the normal condition, and still less that physicians should slavishly imitate its imperfect morbid

efforts (to free itself from disease), and that with operations incontestably more inappropriate and severe than its own, and thereby conveniently spare themselves the expenditure of reasoning, reflection and judgment requisite for the discovery and for the practice of the noblest of human arts—the true healing art—while they allege their bad copy of the spontaneous efforts of doubtful utility made by the crude natural force for its relief, to be the healing art, *the rational healing art!*

What sensible man would imitate the efforts of the organism for its own preservation? These efforts are in reality the disease itself, and the morbidly affected vital force is the producer of the visible disease! It must, therefore, necessarily follow that all artificial imitation, and likewise the suppression of these efforts, must either increase the disease or render it dangerous by their suppression, and both of these allopathy does; these are its pernicious operations which it alleges to be the healing art, the rational healing art! ^(a)

No! that exquisite power innate in the human being, designed to direct in the most perfect manner the operations of life *while it is in health*, equally present in all parts of the organism, in the fibres of sensibility as well as in those of irritability, the unwearied spring of all the normal natural functions of the body, was not created for the purpose affording itself aid in diseases, not for the purpose of exercising a healing art worthy of imitation. *No! the true healing art is that reflective work, the attribute of the higher powers of human intellect, of unfettered judgment and of reason selecting and determining on principle in order to effect an alteration in the instinctive, irrational and unintelligent, but energetic automatic vital force, when it has been diverted by disease into abnormal action, and by means of a similar affection developed by a homœopathically chosen remedy, to excite in it a medicinal disease somewhat greater in degree, so that the natural morbid affection can no longer act upon the vital force, which thus, freed from the natural disease, has now only the similar, somewhat stronger, medicinal morbid*

affection to contend with, against which it now directs its whole energy and which it soon overpowers, whereby the vital force is liberated and enabled to return to the normal standard of health and to its proper function, "the maintenance of the life and health of the organism," without having suffered, during this change, any painful or debilitating attacks. Homœopathy teaches us how to effect this.

Under the methods of treatment of the old school I have just detailed, no small number of patients certainly got rid of their diseases, but not of those of a chronic (non-venereal) character; only such as were acute and unattended with danger; and even these they were only freed from by such circuitous and tedious ways, and often so incompletely, that the results of the treatment could never be termed cures effected by a gentle art. Acute diseases of a not very dangerous kind were, by venesections or suppression of one of the chief symptoms through the instrumentality of an enantiopathic palliative remedy (*contraria contrariis*), kept under, or by means of counter-irritant and derivative (antagonistic and revulsive) remedies, applied to other than the diseased spots, suspended, until the natural time for the duration of the short malady had expired. These methods were, consequently, indirect, and attended with loss of strength and humours, so much so that in patients so treated the greatest and most important measures for the complete removal of the disease and for the restoration of the lost strength and humours remained to be performed by Nature herself—by the life-preserving power which, besides the removal of the natural acute disease, had also to combat the effects of improper treatment, and thus it was able, in cases unattended by danger, gradually to restore the normal relation of the functions by means of its own energy, but often in a tedious, imperfect and painful manner.

It remains a very doubtful question whether the natural process of recovery in acute diseases is really at

all shortened or facilitated by this interference of the old school, as the latter cannot act otherwise than the vital force, namely, indirectly; but its derivative and counter-irritant treatment is much more injurious and much more debilitating.

The old school has yet another method of treatment, which is termed the *stimulating and strengthening system*¹ (by *excitantia, nervina, tonica, confortantia, roborantia*). It is astonishing how it can boast of this method.

Has it ever succeeded in removing the physical weakness so often engendered and kept up or increased by a chronic disease with its prescriptions of etheric Rhine-wine or fiery Tokay? The strength gradually sank under this treatment, and all the lower, the greater the quantity of wine the patient was persuaded to drink, because the source of the weakness, the chronic disease, was not cured by it, because artificial stimulation is followed by relaxation in the reaction of the vital force.

Or did its cinchona bark, or its *amara*, so misunderstood, so multifarious in their modes of action, and productive of quite different kinds of injury, give strength in these frequently occurring cases? Did not these vegetable substances, said to be tonic and strengthening under all circumstances, as also the preparations of iron, often add to the old disease new sufferings, by virtue of their peculiar pathogenetic effects, without relieving the weakness proceeding from an unknown disease of long standing?

Has any one ever succeeded in diminishing in the very least the duration of the incipient paralysis of an arm or a leg, so often arising from a chronic dyscrasia, by means of the so-called *unguenta nervina* or any other spirituous or balsamic embrocations, without curing the dyscrasia itself. Or have electric or galvanic shocks ever been attended with any other result in such cases, than a

¹ It is, properly speaking, enantiopathic, and I shall again refer to it in the text of the *Organon* (§ 59).

gradually increasing, and finally absolute, paralysis, and extinction of all muscular and nervous irritability in the affected limbs?¹

Did not the renowned *excitantia* and *aphrodisiaca*, ambergris, lacerta scincus, cantharides tincture, truffles, cardamoms, cinnamon and vanilla invariably bring about complete impotence when used for the purpose of restoring the gradually declining sexual power (which always depended on an unobserved chronic miasm)?

How can credit be taken for the production of a stimulation and invigoration of but a few hours' duration, when the result that must follow and which is permanent—according to the laws of all palliative action—is a directly opposite state, the rendering of the disease incurable?

The little good that the *excitantia* and *roborantia* did for recovery from acute diseases (treated according to the old method) was a thousand times outweighed by their ill effects in chronic maladies.

When physicians of the old school do not know what to do in a chronic disease, they treat it blindly with their so-called *alterative* remedies (*alterantia*); among which the horrible *mercurialia* (calomel, corrosive sublimate and mercurial ointment) occupy the foremost place—which they allow to act in such large quantities and for so long a time on the diseased body (in non-venereal diseases!) that at last the health is by their destructive effects completely undermined. They thus certainly produce great alterations, but invariably such as are not beneficial, and they always utterly ruin the health by their improper administration of this excessively injurious metal.

When they prescribe, in large doses, *cinchona bark* (which, as a homœopathic febrifuge, is only specific in

¹ Those affected with hardness of hearing were relieved by moderate shocks from the voltaic pile of the apothecary of Jever only for a few hours—these moderate shocks soon lost their power. In order to produce the same result he had to make them stronger; until these stronger shocks had no effect; the very strongest would then at first excite the patients' hearing for a short time, but at length left them quite deaf.

true marsh ague, accompanied with psora), for all epidemic intermittent fevers, which are often distributed over large tracts of country, the old school practitioners palpably manifest their stupidity, for these diseases assume a different character almost every year and hence demand for their cure, almost always, a different homœopathic remedy, by means of one or a few very small doses of which they may always be radically cured in a few days. Now, because these epidemic fevers have periodical attacks (*typus*) and the adherents of the old school see nothing in all intermittent fevers but their *typus* [periodicity], and neither know nor care to know any other febrifuge but cinchona, these routine practitioners imagine if they can but suppress the *typus* of the epidemic intermittent fever with enormous doses of cinchona and its costly alkaloid, quinine (an event which the unintelligent, but, in this instance, more sensible vital force endeavours to prevent often for months), that they have *cured* this epidemic ague. But the deluded patient, after such a suppression of the periodicity (*typus*) of his fever, *invariably* becomes worse than he was during the fever itself; with sallow complexion, dyspnœa, constriction in the hypochondria, disordered bowels, unhealthy appetite, broken sleep, feeble and desponding, often with great swelling of the legs, of the abdomen and even of the face and hands, he creeps out of the hospital, *dismissed as cured*, and long years of homœopathic treatment are not infrequently required, merely to rescue from death, let alone to cure and restore to health, such a profoundly injured (cured?), artificially cachectic patient.

The old school is happy when it can convert the dull stupor that occurs in typhus fevers, by means of *valerian*, which in this case acts antipathically, into a kind of liveliness of a few hours' duration; but as this does not continue, and to force a repetition of the animation ever increasing doses of valerian are requisite, it is not long before the largest doses cease to have the desired effect. But as this palliative is only stimulant in its primary action, in its after effects the vital force is paralysed, and

such a patient is certain of a speedy death from this *rational treatment* of the old school; none can escape. And yet the adherents of this routine art could not perceive that by these proceedings they most certainly killed their patients; they ascribed the death to the malignancy of the disease.

A palliative of a still more horrible character for chronic patients is the *digitalis purpurea*, with which the old school practitioners imagine they do such excellent service, when by means of it, they compel the quick, irritated pulse in chronic diseases (purely symptomatic!) to become slower. True it is that this dreadful remedy, which is in such cases employed enantiopathically, strikingly diminishes the frequency of the quick, irritated pulse, and greatly reduces the number of the arterial pulsations, *for a few hours after the first dose*; but the pulse soon becomes more rapid than before. In order again to diminish in some degree its frequency the dose is increased, and it has the effect, but for a still shorter period, until even these and still larger palliative doses cease to reduce the pulse, which at length, in the secondary action of the foxglove which can no longer be restrained, becomes much more rapid than it was before the use of this drug,—it then becomes *uncountable*; sleep, appetite and strength are lost—death is imminent; *not one of the patients so treated escapes alive*, unless to be a prey to incurable insanity!^{1(a)}

Such was the treatment pursued by the allopathist. The patients, therefore, *were obliged* to yield to the sad necessity, because they could obtain no better aid from other allopathists, who had gained their knowledge from the same deceitful books.

As the fundamental cause of chronic (non-venereal)

¹ And yet Hufeland, the chief of this old school (v. *Homöopathie*, p. 22), extols with much satisfaction the employment of *digitalis* in such cases, in these words: "None will deny" (experience invariably does so!) "that too great rapidity of the circulation can be *removed* (?) by *digitalis*." Permanently removed? and by a heroic enantiopathic remedy? Poor Hufeland!

disease, together with the remedies for them, remained unknown to these practitioners, who vainly boasted of their causal medication and of their diagnosis being directed to the investigation of the *genesis* of diseases;¹ how could they hope to cure the immense numbers of chronic diseases by their indirect treatments, which were but hurtful imitations of the unintelligent vital force for its own relief, that never were intended to be models for practice?

The presumed character of the affection they regarded as the cause of the disease, and hence they directed their pretended causal treatment against spasm, inflammation (plethora), fever, general and partial debility, mucus, putridity, obstructions, &c., which they thought to remove by means of their antispasmodic, antiphlogistic, tonic, stimulant, antiseptic, dissolvent, resolvent, derivative, evacuant, antagonistic remedies (of which they only possessed a superficial knowledge).

But from such general indications really serviceable medicines could not be discovered, most assuredly not in the *materia medica* of the old school, which, as I have elsewhere shown,² is founded mainly on conjecture and false deductions *ab usu in morbis*, mixed up with falsehood and fraud.

With equal rashness they attacked those still more hypothetical so-called indications—deficiency or excess of oxygen, nitrogen, carbon, or hydrogen in the fluids, exaltation or diminution of the irritability, sensibility and reproduction, derangements of the arterial, venous and capillary systems, asthenia, &c., without knowing a single remedy for effecting objects so visionary. All this was pure ostentation. It was a mode of treatment that did no good to the patients.

¹ Which Hufeland in his pamphlet, *Die Homöopathie*, p. 20, makes a futile attempt to appropriate for his old pseudo-art. For since, as is well known, previous to the appearance of my book (*Chronic Diseases*), the 2500-years-old allopathy knew nothing about the source of most chronic diseases (*psora*), must it not have attributed a false source (*genesis*) to such maladies? (a)

² See essay in the first volume of the *Materia Medica Pura* (English edit.), "Sources of the Common *Materia Medica*."

But all semblance of appropriate treatment of diseases was completely lost by a practice, introduced in the earliest times, *and even made into a rule*: I mean the *mixture in a prescription* of various medicinal substances, whose real action was, almost without an exception, unknown, and which, without any one exception invariably differed so much among each other. One medicine (the sphere of whose medicinal effects was unknown) was placed foremost, as the principal remedy (*basis*), and was designed to subdue what the physician deemed the chief character of the disease, to this was added some other drug (equally unknown as regards the sphere of its medicinal action) for the removal of some accessory symptom, or to strengthen the action of the first (*adjuvans*); and besides these, yet another (likewise unknown as to the sphere of its medicinal powers), a pretended corrective remedy (*corrigens*); these were all *mixed together* (boiled, infused)—and along with them, some medicinal syrup, or distilled medicinal water, also with different properties, would be included in the formula, and it was supposed that each of the ingredients of this mixture would perform, in the diseased body, the part allotted to it by the prescriber's imagination, without suffering itself to be disturbed or led astray by the other things mixed up along with it; which, however, could not in reason be expected. One ingredient suspended wholly or partially the action of another, or communicated to it and to the others a mode of action and operation not anticipated nor conjecturable, so that it was *impossible* the expected effect could be obtained; there *frequently* occurred a *new morbid derangement*, which, from the incomprehensible changes imparted to substances by their admixture, was not and could not have been foreseen, which escaped observation amid the tumultuous symptoms of the disease, and which became permanent from a lengthened employment of the prescription—accordingly an artificial disease was added to and complicated the original disease, causing an aggravation of the latter—or if the prescription were not often repeated, but superseded by one or more new

prescriptions, composed of other ingredients, given in rapid succession, then the *very least* that could happen was a *farther depression of the strength*, for the substances administered in that way neither had, nor could have had, any direct pathological relation to the original malady, but only attacked, in a useless and injurious manner, parts that were least implicated in the disease.

The mixture of several medicines, even if the effects of each single medicine on the human body were accurately known (—the prescription writer, however, often knows not the thousandth part of their effects—), the association, in one prescription, of several such ingredients, I repeat, many of which are themselves of a very compound nature, and the peculiar action of any one of which is as good as unknown, although in reality it always differs greatly from that of the others, and the administration of this incomprehensible mixture to the patient in large and frequently repeated doses, in order therewith to obtain some purposed, certain, curative effect, is a piece of folly repugnant to every reflecting and unprejudiced person.¹

¹ The absurdity of medicinal mixtures was perceived even by adherents of the old school of medicine, although they still continued to follow this slovenly plan in their own practice, contrary to their convictions. Thus Marcus Herz (in *Hufeland's Journal*, ii, p. 33) reveals the pricks of his conscience in the following words: "When we wish to remove the inflammatory state, we do not employ either nitre or sal-ammoniac or vegetable acids alone, but we usually mix several, and often but too many, so-called anti-phlogistics together, or give them in the same case in close succession. If we have to combat putridity, we are not content to look for the attainment of our object from the administration of large doses of one of the known antiseptic medicines, such as cinchona bark, mineral acids, arnica, serpentaria, &c., alone; we prefer associating several of them together, and count upon their community of action; or from our uncertainty as to whose action is the most suitable for the case in question, we throw together a number of different substances, and almost leave it to chance to effect the end we have in view, by means of one of them. Thus we seldom excite perspiration, purify the blood (?), overcome obstructions (?), promote expectoration, or even evacuate the primæ viæ, by a single remedy; our prescriptions for these objects are always composite, almost never simple and pure, consequently neither are our observations in reference to the actions of each individual substance contained in them. To be sure, we learnedly institute certain grades of rank among the remedies in our formulas; on the one to which we particularly commission the action, we confer the title of *base*

The result naturally belies every expectation that had been formed. There certainly ensue changes and results, but none of an appropriate character, none beneficial—all injurious, destructive!

I should like to see any one who would call the purblind inroads of such prescriptions on the diseased human body a *cure*!

It is only by guiding what still remains of the vital principle in the patient to the proper performance of its functions, by means of a suitable medicine, that a cure can be expected, but not by enervating the body to death, *secundum artem*; and yet the old school knows not what else to do with patients suffering from chronic diseases, than to attack the sufferers with drugs that do nothing but torture them, waste their strength and fluids, and shorten their lives! Can it be said to save whilst it destroys? Does it deserve any other name than that of a *mischievous* [non-healing] *art*? It acts, *lege artis*, in the most inappropriate manner, and it does (it would almost seem *purposely*) ἀλλοία, that is to say, the very opposite of what it should do. Can it be commended? Can it be any longer tolerated?

In recent times the old school practitioners have quite surpassed themselves in their cruelty towards their sick fellow-creatures, and in the unsuitableness of their opera-

(basis), the others we call *helpers*, *supporters* (adjuvantia), *correctives* (corrigentia), &c. But this classification is evidently almost entirely arbitrary. The *helpers* and *supporters* have just as much part in the whole action as the *chief ingredient*, although, from want of a standard of measurement, we are unable to determine the degree of their participation in the result. In like manner the influence of the *correctives* on the powers of the other ingredients cannot be quite indifferent; they must increase or diminish them, or give them quite another direction; and hence we must always regard the salutary (?) change which we effect, by means of such a prescription, as the result of all its ingredients collectively, and *we can never obtain from its action a pure experience of the individual efficacy of any single ingredient of which it is composed. In fact, our knowledge of what is essential to be known respecting all our remedies, as also respecting the perhaps hundred-fold relationship among each other into which they enter when combined, is far too little to be relied upon to enable us to tell with certainty the degree and extent of the action of a substance, seemingly ever so unimportant, when introduced into the human body in combination with other substances.*"

tions, as every unprejudiced observer must admit, and as even physicians of their own school have been forced, by the pricks of their conscience (like Krüger Hansen), to confess before the world.

It was high time for the wise and benevolent Creator and Preserver of mankind to put a stop to these abominations, to command a cessation of these tortures, and to reveal a healing art the very opposite of all this, which should not waste the vital juices and powers by emetics, perennial scourings out of the bowels, warm baths, diaphoretics or salivation; nor shed the life's blood, nor torment and weaken with painful appliances; nor, in place of curing patients, suffering from diseases, render them incurable by the addition of new, chronic medicinal maladies by means of the prolonged use of wrong, powerful medicines of unknown properties; nor yoke the horse behind the cart, by giving strong palliatives, according to the old favourite axiom, *contraria contrariis curentur*; nor, in short, in place of lending the patient aid, to guide him in the way to death, as is done by the merciless routine practitioner;—but which, on the contrary, should spare the patient's strength as much as possible, and should, rapidly and mildly, effect an unalloyed and permanent cure, and restore to health by means of smallest doses of few simple medicines carefully selected according to their proved effects, by the only therapeutic law conformable to nature: *similia similibus curentur*. It was high time that he should permit the discovery of homœopathy. ^(a)

By observation, reflection and experience, I discovered that, contrary to the old allopathic method, the true, the proper, the best mode of treatment is contained in the maxim: ^(a) *To cure mildly, rapidly, certainly, and permanently, choose, in every case of disease, a medicine which can itself produce an affection similar (ὁμοιον πάθος) to that sought to be cured!*

Hitherto no one has ever *taught* this homœopathic mode of cure, no one has *carried it out in practice*. But if the truth is only to be found in this method, as I can prove

it to be, we might expect that, even though it remained *unperceived* for thousands of years, distinct traces of it would yet be discovered in every age.¹

And such is the fact. In all ages, the patients *who have been really, rapidly, permanently and obviously cured by medicines*, and who did not merely *recover* by some fortuitous circumstance, or by the acute disease having run its allotted course, or by the powers of the system having, in the course of time, gradually attained the preponderance, under allopathic and antagonistic treatment—for being cured in a direct manner differs vastly from recovering in an indirect manner—such patients have been cured solely (although without the knowledge of the physician) by means of a (homœopathic) medicine which possessed the power of producing a similar morbid state.

Even in *real* cures by means of mixtures of medicines—which were excessively rare—it will be found that the remedy whose action predominated was always of a homœopathic character.

But this is observed much more strikingly in cases where physicians sometimes affected a rapid cure with one simple medicinal substance, contrary to the usual custom, that admitted of none but mixtures of medicines in the form of a prescription. There we see, to our astonishment, that this always occurred by means of a medicine that is *itself* capable of producing an affection similar to the case of disease, although the physicians themselves knew not what they were doing, and acted in forgetfulness of the contrary doctrines of their own school. They prescribed a medicine the very reverse of that which they should have employed according to the traditional therapeutics, and it was *only in consequence of so doing* that the patients were rapidly cured. (a)

¹ For truth is co-eterna with the all-wise, benevolent Deity. It may long escape the observation of man, until the time foreordained by Providence arrives, when its rays shall irresistibly break through the clouds of prejudice and usher in the dawn of a day which shall shine with a bright and inextinguishable light for the weal of the human race.

If we deduct the cases in which the specific remedy for a disease of never varying character has been made known to physicians of the ordinary school (not by their own investigation, but) *by the empirical practice of the common people*, wherewith they are enabled to effect a direct cure, as, for instance, of the venereal chancrous disease with mercury; of the morbid state resulting from contusions with arnica; of marsh ague with cinchona bark; of recent cases of itch with flowers of sulphur, &c. —if we deduct these, we find, that without almost *any* exception, all the other treatment of the old school physician, in chronic diseases, consists in debilitating, teasing and tormenting the already afflicted patient, to the aggravation of his disease and to his destruction, with a great display of dignified gravity on the part of the doctor and at a ruinous expense to the patient. ^(a)

Blind experience sometimes led them to a homœopathic mode of treatment,¹ and yet they did not perceive the law

¹ Thus they imagined they could drive out through the skin the sudatory matter which they believed to stagnate there after a chill, if they gave the patient to drink, during the cold stage of the catarrhal fever, an infusion of elder flowers, which is capable of removing such a fever and curing the patient by its peculiar similarity of action (homœopathically), and this it does most promptly and effectually, without causing perspiration, if but a small quantity of this infusion, and nothing else, be taken. To hard, acute swellings, in which the excessive violence of the inflammation prevents their suppuration and causes intolerable pains, they apply very warm poultices, frequently renewed, and behold! the inflammation and the pains diminish rapidly, while the abscess is rapidly formed, as is known by the yellowish shining elevation and the perceptible softening. In this case they imagine that the hardness has been softened by the *moisture* of the poultice, whereas it is chiefly by the greater heat of the poultics that the excess of inflammation has been homœopathically subdued, and the rapid suppuration been enabled to take place.—Why do they employ with benefit in many ophthalmiæ St. Yve's salve, the chief ingredient of which is red oxyde of mercury, which can produce inflammation of the eyes, if anything can? Is it hard to see that they here act homœopathically?—Or why should a little parsley juice produce such evident relief in those cases (by no means rare), where there are anxious, often ineffectual, efforts to urinate in little children, and in ordinary gonorrhœa, which is well known by the very painful, frequent and almost ineffectual attempts to make water, if the fresh juice of this plant had not the power of causing, in healthy persons, a painful, almost fruitless, urging to urinate, consequently cures homœopathically? With the pimpenal root, which causes great secretion of mucus in the bronchia and

of nature in obedience to which cures so effected did and must ensue.

Hence it is highly important, for the weal of mankind, to ascertain what really took place in these extremely rare but singularly salutary treatments. The answer we obtain to this question is of the utmost significance. They were never performed in any other manner than by means of medicines of homœopathic power, that is to say, capable of producing a disease similar to the morbid state sought to be cured; the cures were effected rapidly and permanently by medicines, the medical prescribers of which made use of them as it were by accident, and even in opposition to the doctrines of all previous systems and therapeutics (often without rightly knowing what they were doing and why they did it), and thus, against their will, they practically confirmed the necessity of the only therapeutic law consonant to nature, that of homœopathy—a therapeutic law, which, despite the many facts and innumerable hints that pointed to it, no physicians of past epochs have exerted themselves to discover, blinded as they all have been by medical prejudices.

For even the domestic practice of the non-medical classes of the community endowed with sound observant faculties has many times proved this mode of treatment to be the surest, the most radical and the least fallacious in practice.

fauces, they successfully combated the so-called mucous angina—and quelled some kinds of metrorrhagia with the leaves of savine, which can itself cause metrorrhagia, without perceiving the homœopathic curative law. In cases of constipation from incarcerated hernia and in ileus many medical men found the constipation of opium, in small doses, to be the most excellent and certain remedy, without having the most distant idea of the homœopathic therapeutic law exemplified in this case. They cured non-venereal ulcers of the fauces with small doses of mercury, which is homœopathic to such states—stopped some diarrhœas with small doses of the purgative rhubarb—cured hydrophobia with belladonna, that causes a similar affection, and removed, as if by magic, the dangerous comatose state in acute fevers with a small dose of the heating, stupefying opium; and yet they abuse homœopathy, and persecute it with a fury that can only arise from the stings of an evil conscience in a heart incapable of improvement.

In recent cases of frost-bitten limbs frozen sour crout is applied or frictions of snow are used.¹

¹ It is on such examples of domestic practice that Mr. M. Lux founds his so-called mode of cure by *identicals* and *idem*, which he calls *Isopathy*, which some eccentric-minded persons have already adopted as the *non plus ultra* of a therapeutic method, without knowing how they could carry it out.

But if we examine these instances attentively we find that they do not bear out these views.

The purely physical powers differ in the nature of their action on the living organism from those of a dynamic medicinal kind.

Heat or cold of the air that surrounds us, or of the water, or of our food and drink, occasion (*as heat and cold*) of *themselves* no absolute injury to a healthy body; heat and cold are in their alternations essential to the maintenance of healthy life, consequently they are not of themselves medicine. Heat and cold, therefore, act as curative agents in affections of the body, not by virtue of their essential nature (not, therefore, as cold and heat *per se*, not as things hurtful in themselves, as are the drugs, rhubarb, china, &c., even in the smallest doses), but *only* by virtue of their greater or smaller *quantity*, that is, according to their degrees of temperature, just as (to take an example from purely physical powers) a great weight of lead will bruise my hand painfully, not by virtue of its essential nature as lead, for a thin plate of lead would not bruise me, but in consequence of its quantity and massive weight.

If, then, cold or heat be serviceable in bodily ailments like frost-bites or burns, they are so solely on account of their degree of temperature, just as they only inflict injury on the healthy body by their extreme degrees of temperature

Thus we find in these examples of successful domestic practice, that it is not the prolonged application of the degree of cold in which the limb was frozen that restores it *isopathically* (it would thereby be rendered quite lifeless and dead), but a degree of cold that only approximates to that (*homœopathy*), and which gradually rises to a comfortable temperature, as frozen sour crout laid upon the frost-bitten hand in the temperature of the room soon melts, gradually growing warmer from 32° or 33° (Fahr.) to the temperature of the room, supposing that to be only 55°, and thus the limb is recovered by physical homœopathy. In like manner, a hand scalded with boiling water would not be cured *isopathically* by the application of boiling water, but only by a somewhat lower temperature, as, for example, by holding it in a vessel containing a fluid heated to 160°, which becomes every minute less hot, and finally descends to the temperature of the room, whereupon the scalded part is restored by *homœopathy*. Water in the act of freezing cannot draw out the frost *isopathically* from potatoes and apples, but this is effected by water only near the freezing-point.

So, to give another example from physical action, the injury resulting from a blow on the forehead with a hard substance (a painful lump) is soon diminished in pain and swelling by pressing on the spot for a considerable time with the ball of the thumb, strongly at first, and then gradually less

The experienced cook holds his hand, which he has scalded, at a certain distance from the fire, and does not heed the increase of pain that takes place at first, as he knows from experience that he can thereby in a very short time, often in a few minutes, convert the burnt part into healthy painless skin.¹

Other intelligent non-medical persons, as, for example, the manufacturers of lackered ware, apply to a part scalded with the hot varnish a substance that causes a similar *burning* sensation, such as strong heated *spirits*

forcibly, homœopathically, but not by an equally hard blow with an equally hard body, which would increase the evil isopathically.

The examples of cures by isopathy given in the book alluded to—muscular contractions in human beings and spinal paralysis in a dog, which had been caused by a chill, being rapidly cured by cold bathing—these events are falsely explained by isopathy. What are called sufferings from a chill are only nominally connected with cold, and often arise, in the bodies of those predisposed to them, even from a draught of wind which was not at all cold. Moreover, the manifold effects of a cold bath on the living organism, in health and in disease, cannot be reduced to such a simple formula as to warrant the construction of a system of such pretensions! That serpents' bites, as is there stated, are most certainly cured by portions of the serpents, must remain a mere fable of a former age, until such an improbable assertion is authenticated by indubitable observations and experience, which it certainly never will be. That, in fine, the saliva of a mad dog given to a patient labouring under hydrophobia (in Russia), *is said* to have cured him—that "*is said*" would not seduce any conscientious physician to imitate such a hazardous experiment, or to construct a so-called isopathic system, so dangerous and so highly improbable in its extended application, as has been done (not by the modest author of the pamphlet entitled *The Isopathy of Contagions*, Leipzig: Kollmann, but) by its eccentric supporters, especially Dr. Gross (v. *Allg. hom. Ztg.*, ii, p. 72), who vaunts this isopathy (*æqualia æqualibus*) as the only proper therapeutic rule, and sees nothing in the *similia similibus* but an indifferent substitute for it; ungratefully enough, as he is entirely indebted to the *similia similibus* for all his fame and fortune. (a)

¹ So also Fernelius (*Therap.*, lib. vi, cap. 20) considers that the best remedy for a burnt part is to bring it near the fire, whereby the pain is removed. John Hunter (*On the Blood, Inflammation, &c.*, p. 218) mentions the great injury that results from treating burns with cold water, and gives a decided preference to approaching them to the fire, guided in this, not by the traditional medical doctrines which (*contraria contrariis*) prescribe cooling things for inflammation, but by experience, which teaches that the application of a similar heat (*similia similibus*) is the most salutary.

of wine,¹ or oil of turpentine,² and by that means cure themselves in the course of a few hours, whereas cooling salves, as they are well aware, would not effect a cure

¹ Sydenham (*Opera*, p. 271 [edit. Syd. Soc., p. 601]) says the *spirits of wine*, repeatedly applied, is preferable to all other remedies in burns. Benjamin Bell, too (*System of Surgery*, 3rd edit., 1789), acknowledges that experience shows that homœopathic remedies only are efficacious. He says: "One of the best applications to every burn of this kind is strong brandy or any other ardent spirit; it seems to induce a momentary additional pain (see below, § 157), but this soon subsides, and is succeeded by an agreeable soothing sensation. It proves most effectual when the parts can be kept immersed in it; but where this cannot be done, they should be kept constantly moist with pieces of old linen soaked in spirits." To this I may add that *warm, and indeed very warm, alcohol is much more rapidly and much more certainly efficacious, for it is much more homœopathic than when not heated*. And all experience confirms this in a most astonishing manner.

² Edward Kentish, having to treat the workers in coal pits, who were so often dreadfully burnt by the explosion of fire-damp, applied heated oil of turpentine or alcohol, as the best remedy in the most extensive and severest burns (*Second Essay on Burns*, London, 1798). No treatment can be more homœopathic than this nor is any more efficacious.

The estimable and experienced Heister (*Institut. Chirurg.*, Tom. i, p. 33) confirms this from his own observation and extols the application of turpentine oil, of alcohol and of very hot poultices for this end, as hot as ever they can be borne.

But the amazing superiority of the application to burns of these remedies, which possess the power of exciting burning sensation and heat (and are consequently homœopathic), over palliative refrigerant remedies, is most incontestably shown by *pure* experimentation, in which the two opposite methods of treatment are employed for the sake of comparison, in burns of equal intensity in the same body.

Thus Benjamin Bell (in *Kühn's Phys. Med. Journ.*, Leipzig, 1801, Jun., p. 428), in the case of a lady who had scalded both arms, caused one to be covered with *oil of turpentine*, and made her plunge the other into *cold water*. In half an hour the first arm was *well*, but the other continued to be painful for six hours longer; when it was withdrawn one instant from the water she experienced much greater pain in it, *and it required a much longer time than the first for its cure*.

John Anderson (*Kentish*, op. cit., p. 43) treated in a similar manner a lady who had scalded herself with boiling grease. "The face which was very red and scalded and excessively painful was, a few minutes after the accident, covered with *oil of turpentine*; her arm she had, of her own accord, plunged into cold water, with which she desired to treat it for some hours. In the course of seven hours her face looked much better, and the pain was relieved. She had frequently renewed the cold water for the arm, but whenever she withdrew it she complained of much pain, and, in truth, the inflammation in it had *increased*. The following morning I found that she had had during the night great pain in the arm; the inflammation had extended

in as many months, and cold water¹ would but make matters worse.

The old experienced reaper, although he may not be in the habit of drinking brandy, will not touch cold water (*contraria contrariis*) when he has worked himself into a violent feverish state in the heat of the sun—he knows the danger of such a proceeding—but he takes a small quantity of a *heating* liquor, a mouthful of brandy; experience, the teacher of truth, has convinced him of the great superiority and efficacy of this homœopathic procedure, whereby his heat and fatigue are speedily removed.^{2 (a)}

There have occasionally been physicians who *vaguely surmised* that medicines cure analogous morbid states by the power they possess of producing analogous morbid symptoms.³

Thus the author of the book: *περὶ τόπων τῶν κατ' ἀνθρώπων*,⁴ which is among the writings attributed to Hippocrates, has the following remarkable words: *διὰ τὰ*

above the elbow; several large blisters had risen, and thick eschars had formed on the arm and hand; a warm poultice was then applied. The face was completely free from pain, but emollient applications had to be used for the arm for a fortnight longer, before it was cured."

Who can fail to perceive in this instance the infinite superiority of the (homœopathic) treatment by means of remedies of similar action, over the wretched treatment by opposites (contraria contrariis) of the antiquated ordinary school of medicine!

¹ John Hunter (loc. cit.) is not singular in asserting the great injury done by treating burns with cold water. W. Fabricius of Hilden, also (*De Combustionibus libellus*, Basil. 1607, cap. 5, p. 11), alleges that cold applications in burns are highly injurious and productive of the most serious consequences; inflammation, suppuration and sometimes mortification are caused by them.

² Zimmerman (*Ueber die Erfahrung*, ii, p. 318) informs us that the inhabitants of hot countries act in the same manner, with the best results, and that, after being very much heated, they swallow a small quantity of some spirituous liquor.

³ I do not bring forward the following passages from authors who had a presentiment of homœopathy as proofs in support of this doctrine, which is firmly established by its own intrinsic merits, but in order to avoid the imputation of having suppressed these foreshadowings with the view of claiming for myself the priority of the idea.

⁴ *Basil. Froben.*, 1538, p. 72.

ὅμοια νοῦσος γίνεται, καὶ διὰ τὰ ὅμοια προσφερόμενα ἐκ νοσεύτων ὑγιαίνονται,—διὰ τὸ ἐμίειν ἔμετος πάεται.⁽¹⁾

Later physicians have also felt and expressed the truth of the homœopathic method of cure. Thus, for instance, Bouldoc¹ perceived that the purgative property of rhubarb was the cause of its power to allay diarrhœa.

Detharding^{2 (a)} guessed that the infusion of senna leaves relieved colic in adults by virtue of its analogous action in causing colic in healthy persons.

Bertholon³ confesses that in diseases electricity diminishes and removes pain very similar to that which itself produces.

Thoury⁴ testifies that positive electricity possesses the power of quickening the pulse, but when that is already morbidly accelerated it diminishes its frequency.

Von Stoerk⁵ makes the following suggestion: "If stramonium disorders the mind and produces mania in healthy persons, ought we not to try if in cases of insanity it cannot restore reason by producing a revolution in the ideas?"

But a Danish army physician, of the name of Stahl,⁶ has expressed his conviction on this point in the most unequivocal terms. "The rule generally acted on in medicine," says he, "to treat by means of oppositely acting remedies (*contraria contrariis*), is quite false and the reverse of what ought to be; I am, on the contrary, convinced that diseases will yield to, and be cured by, remedies that produce a similar affection (*similia similibus*),—burns by exposure to the fire, frost-bitten limbs by the application of snow and the coldest water, inflammation and bruises by distilled spirits; and in like manner I have treated a tendency to acidity of the stomach by a very small dose of sulphuric acid with the most successful

¹ *Mémoires de l' Académie Royale*, 1710.

² *Eph. Nat. Cur.*, cent. x, obs. 76.

³ *Medicin. Electricitat.*, ii, pp. 15 and 282.

⁴ *Mémoire lu à l' Académie de Caen*.

⁵ *Libell. de Stram.*, p. 8.

⁶ In Jo. Hammelii, *Commentatio de Arthritide lam tartarea, quam scorbutica, seu podagra et scorbuto*, Budingæ, 1738, viii, pp. 40—42.

result, in cases where a number of absorbent remedies had been fruitlessly employed."

How near was the great truth sometimes of being apprehended! But it was dismissed with a mere passing thought, and thus the indispensable change of the antiquated medical treatment of disease, of the improper therapeutic system hitherto in vogue, into a real, true, and certain healing art, remained to be accomplished in our own times.

ORGANON OF MEDICINE.^(a)

§ 1.^(a)

THE physician's high and *only* mission is to restore the sick to health, to cure, as it is termed.¹

§ 2.

The highest ideal of cure is rapid, gentle and permanent restoration of the health, or removal and annihilation of the disease in its whole extent, in the shortest, most reliable, and most harmless way, on easily comprehensible principles.^(a)

§ 3.

If the physician clearly perceives what is to be cured in diseases, that is to say, in every individual case of disease (*knowledge of disease, indication*), if he clearly

¹ His mission is not, however, to construct so-called systems, by interweaving empty speculations and hypotheses concerning the internal essential nature of the vital processes and the mode in which diseases originate in the invisible interior of the organism (whereon so many physicians have hitherto ambitiously wasted their talents and their time); nor is it to attempt to give countless explanations regarding the phenomena in diseases and their proximate cause (which must ever remain concealed), wrapped in unintelligible words and an inflated abstract mode of expression, which should sound very learned in order to astonish the ignorant—whilst sick humanity sighs in vain for aid. Of such learned reveries (to which the name of *theoretic medicine* is given, and for which special professorships are instituted) we have had quite enough, and it is now high time that all who call themselves physicians should at length cease to deceive suffering mankind with mere talk, and *begin* now, instead, for once to *act*, that is, really to help and to cure.

perceives what is curative in medicines, that is to say, in each individual medicine (*knowledge of medicinal powers*), and if he knows how to adapt, according to clearly defined principles, what is curative in medicines to what he has discovered to be undoubtedly morbid in the patient, so that the recovery must ensue—to adapt it, as well in respect to the suitability of the medicine most appropriate according to its mode of action to the case before him (*choice of the remedy, the medicine indicated*), as also in respect to the exact mode of preparation and quantity of it required (*proper dose*), and the proper period for repeating the dose;—if, finally, he knows the obstacles to recovery in each case and is aware how to remove them, so that the restoration may be permanent: *then he understands how to treat judiciously and rationally, and he is a true practitioner of the healing art.*^(a)

§ 4.

He is likewise a preserver of health if he knows the things that derange health and cause disease, and how to remove them from persons in health.^(a)

§ 5.

Useful to the physician in assisting him to cure are the particulars of the most probable *exciting cause* of the acute disease, as also the most significant points in the whole history of the chronic disease, to enable him to discover its *fundamental cause*, which is generally due to a chronic miasm. In these investigations, the ascertainable physical constitution of the patient (especially when the disease is chronic), his moral and intellectual character, his occupation, mode of living and habits, his social and domestic relations, his age, sexual function, &c., are to be taken into consideration.^(a)

§ 6.

The unprejudiced observer—well aware of the futility of transcendental speculations which can receive no confirmation from experience—be his powers of penetration

ever so great, takes note of nothing in every individual disease, except the changes in the health of the body and of the mind (*morbid phenomena, accidents, symptoms*) which can be perceived externally by means of the senses; that is to say, he notices only the deviations from the former healthy state of the now diseased individual, which are felt by the patient himself, remarked by those around him and observed by the physician. All these perceptible signs represent the disease in its whole extent, that is, together they form the true and only conceivable portrait of the disease.^{1(a)}

§ 7.

Now, as in a disease, from which no manifest exciting or maintaining cause (*causa occasionalis*) has to be removed,²

¹ I know not, therefore, how it was possible for physicians at the sick-bed to allow themselves to suppose that, without most carefully attending to the symptoms and being guided by them in the treatment, they ought to seek and could discover, only in the hidden and unknown interior, what there was to be cured in the disease, arrogantly and ludicrously pretending that they could, without paying much attention to the symptoms, discover the alteration that had occurred in the invisible interior, and set it to rights with (unknown!) medicines, and that such a procedure as this could alone be called radical and rational treatment.

Is not, then, that which is cognizable by the senses in diseases through the phenomena it displays, the disease itself in the eyes of the physician, since he never can see the spiritual being that produces the disease, the vital force? nor is it necessary that he should see it, but only that he should ascertain its morbid actions, in order that he may thereby be enabled to cure the disease. What else will the old school search for in the hidden interior of the organism, as a *prima causa morbi*, whilst they reject as an object of cure and contemptuously despise the sensible and manifest representation of the disease, the symptoms, that so plainly address themselves to us? What else do they wish to cure in diseases, but these?*

² It is not necessary to say that every intelligent physician would first remove this where it exists; the indisposition thereupon generally ceases spontaneously. He will remove from the room strong-smelling flowers, which have a tendency to cause syncope and hysterical sufferings; extract from the cornea the foreign body that excites inflammation of the eye; loosen

* "The physician whose researches are directed towards the hidden relations in the interior of the organism, may daily err; but the homœopathist who grasps with requisite carefulness the whole group of symptoms, possesses a sure guide; and if he succeed in removing the whole group of symptoms he has likewise most assuredly destroyed the internal, hidden cause of the disease" (RAU, op. cit., p. 103).

we can perceive nothing but the morbid symptoms, it must (regard being had to the possibility of a miasm, and attention paid to the accessory circumstances, § 5) be the symptoms alone by which the disease demands and points to the remedy suited to relieve it—and, moreover, the totality of these its symptoms, *of this outwardly reflected picture of the internal essence of the disease, that is, of the affection of the vital force,*^(a) must be the principal, or the sole means, whereby the disease can make known what remedy it requires—the only thing that can determine the choice of the most appropriate remedy—and thus, in a word, the totality¹ of the symptoms must be the principal, indeed the only thing the physician has to take note of in every case of disease and to *remove* by means of his art, in order that it shall be cured and transformed into health.^(a)

§ 8.

It is not conceivable, nor can it be proved by any experience in the world, that, after removal of all the symptoms of the disease and of the entire collection of the perceptible phenomena, there should or could remain anything else besides health, or that the

the over-tight bandage on a wounded limb that threatens to cause mortification, and apply a more suitable one, lay bare and put a ligature on the wounded artery that produces fainting; endeavour to promote the expulsion by vomiting of belladonna berries, &c., that may have been swallowed; extract foreign substances that may have got into the orifices of the body (the nose, gullet, ears, urethra, rectum, vagina); crush the vesical calculus; open the imperforate anus of the new-born infant, &c.

¹ In all times, the old school physicians, not knowing how else to give relief, have sought to combat and if possible to suppress by medicines, here and there, a *single* symptom from among a number in diseases—a *one-sided* procedure, which, under the name of *symptomatic treatment*, has justly excited universal contempt, because by it, not only was nothing gained, but much harm was inflicted. A single one of the symptoms present is no more the disease itself than a single foot is the man himself. This procedure was so much the more reprehensible, that such a single symptom was only treated by an antagonistic remedy (therefore only in an enantiopathic and palliative manner), whereby, after a slight alleviation, it was subsequently only rendered all the worse.

morbid alteration in the interior could remain uneradicated.¹

§ 9.^(a)

In the healthy condition of man, the spiritual vital force (autocracy), the dynamis that animates the material body (organism), rules with unbounded sway, and retains all the parts of the organism in admirable, harmonious, vital operation, as regards both sensations and functions, so that our indwelling, reason-gifted mind can freely employ this living, healthy instrument for the higher purposes of our existence.

§ 10.

The material organism, without the vital force, is capable of no sensation, no function, no self-preservation;² it derives all sensation and performs all the functions of life solely by means of the immaterial being (the vital force) which animates the material organism in health and in disease.

§ 11.

When a person falls ill, it is only this spiritual, self-

¹ (a) When a patient has been cured of his disease by a true physician, in such a manner that no trace of the disease, no morbid symptom, remains, and all the signs of health have permanently returned, how can anyone, without offering an insult to common sense, affirm that in such an individual the whole bodily disease still remains in the interior? And yet the chief of the old school, Hufeland, asserts this in the following words: "Homœopathy can remove the symptoms, but the disease remains." (Vide *Homœopathie*, p. 27, 1, 19.) This he maintains partly from mortification at the progress made by homœopathy to the benefit of mankind, partly because he still holds thoroughly material notions respecting disease, which he is still unable to regard as a state of being of the organism wherein it is dynamically altered by the morbidly deranged vital force, as an altered state of health, but he views the disease as a *something material*, which, after the cure is completed, may still remain lurking in some corner in the interior of the body, in order, some day during the most vigorous health, to burst forth at its pleasure with its material presence! So dreadful is still the blindness of the old pathology! No wonder that it could only produce a system of therapeutics which is solely occupied with scouring out the poor patient.

² It is dead, and now only subject to the power of the external physical world; it decays, and is again resolved into its chemical constituents.

acting (automatic) vital force, everywhere present in his organism, that is primarily deranged by the dynamic influence upon it of a morbid agent inimical to life; it is only the vital force, deranged to such an abnormal state, that can furnish the organism with its disagreeable sensations, and incline it to the irregular processes which we call disease; for, as a power invisible in itself, and only cognizable by its effects on the organism, its morbid derangement only makes itself known by the manifestation of disease in the sensations and functions of those parts of the organism exposed to the senses of the observer and physician, that is, by *morbid symptoms*, and in no other way can it make itself known.

§ 12.

It is the morbidly affected vital force alone that produces diseases,¹ so that the morbid phenomena perceptible to our senses express at the same time all the internal change, that is to say, the whole morbid derangement of the internal dynamis; in a word, they reveal the whole disease; consequently, also, the disappearance under treatment of all the morbid phenomena and of all the morbid alterations that differ from the healthy vital operations, certainly affects and necessarily implies the restoration of the integrity of the vital force and, therefore, the recovered health of the whole organism.

§ 13.

Therefore disease (that does not come within the province of manual surgery) considered, as it is by the allopathists, as a thing separate from the living whole, from the organism and its animating vital force, and hidden in the interior, be it of ever so subtle a character, is an absurdity, that could only be imagined by minds of a

¹ *How* the vital force causes the organism to display morbid phenomena, that is, *how* it produces disease, it would be of no practical utility to the physician to know, and therefore it will forever remain concealed from him; only what it is necessary for him to know of the disease and what is fully sufficient for enabling him to cure it, has the Lord of life revealed to his senses.

materialistic stamp, and has for thousands of years given to the prevailing system of medicine all those pernicious impulses that have made it a truly mischievous [non-healing] art.

§ 14.

There is, in the interior of man, nothing morbid that is curable and no invisible morbid alteration that is curable which does not make itself known to the accurately observing physicians by means of morbid signs and symptoms—an arrangement in perfect conformity with the infinite goodness of the all-wise Preserver of human life.

§ 15.

The affection of the morbidly deranged, spirit-like dynamis (vital force) that animates our body in the invisible interior, and the totality of the outwardly cognizable symptoms produced by it in the organism and representing the existing malady, constitute a whole; they are one and the same. The organism is indeed the material instrument of the life, but it is not conceivable without the animation imparted to it by the instinctively perceiving and regulating vital force (just as the vital force is not conceivable without the organism), consequently the two together constitute a unity, although in thought our mind separates this unity into two distinct conceptions for the sake of facilitating the comprehension of it.

§ 16.

Our vital force, as a spirit-like dynamis, cannot be attacked and affected by injurious influences on the healthy organism caused by the external inimical forces that disturb the harmonious play of life, otherwise than in a spirit-like (dynamic) way, and in like manner, all such morbid derangements (diseases) cannot be removed from it by the physician in any other way than by the spirit-like (dynamic, virtual) alterative powers of the

serviceable medicines acting upon our spirit-like vital force, which perceives them through the medium of the sentient faculty of the nerves everywhere present in the organism, so that it is only by their dynamic action on the vital force that remedies are able to re-establish and do actually re-establish health and vital harmony, after the changes in the health of the patient cognizable by our senses (the totality of the symptoms) have revealed the disease to the carefully observing and investigating physician as fully as was requisite in order to enable him to cure it.

§ 17.

Now, as in the cure effected by the removal of the whole of the perceptible signs and symptoms of the disease the internal alteration of the vital force to which the disease is due—consequently the whole of the disease—is at the same time removed,¹ it follows that the physician has only to remove the whole of the symptoms in order, at the same time, to abrogate and annihilate the internal change, that is to say, the morbid derangement of the vital force—consequently the totality of the disease, the *disease itself*.² But when the disease is annihilated the

¹ A warning dream, a superstitious fancy, or a solemn prediction that death would occur at a certain day or at a certain hour, has not unfrequently produced all the signs of commencing and increasing disease, of approaching death and death itself at the hour announced, which could not happen without the simultaneous production of the inward change (corresponding to the state observed externally); and hence in such cases all the morbid signs indicative of approaching death have frequently been dissipated by an identical cause, by some cunning deception or persuasion to a belief in the contrary, and health suddenly restored, which could not have happened without the removal, by means of this moral remedy, of the internal and external morbid change that threatened death.

² It is only thus that God, the Preserver of mankind, could reveal His wisdom and goodness in reference to the cure of the disease to which man is liable here below, by showing to the physician what he had to remove in diseases in order to annihilate them and thus re-establish health. But what would we think of His wisdom and goodness if He had shrouded in mysterious obscurity that which was to be cured in diseases (as is asserted by the dominant school of medicine, which affects to possess a supernatural insight into the inner nature of things), and shut it up in the hidden interior, and thus rendered it impossible for man to know the malady accurately, consequently impossible for him to cure it?

health is restored, and this is the highest, the sole aim of the physician who knows the true object of his mission, which consists not in learned-sounding prating, but in giving aid to the sick.^(a)

§ 18.

From this indubitable truth, that besides the totality of the symptoms nothing can by any means be discovered in diseases wherewith they could express their need of aid, it follows undeniably that the sum of all the symptoms in each individual case of disease must be the *sole indication*, the sole guide to direct us in the choice of a remedy.

§ 19.

Now, as *diseases* are nothing more than *alterations in the state of health of the healthy individual* which express themselves by morbid signs, and the *cure* is also only possible by a *change to the healthy condition of the state of health of the diseased individual*, it is very evident that *medicines* could never cure diseases if they did not possess the power of altering man's state of health which depends on sensations and functions; indeed, that their curative power must be owing *solely* to this power they possess of altering man's state of health.

§ 20.^(a)

This spirit-like power to alter man's state of health (and hence to cure diseases) which lies hidden in the inner nature of medicines can never be discovered by us by a mere effort of reason; it is only by experience of the phenomena it displays when acting on the state of health of man that we can become clearly cognizant of it.

§ 21.

Now, as it is undeniable that the curative principle in medicines is not in itself perceptible, and as in pure experiments with medicines conducted by the most accurate observers, nothing can be observed that can constitute

them medicines or remedies except that power of causing distinct alterations in the state of health of the human body, and particularly in that of the *healthy individual*, and of exciting in him various definite morbid symptoms; so it follows that when medicines act as remedies, they can only bring their curative property into play by means of this their power of altering man's state of health by the production of peculiar symptoms; and that, therefore, we have only to rely on the morbid phenomena which the medicines produce in the healthy body as the sole possible revelation of their in-dwelling curative power, in order to learn what disease-producing power, and at the same time what disease-curing power, each individual medicine possesses.

§ 22.

But as nothing is to be observed in diseases that must be removed in order to change them into health besides the totality of their signs and symptoms, and likewise medicines can show nothing curative besides their tendency to produce morbid symptoms in healthy persons⁽¹⁾ and to remove them in diseased persons; it follows, on the one hand, that medicines only become remedies and capable of annihilating diseases, because the medicinal substance, by exciting certain effects and symptoms, that is to say, by producing a certain artificial morbid state, removes and abrogates the symptoms already present, to wit, the natural morbid state we wish to cure. On the other hand, it follows that, for the totality of the symptoms of the disease to be cured, a medicine must be sought which (according as experience shall prove whether the morbid symptoms are most readily, certainly, and permanently removed and changed into health by similar or opposite medicinal symptoms¹) has a tendency to produce similar or opposite symptoms.

¹ The other possible mode of employing medicines for diseases besides these two (the *allopathic method*), in which medicines are given, whose symptoms have no direct pathological relation to the morbid state, consequently are neither similar nor opposite, but quite heterogeneous to the symptoms of

§ 23.

All pure experience, however, and all accurate research convince us that persistent symptoms of disease are far from being removed and annihilated by *opposite* symptoms of medicines (as in the *antipathic*, *enantiopathic* or *palliative* method), that, on the contrary, after transient, apparent alleviation, they break forth again, only with increased intensity, and become manifestly aggravated (see §§ 58—62 and 69).

§ 24.

There remains, therefore, no other mode of employing medicines in diseases that promises to be of service besides the homœopathic, by means of which we seek, for the totality of the symptoms of the case of disease, a medicine which among all medicines (whose pathogenetic effects are known from having been tested in healthy individuals) has the power and the tendency to produce an artificial morbid state most similar to that of the case of disease in question.

§ 25.^(a)

Now, however, in all careful trials, pure experience,¹ the sole and infallible oracle of the healing art,

the disease, is, as shown above, in the *Introduction (Review of the therapeutics, allopathy and palliative treatment that have hitherto been practiced in the old school of medicine)*, merely an *imperfect and injurious imitation of the extremely imperfect efforts of the unintelligent, merely instinctive vital force, which, when made ill by noxious agents, strives to save itself at whatever sacrifice by the production and continuance of morbid irritation in the organism*—an imitation, consequently, of the crude vital force which was implanted in our organism in order to preserve our life in health, in the most beautiful harmony; but when deranged by disease, was so constituted as to admit of being again changed to health (homœopathically) by the intelligent physician, but not to cure itself, for which the little power it possesses is so far from being a pattern to be copied, that all the changes and symptoms it produces in the (morbidly deranged) organism are just the disease itself. But this injudicious system of therapeutics of the old school of medicine can no more be passed by unnoticed than can history omit to record the thousands of years of oppression to which mankind has been subjected under the irrational, despotic Governments.

¹ I do not mean that sort of experience of which the ordinary practitioners

teaches us that actually that medicine which, in its action on the healthy human body, has demonstrated its power of producing the greatest number of symptoms *similar* to those observable in the case of disease under treatment, does also, in doses of suitable potency and attenuation, rapidly, radically and permanently remove the totality of the symptoms of this morbid state, that is to say (§§ 6—16), the whole disease present, and change it into health; and that all medicines cure, without exception, those diseases whose symptoms most nearly resemble their own, and leave none of them uncured.

§ 26.^(a)

This depends on the following homœopathic law of nature which was sometimes, indeed, vaguely surmised but not hitherto fully recognised, and to which is due every real cure that has ever taken place:

*A weaker dynamic affection is permanently extinguished in the living organism by a stronger one, if the latter (whilst differing in kind) is very similar to the former in its manifestations.*¹

of the old school boast, after they have for years worked away with a lot of complex prescriptions on a number of diseases which they never carefully investigated, but which, faithful to the tenets of their school, they considered as already described in works of systematic pathology, and dreamed that they could detect in them some imaginary morbid matter, or ascribed to them some other hypothetical internal abnormality. They always saw something in them, but knew not what it was they saw, and they got results, from the complex forces acting on an unknown object, that no human being but only a God could have unravelled—results from which nothing can be learned, no experience gained. Fifty years' experience of this sort is like fifty years of looking into a kaleidoscope filled with unknown coloured objects, and perpetually turning round; thousands of ever-changing figures and no accounting for them!

¹ Thus are cured both physical affections and moral maladies.^(a) How is it that in the early dawn the brilliant Jupiter vanishes from the gaze of the beholder? By a stronger very similar power acting on his optic nerve, the brightness of approaching day!—In situations replete with fœtid odours, wherewith is it usual to soothe effectually the offended olfactory nerves? With snuff, that affects the sense of smell in a similar but stronger manner! No music, no sugared cake, which act on the nerves of other senses, can cure this olfactory disgust. How does the soldier cunningly stifle the piteous cries of him who runs the gauntlet from the ears of the compassionate bystanders?

§ 27.^(a)

The curative power of medicines, therefore, depends on their symptoms, similar to the disease but superior to it in strength (§§ 12—26), so that each individual case of disease is most surely, radically, rapidly and permanently annihilated and removed only by a medicine capable of producing (in the human system) in the most similar and complete manner the totality of its symptoms, which at the same time are stronger than the disease.

§ 28.

As this natural law of cure manifests itself in every pure experiment and every true observation in the world, the fact is consequently established; it matters little what may be the scientific explanation of *how it takes place*; and I do not attach much importance to the attempts made to explain it. But the following view seems to commend itself as the most probable one, as it is founded on premises derived from experience.^(a)

§ 29.^(a)

As every disease (not strictly belonging to the domain of surgery) depends only on a peculiar morbid derangement of our vital force in sensations and functions, when a homœopathic cure of the vital force deranged by natural disease is accomplished by the administration of a medicinal

By the shrill notes of the fife commingled with the roll of the noisy drum! And the distant roar of the enemy's cannon that inspires his army with fear? By the loud boom of the big drum! For neither the one nor the other would the distribution of a brilliant piece of uniform nor a reprimand to the regiment suffice.—In like manner, mourning and sorrow will be effaced from the mind by the account of another and still greater cause for sorrow happening to another, even though it be a mere fiction. The injurious consequences of too great joy will be removed by drinking coffee, which produces an excessively joyous state of mind. Nations like the Germans, who have for centuries been gradually sinking deeper and deeper in soulless apathy and degrading serfdom, must first be trodden still deeper in the dust by the Western Conqueror, until their situation became intolerable; their mean opinion of themselves was thereby overstrained and removed; they again became alive to their dignity as men, and then, for the first time, they raised their heads as Germans.

agent selected on account of an accurate similarity of symptoms, a somewhat stronger, similar, artificial morbid affection is brought into contact with and, as it were, pushed into the place of the weaker, similar, natural morbid irritation, against which the instinctive vital force, now merely (though in a stronger degree) medicinally diseased, is then compelled to direct an increased amount of energy, but, on account of the shorter duration of the action¹ of the medicinal agent that now morbidly affects it, the vital force soon overcomes this, and as it was in the first instance relieved from the natural morbid affection, so it is now at last freed from the substituted artificial (medicinal) one, and hence is enabled again to carry on healthily the vital operations of the organism.^(a) This highly probable explanation of the process rests on the following axioms.

§ 30.

The human body appears to admit of being much more powerfully affected in its health by medicines (partly because we have the regulation of the dose in our own power) than by natural morbid stimuli—for natural diseases are cured and overcome by suitable medicines.

§ 31.

The inimical forces, partly psychical, partly physical, to which our terrestrial existence is exposed, which are

¹ The short duration of the action of the artificial morbid forces, which we term medicines, makes it possible that, although they are stronger than the natural diseases, they can yet be much more easily overcome by the vital force than can the weaker natural diseases, which, solely in consequence of the longer, generally lifelong, duration of their action (psora, syphilis, scyosis), can never be vanquished and extinguished by it alone, until the physician affects the vital force in a stronger manner by an agent that produces a disease very similar, but stronger, to wit a homœopathic medicine, which, when taken (or smelt), is, as it were, forced upon the unintelligent, instinctive vital force, and substituted in the place of the former natural morbid affection, by which means the vital force then remains merely medicinally ill, but only for a short time, because the action of the medicine (the time in which the medicinal disease excited by it run its course) does not last long. The cures of diseases of many years' duration (§ 46), by the occurrence of smallpox and measles (both of which run a course of only a few weeks), are processes of a similar character.^(a)

termed morbidic noxious agents, do not possess the power of morbidly deranging the health of man unconditionally;¹ but we are made ill by them only when our organism is sufficiently disposed and susceptible to the attack of the morbidic cause that may be present, and to be altered in its health, deranged and made to undergo abnormal sensations and functions—hence they do not produce disease in every one nor at all times.

§ 32.

But it is quite otherwise with the artificial morbidic agents which we term medicines. Every real medicine, namely, acts at *all* times, under *all* circumstances, on *every* living human being, and produces in him its peculiar symptoms (distinctly perceptible, if the dose be large enough), so that evidently every living human organism is liable to be affected, and, as it were, inoculated with the medicinal disease at all times, and absolutely (*unconditionally*), which, as before said, is by no means the case with the natural diseases.

§ 33.

In accordance with this fact, it is undeniably shown by all experience² that the living human organism is much more disposed and has a greater liability to be acted on, and to have its health deranged by medicinal

¹ When I call disease a *dérangement* of man's state of health, I am far from wishing thereby to give a hyperphysical explanation of the internal nature of diseases generally, or of any case of disease in particular. It is only intended by this expression to intimate, what it can be proved diseases are *not* and *cannot be*, that they are not mechanical or chemical alterations of the material substance of the body, and not dependent on a material morbidic substance, but that they are merely spiritual dynamic derangements of the life.

² A striking fact in corroboration of this is, that whilst previously to the year 1801, when the smooth scarlatina of Sydenham still occasionally prevailed epidemically among children, it attacked without exception all children who had escaped it in a former epidemic; in a similar epidemic which I witnessed in Königsutter, on the contrary, *all* the children who took in time a very small dose of belladonna remained unaffected by this highly infectious infantile disease. If medicines can protect from a disease that is raging around, they must possess a vastly superior power of affecting our vital force.

powers, than by morbid noxious agents and infectious miasms, or, in other words, *that the morbid noxious agents possess a power of morbidly deranging man's health that is subordinate and conditional, often very conditional; whilst medicinal agents have an absolute unconditional power, greatly superior to the former.*

§ 34.

The greater strength of the artificial diseases producible by medicines is, however, not the sole cause of their power to cure natural diseases. In order that they may effect a cure, it is before all things requisite that they should be capable of producing in the human body *an artificial disease as similar as possible* to the disease to be cured, in order, by means of this similarity, conjoined with its somewhat greater strength, to substitute themselves for the natural morbid affection, and thereby deprive the latter of all influence upon the vital force. This is so true, that no previously existing disease can be cured, even by Nature herself, by the accession of a new *dissimilar* disease, be it ever so strong, and just as little can it be cured by medical treatment with drugs, which are incapable of producing a *similar* morbid condition in the healthy body.

§ 35.

In order to illustrate this, we shall consider in three different cases, as well what happens in nature when two dissimilar natural diseases meet together in one person, as also the result of the ordinary medical treatment of diseases with unsuitable allopathic drugs, which are incapable of producing an artificial morbid condition similar to the disease to be cured, whereby it will appear that even Nature herself is unable to remove a dissimilar disease already present by one that is unhomœopathic, even though it be stronger, and just as little is the unhomœopathic employment of even the strongest medicines ever capable of curing any disease whatsoever.

§ 36.

I. If the two *dissimilar* diseases meeting together in the human being be of equal strength, or still more if the *older one be the stronger*, the new disease will be repelled by the old one from the body and not allowed to affect it. A patient suffering from a severe chronic disease will not be infected by a moderate autumnal dysentery or other epidemic disease. The plague of the Levant, according to Larry,¹ does not break out where scurvy is prevalent, and persons suffering from eczema are not infected by it. Rachitis, Jenner alleges, prevents vaccination from taking effect. Those suffering from pulmonary consumption are not liable to be attacked by epidemic fevers of a not very violent character, according to Von Hildenbrand.

§ 37.

So, also, *under ordinary medical treatment*, an old chronic disease remains uncured and unaltered if it is treated according to the common *allopathic* method, that is to say, with medicines that are incapable of producing in healthy individuals a state of health similar to the disease, even though the treatment should last for years and is not of too violent character. This is daily witnessed in practice, it is therefore unnecessary to give any illustrative examples.

§ 38.^(a)

II. Or *the new dissimilar disease is the stronger*. In this case the disease under which the patient originally laboured, being the weaker, will be kept back and suspended by the accession of the stronger one, until the latter shall have run its course or been cured, and then the old one reappears *uncured*. Two children affected with a kind of epilepsy remained free from epileptic attacks after infection with ringworm (*tinea*); but as soon as the eruption on the head was gone the epilepsy

¹ "Mémoires et Observations," in the *Description de l'Égypte*, tom. i.

returned just as before, as Tulpius¹ observed. The itch, as Schöpf² saw, disappeared on the occurrence of the scurvy, but after the cure of the latter it again broke out. So also the pulmonary phthisis remained stationary when the patient was attacked by a violent typhus, but went on again after the latter had run its course.³ If mania occur in a consumptive patient, the phthisis with all its symptoms is removed by the former; but if that go off, the phthisis returns immediately and proves fatal.⁴ When measles and smallpox are prevalent at the same time, and both attack the same child, the measles that had already broken out is generally checked by the smallpox that came somewhat later; nor does the measles resume its course until after the cure of the smallpox; but it not infrequently happens that the inoculated smallpox is suspended for four days by the supervention of the measles, as observed by Manget,⁵ after the desquamation of which the smallpox completes its course. Even when the inoculation of the smallpox had taken effect for six days, and the measles then broke out, the inflammation of the inoculation remained stationary and the smallpox did not ensue until the measles had completed its regular course of seven days.⁶ In an epidemic of measles, that disease attacked many individuals on the fourth or fifth day after the inoculation of smallpox and prevented the development of the smallpox until it had completed its own course, whereupon the smallpox appeared and proceeded regularly to its termination.⁷ The true, smooth, erysipelatous-looking scarlatina of Sydenham,⁸ with sore throat, was checked on the fourth

¹ *Obs.*, lib. i, obs. 8.

² In *Hufeland's Journal*, xv, 2.

³ Chevalier, in *Hufeland's Nuesten Annalen der französischen Heilkunde*, ii, p. 192.

⁴ Mania phthisi superveniens eam cum omnibus suis phænomenis aufert, verum mox reilit phthisis et occidit, abeunte mania. *Reil Memorab.*, fasc. iii, v, p. 171.

⁵ In the *Edinb. Med. Comment.*, pt. i, 1.

⁶ John Hunter, *On the Venereal Disease*, p. 5.

⁷ Rainey, in the *Edinb. Med. Comment.*, iii, p. 480.

⁸ Very accurately described by Withering and Plenciz, but differing greatly

day by the eruption of cow-pox, which ran its regular course, and not till it was ended did the scarlatina again establish itself; but on another occasion, as both diseases seem to be of equal strength, the cow-pox was suspended on the eighth day by the supervention of the true, smooth scarlatina of Sydenham, and the red areola of the former disappeared until the scarlatina was gone, whereon the cow-pox immediately resumed its course, and went on to its regular termination.¹ The measles suspended the cow-pox; on the eighth day, when the cow-pox had nearly attained its climax, the measles broke out; the cow-pox now remained stationary, and did not resume and complete its course until the desquamation of the measles, had taken place, so that on the sixteenth day it presented the appearance it otherwise would have shown on the tenth day, as Kortum observed.²

Even after the measles had broken out the cow-pox inoculation took effect, but did not run its course until the measles had disappeared, as Kortum likewise witnessed.³

I myself saw the mumps (*angina parotidea*) immediately disappear when the cow-pox inoculation had taken effect and had nearly attained its height; it was not until the complete termination of the cow-pox and the disappearance of its red areola that this febrile tumefaction of the parotid and submaxillary glands, that is caused by a peculiar miasm, reappeared and ran its regular course of seven days.

And thus it is with all dissimilar diseases; the stronger suspends the weaker (when they do not complicate one another, which is seldom the case with acute diseases), but they never cure one another.

from the purpura (or Roodvonk), which is often erroneously denominated scarlet fever. It is only of late years that the two, which were originally very different diseases, have come to resemble each other in their symptoms.

¹ Jenner, in *Medicinische Annalen*, August, 1800, p. 747.

² In *Hufeland's Journal der praktischen Arzneykunde*, xx, 3, p. 50.

³ *Loc. cit.*

§ 39. (A)

Now the adherents of the ordinary school of medicine saw all this for so many centuries; they saw that Nature herself cannot cure any disease by the accession of another, be it ever so strong, if the new disease be *dissimilar* to that already present in the body. What shall we think of them, that they nevertheless went on treating chronic diseases with allopathic remedies, namely, with medicines and prescriptions capable of producing God knows what morbid state—almost invariably, however, one *dissimilar* to the disease to be cured? And even though physicians did not hitherto observe nature attentively, the miserable results of their treatment should have taught them that they were pursuing an inappropriate, a false path. Did they not perceive when they employed, as was their custom, an aggressive allopathic treatment in a chronic disease, that thereby they only created an artificial disease *dissimilar* to the original one, which, as long as it was kept up, merely held in abeyance, merely suppressed, merely suspended the original disease, which latter, however, always returned, and must return, as soon as the diminished strength of the patient no longer admitted of a continuance of the allopathic attacks on the life? Thus the itch exanthema certainly disappears very soon from the skin under the employment of violent purgatives, frequently repeated; but when the patient can no longer stand the factitious (*dissimilar*) disease of the bowels, and can take no more purgatives, then either the cutaneous eruption breaks out as before, or the internal psora displays itself in some bad symptom, and the patient, in addition to his undiminished original disease, has to endure the misery of a painful ruined digestion and impaired strength to boot. So, also, when the ordinary physicians keep up artificial ulcerations of the skin and issues on the exterior of the body, with the view of thereby eradicating a chronic disease, they can NEVER attain their object by so doing, they can NEVER cure them by that means, as such artificial cutaneous

ulcers are quite alien and allopathic to the internal affection; but inasmuch as the irritation produced by several tissues is at least sometimes a stronger (*dissimilar*) disease than the indwelling malady, the latter is thereby sometimes silenced and suspended for a week or two. But it is *only suspended*, and that for a very short time, whilst the patient's powers are gradually worn out. Epilepsy, suppressed for many years by means of issues, invariably recurred, and in an aggravated form, when they were allowed to heal up, as Pechlin¹ and others testify. But purgatives for itch, and issues for epilepsy, cannot be more heterogeneous, more dissimilar deranging agents—cannot be more allopathic, more exhausting modes of treatment—than are the customary prescriptions, composed of unknown ingredients, used in ordinary practice for the other nameless, innumerable forms of disease. These likewise do nothing but debilitate, and only suppress or suspend the malady for a short time without being able to cure it, and when used for a long time always add a new morbid state to the old disease.

§ 40.

III. Or *the new disease*, after having long acted on the organism, at length *joins the old one that is dissimilar to it*, and forms with it a *complex* disease, so that each of them occupies a particular locality in the organism, namely, the organs peculiarly adapted for it, and, as it were, only the place specially belonging to it, whilst it leaves the rest to the other disease that is dissimilar to it. Thus a syphilitic patient may become psoric, and *vice versâ*. *As two diseases dissimilar to each other, they cannot remove, cannot cure one another*. At first the venereal symptoms are kept in abeyance and suspended when the psoric eruption begins to appear; in course of time, however (as the syphilis is at least as strong as the psora), the two join together,² that is, each involves those

¹ *Obs. phys. med.*, lib. ii, obs. 30.

² From careful experiments and cures of complex diseases of this kind, I

parts of the organism only which are most adapted for it, and the patient is thereby rendered more diseased and more difficult to cure.

When two dissimilar acute infectious diseases meet, as, for example, smallpox and measles, the one usually suspends the other, as has been before observed; yet there have also been severe epidemics of this kind, where, in rare cases, two dissimilar acute diseases occurred simultaneously in one and the same body, and for a short time combined, as it were, with each other. During an epidemic, in which smallpox and measles were prevalent at the same time, among three hundred cases (in which these diseases avoided or suspended one another, and the measles attacked patients twenty days after the smallpox broke out, the smallpox, however, from seventeen to eighteen days after the appearance of the measles, so that the first disease had previously completed its regular course) there was yet one single case in which P. Russell¹ met with both these dissimilar diseases in one person at the same time. Rainey² witnessed the simultaneous occurrence of smallpox and measles in two girls. J. Maurice,³ in his whole practice, only observed two such cases. Similar cases are to be found in Ettmüller's⁴ works, and in the writings of a few others.

Zencker⁵ saw cow-pox run its regular course along with measles and along with purpura.

The cow-pox went on its course undisturbed during a mercurial treatment for syphilis, as Jenner saw.

am now firmly convinced that no real amalgamation of the two takes place, but that in such cases the one exists in the organism *besides* the other only, each in the parts that are adapted for it, and their cure will be completely effected by a judicious alternation of the best mercurial preparation, with the remedies specific for the psora, each given in the most suitable dose and form.

¹ Vide *Transactions of a Society for the Improvement of Med. and Chir. Knowledge*, ii.

² In *Edinb. Med. Comment.*, iii, p. 480.

³ In *Med. and Phys. Journ.*, 1805.

⁴ *Opera*, ii, p. i., cap. 10.

⁵ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xvii.

. § 41.

Much more frequent than the natural diseases associating with and complicating one another in the same body are the morbid complications resulting from the art of the ordinary practitioner, which the inappropriate medical treatment (the allopathic method) is apt to produce by the long-continued employment of unsuitable drugs. To the natural disease, which it is proposed to cure, there are then added, by the constant repetition of the unsuitable medicinal agent, the new, often very tedious, morbid conditions which might be anticipated from the peculiar powers of the drug; these gradually coalesce with and complicate the chronic malady which is dissimilar to them (which they were unable to cure by similarity of action, that is, homœopathically), adding to the old disease a new, dissimilar, artificial malady of a chronic nature, and thus give the patient a double in place of a single disease, that is to say, render him much worse and more difficult to cure, often quite incurable. Many of the cases for which advice is asked in medical journals, as also the records of other cases in medical writings, attest the truth of this. Of a similar character are the frequent cases in which the venereal chancrous disease, complicated especially with psora or with the dyscrasia of condylomatous gonorrhœa, is not cured by long-continued or frequently repeated treatment with large doses of unsuitable mercurial preparations, but assumes its place in the organism beside the chronic mercurial affection¹ that has been in the meantime gradually developed, and thus along with it often forms a hideous monster of complicated disease (under the general name of masked venereal disease), which then, when not quite incurable, can only be transformed into health with the greatest difficulty.

¹ For mercury, besides the morbid symptoms which by virtue of similarity can cure the venereal disease homœopathically, has among its effects many others unlike those of syphilis, which, if it be employed in large doses, cause new maladies and commit great ravages in the body, especially when complicated with psora, as is so frequently the case.

§ 42.

Nature herself permits, as has been stated, in some cases, the simultaneous occurrence of two (indeed, of three) natural diseases in one and the same body. This complication, however, it must be remarked, happens only in the case of two *dissimilar* diseases, which according to the eternal laws of nature do not remove, do not annihilate and cannot cure one another, but, as it seems, both (or all three) remain, as it were, separate in the organism, and each takes possession of the parts and systems peculiarly appropriate to it, which, on account of the want of resemblance of these maladies to each other, can very well happen without disparagement to the unity of life.

§ 43.^(a)

Totally different, however, is the result when *two similar* diseases meet together in the organism, that is to say, when to the disease already present a stronger similar one is added. In such cases we see how a cure can be effected by the operations of nature, and we get a lesson as to how man ought to cure.

§ 44.

Two diseases *similar* to each other can neither (as is asserted of dissimilar diseases in I) *repel* one another, nor (as has been shown of dissimilar diseases in II) *suspend* one another, so that the old one shall return after the new one has run its course; and just as little can two *similar* diseases (as has been demonstrated in III respecting dissimilar affections) *exist beside each other* in the same organism, or together form a *double* complex disease.

§ 45.

No! two diseases, differing, it is true, in kind,¹ but very similar in their phenomena and effects and in the sufferings and symptoms they severally produce, invariably annihilate one another whenever they meet together

¹ Vide *supra*, § 26, note.

in the organism; the stronger disease, namely, annihilates the weaker, and that for this simple reason, because the stronger morbid power when it invades the system, by reason of its similarity of action involves precisely the *same* parts of the organism that were previously affected by the weaker morbid irritation, which, consequently, can no longer act on these parts, but is extinguished;¹ or (in other words) because, whenever the vital force, deranged by the primary disease, is more strongly attacked by the new, very similar, but stronger dynamic morbid power, it therefore now remains affected by the latter alone, whereby the original, similar but weaker disease must, as a mere dynamic power without material substratum, cease to exercise any further morbid influence on the vital force, consequently it must cease to exist.

§ 46.

Many examples might be adduced of diseases which, in the course of nature, have been homœopathically cured by other diseases presenting similar symptoms, were it not necessary, as our object is to speak about something determinate and indubitable, to confine our attention solely to those (few) diseases which are invariably the same, arise from a fixed miasm, and hence merit a distinct name.

Among these the smallpox, so dreaded on account of the great number of its serious symptoms, occupies a prominent position, and it has removed and cured a number of maladies with similar symptoms.

How frequently does smallpox produce violent ophthalmia, sometimes even causing blindness! And see! by its inoculation Dezoteux² cured a chronic ophthalmia permanently, and Leroy³ another.

An amaurosis of two years' duration, consequent on suppressed scald-head, was perfectly cured by it, according to Klein.⁴

¹ Just as the image of a lamp's flame is rapidly overpowered and effaced from our retina by the stronger sunbeam impinging on the eye.

² *Traité de l'inoculation*, p. 189.

³ *Heilkunde für Mütter*, p. 384.

⁴ *Interpres clinicus*, p. 293.

How often does smallpox cause deafness and dyspnœa! And both these chronic diseases it removed on reaching its acme, as J. Fr. Closs¹ observed.

Swelling of the testicle, even of a very severe character, is a frequent symptom of smallpox, and on this account it was enabled, as Klein² observed, to cure, by virtue of similarity, a large hard swelling of the left testicle, consequent on a bruise. And another observer³ saw a similar swelling of the testicle cured by it.

Among the troublesome symptoms of smallpox is a dysenteric state of the bowels; and it subdued, as Fr. Wendt⁴ observed, a case of dysentery, as a similar morbid agent.

Smallpox coming on after vaccination, as well on account of its greater strength as its great similarity, at once removes entirely the cow-pox homœopathically, and does not permit it to come to maturity; but, on the other hand, the cow-pox when near maturity does, on account of its great similarity, homœopathically diminish very much the supervening smallpox and make it much milder, as Mühry⁵ and many others testify.

The inoculated *cow-pox*, whose lymph, besides the protective matter, contains the contagion of a general cutaneous eruption of another nature, consisting of usually small, dry (rarely large, pustular) pimples, resting on a small red areola, frequently conjoined with round red cutaneous spots and often accompanied by the most violent itching, which rash appears in not a few children several days *before*, more frequently, however, *after* the red areola of the cow-pock, and goes off in a few days, leaving behind small, red, hard spots on the skin;—the inoculated cow-pox, I say, after it has taken, cures perfectly and permanently, in a homœopathic manner, by the similarity of this accessory miasm, ana-

¹ *Neue Heilart der Kinderpocken*, Ulm, 1769, p. 68; and *Specim.*, obs. No. 18.

² *Op. cit.*

³ *Nov. Act. Nat. cur.*, vol. i, obs. 22.

⁴ *Nachricht von dem Krankeninstitut zu Erlangen*, 1783.

⁵ Willan, *Ueber die Kuhpockenimpfung, aus dem Engl., mit Zusätzen G. P. Mühry*, Göttingen, 1808.

logous cutaneous eruptions of children, often of very long standing and of a very troublesome character, as a number of observers assert.¹

The cowpox, a peculiar symptom of which is to cause tumefaction of the arm,² cured, after it broke out, a *swollen* half-paralysed arm.³

The fever accompanying cow-pox, which occurs at the time of the production of the red areola, cured homœopathically intermittent fever in two individuals, as the younger Hardege⁴ reports, confirming what J. Hunter⁵ had already observed, that two fevers (similar diseases) cannot co-exist in the same body.⁶

The *measles* bear a strong resemblance in the character of its fever and cough to the whooping-cough, and hence it was that Bosquillon⁷ noticed, in an epidemic where both these affections prevailed, that many children who then took measles remained free from whooping-cough during that epidemic. They would all have been protected from, and rendered incapable of being infected by, the whooping-

¹ Especially Clavier, Hurel and Desmormeaux, in the *Bulletin des sciences médicales, publié par les membres du comité central de la Soc. de Médecine du Département de l' Eure*, 1808; also in the *Journal de médecine continué*, vol. xv, p. 206.

² Balhorn, in *Hufeland's Journal*, 10, ii.

³ Stevenson, in Duncan's *Annals of Medicine*, lustr. 2, vol. i, pt. 2, No. 9.

⁴ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xxiii.

⁵ *On the Venereal Disease*, p. 4.

⁶ The examples adduced in this place, in the former editions of the *Organon* except the last, of chronic maladies cured by the itch,^(a) can, according to the discoveries and explanations I had given in the first part of my book on *Chronic Diseases*, be looked upon as only in a certain degree homœopathic cures. The great maladies which thereby disappeared (suffocative asthma of many years' standing and pulmonary phthisis) were themselves originally of psoric origin, widely spread, life-threatening symptoms of an ancient psora that had been fully developed in the interior of the system, which was again transformed into the simple form of the primitive itch disease by the cutaneous eruption resulting from the new infection (as always happens in such cases), whereby the old malady and the dangerous symptoms were made to disappear. Such a transformation into the primitive form is there ore only to be considered as a homœopathic healer of these extensive symptoms of highly developed ancient psora, in so far as the new infection puts the patient in a much more favorable condition to be cured of the whole psora by anti-psoric medicines.

⁷ Cullen's *Elements of Practical Medicine*, pt. 2, i, 3, ch. vii.

cough in that and all subsequent epidemics, by the measles, if the whooping-cough were not a disease that has only a partial similarity to the measles, that is to say, if it had also a cutaneous eruption similar to what the latter possesses. As it is, however, the measles can but preserve a large number from whooping-cough homœopathically, and that only in the epidemic prevailing at the time.

If, however, the *measles* come in contact with a disease resembling it in its chief symptom, the eruption, it can indisputably remove, and effect a homœopathic cure of the latter. Thus a chronic herpetic eruption was entirely and permanently (homœopathically) cured¹ by the breaking out of the measles, as Kortum² observed. An excessively burning miliary rash on the face, neck, and arms, that had lasted six years, and was aggravated by every change of weather, on the invasion of measles assumed the form of a swelling of the surface of the skin; after the measles had run its course the exanthem was cured, and returned no more.³

§ 47. (a)

Nothing could teach the physician in a plainer and more convincing manner than the above what kind of artificial morbid agent (medicine) he ought to choose in order to cure in a sure, rapid and permanent manner, conformably with the process that takes place in nature.

§ 48.

Neither in the course of nature, as we see from all the above examples, nor by the physician's art, can an existing affection or malady in any one instance be removed by a dissimilar morbid agent, be it ever so strong, but *solely by one that is similar in symptoms and is somewhat stronger*, according to eternal, irrevocable laws of nature, which have not hitherto been recognized.

¹ Or at least that symptom was removed.

² In *Hufeland's Journal*, xx, 3, p. 50.

³ Rau, *Ueber d. Werth des hom. Heilw.*, Heidelb., 1824, p. 85.

§ 49.

We should have been able to meet with many more real, natural homœopathic cures of this kind if, on the one hand, the attention of observers had been more directed to them, and, on the other hand, if nature had not been so deficient in helpful homœopathic diseases.

§ 50.

Mighty Nature herself has, as we see, at her command, as instruments for effecting homœopathic cures, little besides the miasmatic diseases of constant character, (the itch,) measles and smallpox,¹ morbid agents which,² as remedies, are either more dangerous to life and more to be dreaded than the disease they are to cure, or of such a kind (like the itch) that, after they have effected the cure, they themselves require curing, in order to be eradicated in their turn—both circumstances that make their employment, as homœopathic remedies, difficult, uncertain and dangerous. And how few diseases are there to which man is subject that find their similar remedy in smallpox, measles or itch! Hence, in the course of nature, very few maladies can be cured by these uncertain and hazardous homœopathic remedies, and the cure by their instrumentality is also attended with danger and much difficulty, for this reason that the doses of these morbid powers cannot be diminished according to circumstances, as doses of medicine can; but the patient afflicted with an analogous malady of long standing must be subjected to the entire dangerous and tedious disease, to the entire disease of smallpox, measles (or itch), which in its turn has to be cured. And yet, as is seen, we can point to some striking homœopathic cures effected by this lucky concurrence, all so many incontrovertible proofs of the great, the sole therapeutic law of nature that obtains in them: *Cure by symptom similarity!*

¹ And the exanthematous contagious principle present in the cow-pox lymph.

² Namely, smallpox and measles.

§ 51.

This therapeutic law is rendered obvious to all intelligent minds by these instances, and they are amply sufficient for this end. But, on the other hand, see what advantages man has over crude Nature in her happy-go-lucky operations. How many thousands more of homœopathic morbid agents has not man at his disposal for the relief of his suffering fellow-creatures in the medicinal substances universally distributed throughout creation! In them he has producers of disease of all possible varieties of action, for all the innumerable, for all conceivable and inconceivable natural diseases, to which they can render homœopathic aid—morbid agents (medicinal substances), whose power, when their remedial employment is completed, being overcome by the vital force, disappears spontaneously without requiring a second course of treatment for its extirpation, like the itch—artificial morbid agents, which the physician can attenuate, subdivide and potentise almost to an infinite extent, and the dose of which he can diminish to such a degree that they shall remain only slightly stronger than the similar natural disease they are employed to cure; so that in this incomparable method of cure, there is no necessity for any violent attack upon the organism for the eradication of even an inveterate disease of old standing; the cure by this method takes place by only a gentle, imperceptible and yet often rapid transition from the tormenting natural disease to the desired state of permanent health.

§ 52.

Surely no intelligent physician, after these examples as clear as daylight, can still go on in the old ordinary system of medicine, attacking the body, as has hitherto been done, in its least diseased parts with (allopathic) medicines that have no direct pathological (homœopathic) relation to the disease to be cured, with purgatives, counter-irritants,

derivatives, &c.,¹ and thus at a sacrifice of the patient's strength, inducing a morbid state quite heterogeneous and dissimilar to the original one, to the ruin of his constitution, by large doses of mixtures of medicines generally of unknown qualities, the employment of which can have no other result, as is demonstrated by the eternal laws of nature in the above and all other cases in the world in which a dissimilar disease is added to the other in the human organism, for *a cure is never thereby effected in disease, but an aggravation is the invariable consequence*,—therefore it can have no other result than that either (because, according to the process of nature described in I, the older disease in the body repels the *dissimilar* one wherewith the patient is assailed) the natural disease remains as it was, under mild allopathic treatment, be it ever so long continued, the patient being thereby weakened; or (because, according to the process of nature described in II, the new and stronger disease merely obscures and suspends for a short time the original weaker *dissimilar* one), by the violent attack on the body with strong allopathic drugs, the original disease seems to yield for a time, to return in at least all its former strength; or (because, according to the process of nature described in III, two dissimilar diseases, when both are of a chronic character and of equal strength, take up a position beside one another in the organism and complicate each other) in those cases in which the physician employs for a long time morbid agents opposite and dissimilar to the natural chronic disease and allopathic medicines in large doses, such allopathic treatment, without ever being able to remove and to cure the original (dissimilar) chronic disease, only develops new artificial diseases beside it; and, as daily experience shows, only renders the patient much worse and more incurable than before.^(a)

¹ Vide *supra* in the Introduction: *A Review of the Therapeutics, &c.*, and my book, *Die Allöopathie, ein Wort der Warnung für Kranke jeder Art*, Leipzig, bei Baumgärtner [translated in *Hahnemann's Lesser Writings*].

§ 53.^(a)

True, mild cures take place, as we see, only in a homœopathic way—a way which, as we have also shown above (§ § 7—25) in a different manner, by experience and deductions, is also the true and only one whereby diseases may be most surely, rapidly and permanently extinguished by art; for this mode of cure is founded on an eternal, infallible law of nature.

§ 54.^(a)

This, the *homœopathic* way, must, moreover, as observed above (§ § 43—49) be the only proper one, because, of the three possible modes of employing medicines in diseases, it is the only direct way to a mild, sure, permanent cure without doing injury in another direction, and without weakening the patient. The pure homœopathic mode of cure is the only proper way, the only direct way, the only way possible to human skill, as certainly as only one straight line can be drawn betwixt two given points.

§ 55.

The *second* mode of employing medicines in diseases, the *allopathic* or *heteropathic*, which, without any pathological relation to what is actually diseased in the body, attacks the parts most exempt from the disease, in order to draw away the disease through them and thus to expel it, as is imagined, has hitherto been the most general method. I have treated of it above in the Introduction,¹ and shall not dwell longer on it.

§ 56.

The *third* and only remaining method² of employing

¹ *Review of the Therapeutics, &c.*

² A fourth mode of employing medicines in diseases has been attempted to be created by means of *Isopathy*, as it is called—that is to say, a method of curing a given disease by the same contagious principle that produces it. But even granting this could be done, which would certainly be a most valuable discovery, yet, after all, seeing that the virus is given to the patient highly potentized, and thereby, consequently, to a certain degree in

medicines in diseases, which, besides the other two just alluded to, is the only other possible one, is the *antipathic* (*enantipathic*) or *palliative* method, wherewith the physician could hitherto *appear* to be most useful, and hoped most certainly to gain his patient's confidence by deluding him with momentary amelioration. But I shall now proceed to show how inefficacious and how injurious this third and sole remaining way was, in diseases of a not very rapid course.^(a) It is certainly the only one of the modes of treatment adopted by the allopaths that had any manifest relation to a portion of the sufferings caused by the natural disease; but what kind of relation? Of a truth the very one (the exact contrary of the right one) that ought most to be avoided if we would not delude and make a mockery of the patient affected with a chronic disease.

§ 57.

In order to carry into practice this antipathic method, the ordinary physician gives, for a single troublesome symptom from among the many other symptoms of the disease which he passes by unheeded, a medicine concerning which it is known that it produces the exact opposite of the morbid symptom sought to be subdued, from which, agreeably to the fifteen-centuries-old traditional rule of the antiquated medical school (*contraria contrariis*) he can expect the speediest (*palliative*) relief. He gives large doses of opium for pains of all sorts, because this drug soon benumbs the sensibility, and administers the same remedy for diarrhœas, because it speedily puts a stop to the peristaltic motion of the intestinal canal and makes it insensible; and also for sleeplessness, because opium rapidly produces a stupefied, comatose sleep; he gives purgatives when the patient has suffered long from constipation and costiveness; he causes the burnt hand to be plunged into cold water, which, from its low degree of temperature, seems instantaneously to remove the burning pain, as if

an altered condition, the cure is effected only by opposing a *simillimum* to a *simillimum*.^(a)

by magic; he puts the patient who complains of chilliness and deficiency of vital heat into warm baths, which warm him immediately; he makes him who is suffering from prolonged debility drink wine, whereby he is instantly enlivened and refreshed; and in like manner he employs other opposite (antipathic) remedial means, but he has very few besides those just mentioned, as it is only of very few substances that some peculiar (primary) action is known to the ordinary medical school.

§ 58.

If, in estimating the value of this mode of employing medicines, we should even pass over the circumstance that it is *an extremely faulty symptomatic treatment* (v. note to § 7), wherein the practitioner devotes his attention in a merely *one-sided manner to a single symptom*, consequently to only a small part of the whole, whereby relief for the totality of the disease, which is what the patient desires, cannot evidently be expected,—we must, on the other hand, demand of experience if, in one single case where such antipathic employment of medicine was made use of in a chronic or persisting affection, after the transient amelioration there did not ensue an increased aggravation of the symptom which was subdued at first in a palliative manner, an aggravation, indeed, of the whole disease? And every attentive observer will agree that, after such short antipathic amelioration, aggravation follows *in every case without exception*, although the ordinary physician is in the habit of giving his patient another explanation of this subsequent aggravation, and ascribes it to malignancy of the original disease, now for the first time showing itself, or to the occurrence of quite a new disease.¹

¹ Little as physicians have hitherto been in the habit of observing accurately, the aggravation that so certainly follows such palliative treatment could not altogether escape their notice. A striking example of this is to be found in J. H. Schulze's *Diss. qua corporis humani momentanearum alterationum specimina quædam expenduntur*, Halæ, 1741, § 28. Willis bears testimony to something similar (*Pharm. rat.*, § 7, cap. i, p. 298: "Opiata dolores atrocissimos plerumque sedant atque indolentiam—procurant, eamque

§ 59.

Important symptoms of persistent diseases have never yet been treated with such palliative, antagonistic remedies, without the opposite state, a relapse—indeed, a palpable aggravation of the malady—occurring a few hours afterwards. For a persistent tendency to sleepiness during the day the physician prescribed coffee, whose primary action is to enliven; and when it had exhausted its action the day-somnolence increased;—for frequent waking at night he gave in the evening, without heeding the other symptoms of the disease, opium, which by virtue of its primary action produced the same night (stupefied, dull) sleep, but the subsequent nights were still more sleepless than before;—to chronic diarrhœas he opposed, without regarding the other morbid signs, the same opium, whose primary action is to constipate the bowels, and after a transient stoppage of the diarrhœa it subsequently became all the worse;—violent and frequently recurring pains of all kinds he could suppress with opium for but a short time; they then always returned in greater, often intolerable severity, or some much worse affection came in their stead. For nocturnal cough of long standing the ordinary physician knew no better than to administer opium, whose primary action is to suppress every irritation; the cough would then perhaps cease the first night, but during the subsequent nights it would be still more severe, and if it were again and again suppressed by this palliative in increased doses, fever and nocturnal perspiration were added to the disease;—weakness of the bladder, with consequent retention of urine, was sought to be conquered by the

—*aliquamdiu et pro stato quodam tempore continuant, quo spatio elapso dolores mox recrudescunt et brevi ad solitam ferociam augentur.*" And also at page 295: "*Exactis opii viribus illico redeunt tormina, nec atrocitatem suam remittunt, nisi dum ab eodem pharmaco rursus incantuntur.*" In like manner J. Hunter (*On the Venereal Disease*, p. 13) says that wine and cordials given to the weak increase the action without giving real strength, and the powers of the body are afterwards sunk proportionally as they have been raised, by which nothing can be gained, but a great deal may be lost.

antipathic work of cantharides to stimulate the urinary passages, whereby evacuation of the urine was certainly at first effected, but thereafter the bladder becomes less capable of stimulation and less able to contract, and paralysis of the bladder is imminent;—with large doses of purgative drugs and laxative salts, which excite the bowels to frequent evacuation, it was sought to remove a chronic tendency to constipation, but in the secondary action the bowels became still more confined;—the ordinary physician seeks to remove chronic debility by the administration of wine, which, however, stimulates only in its primary action, and hence the forces sink all the lower in the secondary action;—by bitter substances and heating condiments he tries to strengthen and warm the chronically weak and cold stomach, but in the secondary action of these palliatives, which are stimulating in their primary action only, the stomach becomes yet more inactive;—long-standing deficiency of vital heat and chilly disposition ought surely to yield to prescriptions of warm baths, but still more weak, cold, and chilly do the patients subsequently become;—severely burnt parts feel instantaneous alleviation from the application of cold water, but the burning pain afterwards increases to an incredible degree, and the inflammation spreads and rises to a still greater height;¹—by means of the sternutatory remedies that provoke a secretion of mucus, coryza with stoppage of the nose of long standing is sought to be removed, but it escapes observation that the disease is aggravated all the more by these antagonistic remedies (in their secondary action), and the nose becomes still more stopped;—by electricity and galvanism, which in their primary action greatly stimulate muscular action, chronically weak and almost paralytic limbs were soon excited to more active movements, but the consequence (the secondary action) was complete deadening of all muscular irritability and complete paralysis;—by venesections it was attempted to remove chronic determination of blood to the head, but they were always followed

¹ Vide Introduction, p. 43.

by greater congestion;—ordinary medical practitioners know nothing better with which to treat the paralytic torpor of the corporeal and mental organs, conjoined with unconsciousness, which prevails in many kinds of typhus, than with large doses of valerian, because this is one of the most powerful medicinal agents for causing animation and increasing the motor faculty; in their ignorance, however, they knew not that this action is only a primary action, and that the organism, after that is passed, most certainly falls back, in the secondary (antagonistic) action, into still greater stupor and immobility, that is to say, into paralysis of the mental and corporeal organs (and death); they did not see, that the very diseases they supplied most plentifully with valerian, which is in such cases an oppositely acting, antipathic remedy, most infallibly terminated fatally. The old school physician rejoices¹ that he is able to reduce for several hours the velocity of the small rapid pulse in cachectic patients with the very first dose of uncombined purple foxglove (which in its *primary* action makes the pulse slower); its rapidity, however, soon returns; repeated, and now increased doses effect an ever smaller diminution of its rapidity, and at length none at all—indeed—in the *secondary* action the pulse becomes uncountable; sleep, appetite and strength depart, and a speedy death is *invariably* the result, or else insanity ensues. How often, in one word, the disease is aggravated, or something even worse is effected by the secondary action of such antagonistic (antipathic) remedies, the old school with its false theories does not perceive, but experience teaches it in a terrible manner.

§ 60.

If these ill-effects are produced, as may very naturally be expected from the antipathic employment of medicines, the ordinary physician imagines he can get over the difficulty by giving, at each renewed aggravation, a stronger dose of the remedy, whereby an equally transient sup-

¹ Vide Hufeland, in his pamphlet, *Die Homöopathie*, p. 20.(*)

pression is effected; and as there then is a still greater necessity for giving ever-increasing quantities of the palliative there ensues either another more serious disease or frequently even danger to life and death itself, *but never a cure* of a disease of considerable or of long standing.

§ 61.

*Had physicians been capable of reflecting on the sad results of the antagonistic employment of medicines, they had long since discovered the grand truth, THAT THE TRUE RADICAL HEALING ART MUST BE FOUND IN THE EXACT OPPOSITE OF SUCH AN ANTIPATHIC TREATMENT OF THE SYMPTOMS OF DISEASE; they would have become convinced, that as a medicinal action antagonistic to the symptoms of the disease (an antipathically employed medicine) is followed by only transient relief, and after that is passed, by invariable aggravation, the converse of that procedure, the homœopathic employment of medicines according to similarity of symptoms, must effect a permanent and perfect cure, if at the same time the opposite of their large doses, the most minute doses, are exhibited. But neither the obvious aggravation that ensued from their antipathic treatment, nor the fact that no physician ever effected a permanent cure of diseases of considerable or of long standing unless some homœopathic medicinal agent was accidentally a chief ingredient in his prescription, nor yet the circumstance that all the rapid and perfect cures that nature ever performed (§ 46), were always effected by the supervention upon the old disease of one of a *similar* character, ever taught them, during such a long series of centuries, this truth, the knowledge of which can alone conduce to the benefit of the sick.*

§ 62.

But on what this pernicious result of the palliative, antipathic treatment and the efficacy of the reverse, the homœopathic treatment, depend, is explained by the following facts, deduced from manifold observations, which

no one before me perceived, though they are so very palpable and so very evident, and are of such infinite importance to the healing art.

§ 63.

Every agent that acts upon the vitality, every medicine, deranges more or less the vital force, and causes a certain alteration in the health of the individual for a longer or a shorter period. This is termed *primary action*. Although a product of the medicinal and vital powers conjointly, it is principally due to the former power. To its action our vital force endeavors to oppose its own energy. This resistant action is a property, is indeed an automatic action of our life-preserving power, which goes by the name of *secondary action* or *counter-action*.

§ 64.

During the primary action of the artificial morbidic agents (medicines) on our healthy body, our vital force seems to conduct itself merely in a passive (receptive) manner, and appears, so to say, compelled to permit the impressions of the artificial power acting from without to take place in it and thereby alter its state of health; it then, however, appears to rouse itself again, as it were, and to develop (A) the exact opposite condition of health (*counteraction, secondary action*) to this effect (*primary action*) produced upon it, if there be such an opposite, and that in as great a degree as was the effect (*primary action*) of the artificial morbidic or medicinal agent on it, and proportionate to its own energy;—or (B) if there be not in nature a state exactly the opposite of the primary action, it appears to endeavor to indifferiate itself, that is, to make its superior power available in the extinction of the change wrought in it from without (by the medicine), in the place of which it substitutes its normal state (*secondary action, curative action*).

§ 65.

Examples of (A) are familiar to all. A hand bathed in hot water is at first much warmer than the other hand that has not been so treated (primary action); but when it is withdrawn from the hot water and again thoroughly dried, it becomes in a short time cold, and at length much colder than the other (secondary action). A person heated by violent exercise (primary action) is afterwards affected with chilliness and shivering (secondary action). To one who was yesterday heated by drinking much wine (primary action), to-day every breath of air feels too cold (counteraction of the organism, secondary action). An arm that has been kept long in very cold water is at first much paler and colder (primary action) than the other; but removed from the cold water and dried, it subsequently becomes not only warmer than the other, but even hot, red and inflamed (secondary action, reaction of the vital force). Excessive vivacity follows the use of strong coffee (primary action), but sluggishness and drowsiness remain for a long time afterwards (reaction, secondary action), if this be not always again removed for a short time by imbibing fresh supplies of coffee (palliative). After the profound stupefied sleep caused by opium (primary action), the following night will be all the more sleepless (reaction, secondary action). After the constipation produced by opium (primary action), diarrhœa ensues (secondary action); and after purgation with medicines that irritate the bowels, constipation of several days' duration ensues (secondary action). And in like manner it always happens, after the primary action of a medicine that produces in large doses a great change in the health of a healthy person, that its exact opposite, when, as has been observed, there is actually such a thing, is produced in the secondary action by our vital force.

§ 66.

An obvious antagonistic secondary action, however, is, as may readily be conceived, not to be noticed from the

action of quite minute homœopathic doses of the deranging agents on the healthy body. A small dose of every one of them certainly produces a primary action that is perceptible to a sufficiently attentive observer; but the living organism employs against it only so much reaction (secondary action) as is necessary for the restoration of the normal condition.

§ 67.

These incontrovertible truths, which spontaneously offer themselves to our notice in nature and experience, explain to us the beneficial action that takes place under homœopathic treatment; whilst, on the other hand, they demonstrate the perversity of the antipathic and palliative treatment of diseases with antagonistically acting medicines.¹

¹ Only in the most urgent cases, where danger to life and imminent death allow no time for the action of a homœopathic remedy—not hours, sometimes not even quarter-hours, and scarcely minutes—in sudden accidents occurring to previously healthy individuals—for example, in asphyxia and suspended animation from lightning, from suffocation, freezing, drowning, &c.—is it admissible and judicious, at all events as a preliminary measure, to stimulate the irritability and sensibility (the physical life) with a palliative, as, for instance, with gentle electrical shocks, with clysters of strong coffee, with a stimulating odour, gradual application of heat, &c. When this stimulation is effected, the play of the vital organs again goes on in its former healthy manner, for there is here no disease* to be removed, but merely an obstruction and suppression of the healthy vital force. To this category belong various antidotes to sudden poisonings: alkalies for mineral acids, hepar sulphuris for metallic poisons, coffee and camphor (and ipecacuanha) for poisoning by opium, &c.

It does not follow that a homœopathic medicine has been ill selected for a case of disease because some of the medicinal symptoms are only antipathic to some of the less important and minor symptoms of the disease; if only the others, the stronger, well-marked (characteristic), and peculiar symptoms of the disease are covered and matched by the same medicine with similarity of

* And yet the new sect that mixes the two systems appeals (though in vain) to this observation, in order that they may have an excuse for encountering everywhere such exceptions to the general rule in diseases, and to justify their convenient employment of allopathic palliatives, and of other injurious allopathic trash besides, solely for the sake of sparing themselves the trouble of seeking for the suitable homœopathic remedy for each case of disease—I might almost say for the sake of sparing themselves the trouble of being homœopathic physicians, and yet wishing to appear as such. But their performances are on a par with the system they pursue; they are nothing to boast of.

§ 68.

In *homœopathic* cures they show us that from the uncommonly small doses of medicine (§§ 275—287) required in this method of treatment, which are just sufficient, by the similarity of their symptoms, to overpower and remove the similar natural disease, there certainly remains, after the destruction of the latter, at first a certain amount of medicinal disease alone in the organism, but, on account of the extraordinary minuteness of the dose, it is so transient, so slight, and disappears so rapidly of its own accord, that the vital force has no need to employ, against this small artificial derangement of its health, any more considerable reaction than will suffice to elevate its present state of health up to the healthy point—that is, than will suffice to effect complete recovery, for which, after the extinction of the previous morbid derangement but little effort is required (§ 64, B).

§ 69.

In the antipathic (palliative) mode of treatment, however, precisely the reverse of this takes place. The medicinal symptom which the physician opposes to the disease symptom (for example, the insensibility and stupefaction caused by opium in its primary action to acute pain) is certainly not alien, not allopathic to the latter; there is a manifest relation of the medicinal symptom to the disease symptom, but it is the *reverse* of what should be; it is here intended that the annihilation of the disease symptom shall be effected by an *opposite* medicinal symptom, which is impossible. No doubt the antipathically chosen medicine touches precisely the same diseased point in the organism as the homœopathic medicine chosen on account of the similar affection it produces; but the former covers the opposite symptom of the disease only as an opposite, and makes it unob-

symptoms—that is to say, overpowered, destroyed and extinguished; the few opposite symptoms also disappear of themselves after the expiry of the term of action of the medicament, without retarding the cure in the least.

servable for a short time only, so that in the first period of the action of the antagonistic palliative the vital force perceives nothing disagreeable from either of the two (neither from the disease symptom nor from the medicinal symptom), as they seem both to have mutually removed and dynamically neutralised one another as it were (for example, the stupefying power of opium does this to the pain). In the first minutes the vital force feels quite well, and perceives neither the stupefaction of the opium nor the pain of the disease. But as the antagonistic medicinal symptom cannot (as in the homœopathic treatment) occupy the place of the morbid derangement present in the organism as a *similar, stronger* (artificial) disease, and cannot, therefore, like a homœopathic medicine, affect the vital force with a similar artificial disease, so as to be able to step into the place of the original natural morbid derangement, the palliative medicine must, as a thing totally differing from, and the opposite of the disease derangement, leave the latter uneradicated; it renders it, as before said, by a semblance of dynamic neutralisation,¹ at first unfelt by the vital force, but, like every medicinal disease, it is soon spontaneously extinguished, and not only leaves the disease behind, just as it was, but compels the vital force (as it must, like all palliatives, be given in large doses in order to effect the apparent removal) to produce an opposite condition (§§ 63, 64) to this palliative medicine, the reverse of the medicinal action, consequently the analogue of the still present,

¹ In the living human being no permanent neutralisation of contrary or antagonistic sensations can take place, as happens with substances of opposite qualities in the chemical laboratory, where, for instance, sulphuric acid and potash unite to form a perfectly different substance, a neutral salt, which is now no longer either acid or alkali, and is not decomposed even by heat. Such amalgamations and thorough combinations to form something permanently neutral and indifferent do not, as has been said, ever take place with respect to dynamic impressions of an antagonistic nature in our sensific apparatus. Only a semblance of neutralisation and mutual removal occurs in such cases at first, but the antagonistic sensations do not permanently remove one another. The tears of the mourner will be dried for but a short time by a laughable play; the jokes are, however, soon forgotten, and his tears then flow still more abundantly than before.

undestroyed, natural morbid derangement, which is necessarily strengthened and increased¹ by this addition (reaction against the palliative) produced by the vital force. *The disease symptom* (this single part of the disease) *consequently becomes worse after the term of the action of the palliative has expired; worse in proportion to the magnitude of the dose of the palliative.* Accordingly (to keep to the same example) the larger the dose of opium given to allay the pain, so much the more does the pain increase beyond its original intensity as soon as the opium has exhausted its action.²

§ 70.

From what has been already adduced we cannot fail to draw the following inferences:

That everything of a really morbid character and which ought to be cured that the physician can discover in diseases consists solely of the sufferings of the patient, and the sensible alterations in his health, in a word, solely of the totality of the symptoms, by means of which the disease demands the medicine requisite for its relief; whilst, on the other hand, every internal cause attributed to it, every occult quality or imaginary material morbid principle, is nothing but an idle dream;

¹ Plain as this proposition is, it has been misunderstood, and in opposition to it some have asserted "that the palliative in its secondary action, which would then be similar to the disease present, must be capable of curing just as well as a homeopathic medicine does by its primary action." But they did not reflect that the secondary action is not a product of the medicine, but invariably of the antagonistically acting vital force of the organism; that therefore this secondary action resulting from the vital force on the employment of a palliative is a state similar to the symptoms of the disease which the palliative left uneradicated, and which the reaction of the vital force against the palliative consequently increased still more.

² As when in a dark dungeon, where the prisoner could with difficulty recognise objects close to him, alcohol is suddenly lighted, everything is instantly illuminated in a most consolatory manner to the unhappy wretch; but when it is extinguished, the brighter the flame was previously the blacker is the night which now envelopes him, and renders everything about him much more difficult to be seen than before.

That this derangement of the state of health, which we term disease, can only be converted into health by another revolution effected in the state of health by means of medicines, whose sole curative power, consequently, can only consist in altering man's state of health—that is to say, in a peculiar excitation of morbid symptoms, and is learned with most distinctness and purity by testing them on the healthy body;

That, according to all experience, a natural disease can never be cured by medicines that possess the power of producing in the healthy individual an alien morbid state (dissimilar morbid symptoms) *differing* from that of the disease to be cured (never, therefore, by an allopathic mode of treatment), and that even in nature no cure ever takes place in which an inherent disease is removed, annihilated and cured by the addition of another disease dissimilar to it, be the new one ever so strong;

That, moreover, all experience proves that, by means of medicines which have a tendency to produce in the healthy individual an artificial morbid symptom, *antagonistic* to the single symptom of disease sought to be cured, the cure of a long-standing affection will never be effected, but merely a very transient alleviation, always followed by its aggravation; and that, in a word, this antipathic and merely palliative treatment in long-standing diseases of a serious character is absolutely inefficacious;

That, however, the third and only other possible mode of treatment (the *homœopathic*), in which there is employed for the totality of the symptoms of a natural disease a medicine capable of producing the most similar symptoms possible in the healthy individual, given in suitable dose, is the only efficacious remedial method whereby diseases, which are purely dynamic deranging irri-

tations of the vital force, are overpowered, and being thus easily, perfectly and permanently extinguished, must necessarily cease to exist—and for this mode of procedure we have the example of unfettered Nature herself, when to an old disease there is added a new one similar to the first, whereby the new one is rapidly and forever annihilated and cured.

§ 71.

As it is now no longer a matter of doubt that the diseases of mankind consist merely of groups of certain symptoms, and may be annihilated and transformed into health by medicinal substances, but only by such as are capable of artificially producing similar morbid symptoms (and such is the process in all genuine cures), hence the operation of curing is comprised in the three following points:

- I. How is the physician to ascertain what is necessary to be known in order to cure the disease?
- II. How is he to gain a knowledge of the instruments adapted for the cure of the natural disease, the pathogenetic powers of the medicines?
- III. What is the most suitable method of employing these artificial morbid agents (medicines) for the cure of natural disease?

§ 72.^(a)

With respect to the first point, the following will serve as a general preliminary view. The diseases to which man is liable are either rapid morbid processes of the abnormally deranged vital force, which have a tendency to finish their course more or less quickly, but always in a moderate time—these are termed *acute* diseases;—or they are diseases of such a character that, with small, often imperceptible beginnings, dynamically derange the living organism, each in its own peculiar manner, and cause it gradually to deviate from the healthy condition,

in such a way that the automatic life energy, called vital force, whose office is to preserve the health, only opposes to them at the commencement and during their progress imperfect, unsuitable, useless resistance, but is unable of itself to extinguish them, but must helplessly suffer (them to spread and) itself to be ever more and more abnormally deranged, until at length the organism is destroyed; these are termed *chronic* diseases. They are caused by infection with a chronic miasm.

§ 73.

As regards acute diseases, they are either of such a kind as attack human beings individually, *the exciting cause* being injurious influences to which they were particularly exposed. Excesses in food, or an insufficient supply of it, severe physical impressions, chills, overheatings, dissipation, strains, &c., or physical irritations, mental emotions, and the like, are exciting causes of such acute febrile affections; in reality, however, they are generally only a transient explosion of latent psora, which spontaneously returns to its dormant state if the acute diseases were not of too violent a character and were soon quelled. Or they are of such a kind as attack several persons at the same time, here and there (*sporadically*), by means of meteoric or telluric influences and injurious agents, the susceptibility for being morbidly affected by which is possessed by only a few persons at one time. Allied to these are those diseases in which many persons are attacked with very similar sufferings from the same cause (*epidemically*); these diseases generally become infectious (*contagious*) when they prevail among thickly congregated masses of human beings. Thence arise fevers,¹ in each instance of a peculiar

¹ The homœopathic physician, who does not entertain the foregone conclusions devised by the ordinary school (who have fixed upon a few names of such fevers, besides which mighty nature dare not produce any others, so as to admit of their treating these diseases according to some fixed method), does not acknowledge the names gaol fever, bilious fever, typhus fever, putrid fever, nervous fever or mucous fever, but treats them each according to their several peculiarities.

nature, and, because the cases of disease have an identical origin, they set up in all those they affect an identical morbid process, which when left to itself terminates in a moderate period of time in death or recovery. The calamities of war, inundations and famine are not infrequently their exciting causes and producers—sometimes they are peculiar *acute miasms* which recur in the same manner (hence known by some traditional name), which either attack persons but once in a lifetime, as the small-pox, measles, whooping-cough, the ancient smooth, bright red scarlet fever¹ of Sydenham, the mumps, &c., or such as recur frequently in pretty much the same manner, the plague of the Levant, the yellow fever of the sea-coast, the Asiatic cholera, &c.

§ 74.^(a)

Among chronic diseases we must still, alas! reckon those so commonly met with, artificially produced in allopathic treatment by the prolonged use of violent heroic medicines in large and increasing doses, by the abuse of calomel, corrosive sublimate, mercurial ointment, nitrate of silver, iodine and its ointments, opium, valerian, cinchona bark and quinine, foxglove, prussic acid, sulphur and sulphuric acid, perennial purgatives, venesections, leeches, issues, setons, &c., whereby the vital force is sometimes weakened to an unmerciful extent, sometimes, if it do not succumb, gradually abnormally deranged (by each substance in a peculiar manner) in such a way that, in order to maintain life against these inimical and destructive attacks, it must produce a revolution in the organism, and either deprive some part of

¹ Subsequently to the year 1801 a kind of purpura miliaris (*roodvonk*), which came from the West, was by physicians confounded with the scarlet fever, notwithstanding that they exhibited totally different symptoms, that the latter found its prophylactic and curative remedy in belladonna, the former in aconite, and that the former was generally merely sporadic, while the latter was invariably epidemic. Of late years it seems as if the two occasionally joined to form an eruptive fever of a peculiar kind, for which neither the one nor yet the other remedy, alone, will be found to be exactly homœopathic.

its irritability and sensibility, or exalt these to an excessive degree, cause dilatation or contraction, relaxation or induration or even total destruction of certain parts, and develop faulty organic alterations here and there in the interior or the exterior¹ (cripple the body internally or externally), in order to preserve the organism from complete destruction of life by the ever-renewed, hostile assaults of such destructive forces.

§ 75.

These inroads on human health effected by the allopathic non-healing art (more particularly in recent times) are of all chronic diseases the most deplorable, the most incurable; and I regret to add that it is apparently impossible to discover or to hit upon any remedies for their cure when they have reached any considerable height.

§ 76.

Only for natural diseases has the beneficent Diety granted us, in Homœopathy, the means of affording relief; but those devastations and maimings of the human organism exteriorly and interiorly, effected by years, frequently, of the unsparing exercise of a false art, with its hurtful drugs and treatment, *must be remedied by the vital force itself* (appropriate aid being given for the eradication of any chronic miasm that may happen to be lurking in the background), if it have not already been too much weakened by such mischievous acts, and can devote several years to this huge operation undisturbed. A human healing art, for the restoration to the normal state of those innumerable abnormal conditions so often

¹ If the patient at length succumbs, the practiser of such a treatment is in the habit of pointing out to the sorrowing relatives, at the *post-mortem* examination, these internal organic disfigurements, which are due to his pseudo-art, but which he artfully maintains to be the original incurable disease (see my book, *Die Allöopathie, ein Wort der Warnung an Kranke jeder Art*, Leipzig, bei Baumgärtner [translated in *Lesser Writings*]). Those deceitful records, the illustrated works on pathological anatomy, exhibit the products of such lamentable bungling.

produced by the allopathic non-healing art, there is not and cannot be.

§ 77.

Those diseases are inappropriately named chronic, which persons incur who expose themselves continually to *avoidable* noxious influences, who are in the habit of indulging in injurious liquors or aliments, are addicted to dissipation of many kinds which undermine the health, who undergo prolonged abstinence from things that are necessary for the support of life, who reside in unhealthy localities, especially marshy districts, who are housed in cellars or other confined dwellings, who are deprived of exercise or of open air, who ruin their health by over-exertion of body or mind, who live in a constant state of worry, &c. These states of ill-health, which persons bring upon themselves, disappear spontaneously, provided no chronic miasm lurks in the body, under an improved mode of living, and they cannot be called chronic diseases.

§ 78.

The true natural *chronic* diseases are those that arise from a chronic miasm, which when left to themselves, and unchecked by the employment of those remedies that are specific for them, always go on increasing and growing worse, notwithstanding the best mental and corporeal regimen, and torment the patient to the end of his life with ever aggravated sufferings. These are the most numerous and greatest scourges of the human race; for the most robust constitution, the best regulated mode of living and the most vigorous energy of the vital force are insufficient for their eradication.

§ 79.

Hitherto syphilis alone has been to some extent known as such a chronic miasmatic disease, which when uncured ceases only with the termination of life. Sycosis (the condylomatous disease), equally ineradicable by the vital force without proper medicinal treatment, was not re-

cognised as a chronic miasmatic disease of a peculiar character, which it nevertheless undoubtedly is, and physicians imagined they had cured it when they had destroyed the growths upon the skin, but the persisting dyscrasia occasioned by it escaped their observation.

§ 80.

Incalculably greater and more important than the two chronic miasms just named, however, is the chronic miasm of psora, which, whilst those two reveal their specific internal dyscrasia, the one by the venereal chancre, the other by the cauliflower-like growths, does also, after the completion of the internal infection of the whole organism, announce by a peculiar cutaneous eruption, sometimes consisting only of a few vesicles accompanied by intolerable voluptuous tickling itching (and a peculiar odour), the monstrous internal chronic miasm—the psora, the only real *fundamental cause* and producer of all the other numerous, I may say innumerable, forms of disease;¹

¹ I spent twelve years in investigating the source of this incredibly large number of chronic affections, in ascertaining and collecting certain proofs of this great truth, which had remained unknown to all former or contemporary observers, and in discovering at the same time the principal (antipsoric) remedies, which collectively are nearly a match for this thousand-headed monster of disease in all its different developments and forms. I have published my observations on this subject in the book entitled *The Chronic Diseases* (4 vols., Dresden, Arnold. [2nd edit., Düsseldorf, Schaub.]) Before I had obtained this knowledge I could only teach how to treat the whole number of chronic diseases as isolated, individual maladies, with those medicinal substances whose pure effects had been tested on healthy persons up to that period, so that every case of chronic disease was treated by my disciples according to the group of symptoms it presented, just like an idiopathic disease, and it was often so far cured that sick mankind rejoiced at the extensive remedial treasures already amassed by the new healing art. How much greater cause is there now for rejoicing that the desired goal has been so much more nearly attained, inasmuch as the recently discovered and far more specific homœopathic remedies for chronic affections arising from psora (properly termed antipsoric remedies) and the special instructions for their preparation and employment have been published; and from among them the true physician can now select for his curative agents those whose medicinal symptoms correspond in the most similar (homœopathic) manner to the chronic disease he has to cure; and thus, by the employment of (antipsoric) medicines more suitable for this miasm, he is enabled to render more essential service and almost invariably to effect perfect cure.

which, under the names of nervous debility, hysteria, hypochondriasis, mania, melancholia, imbecility, madness, epilepsy and convulsions of all sorts, softening of the bones (*rachitis*), scoliosis and cyphosis, caries, cancer, fungus hæmatodes, neoplasms, gout, hæmorrhoids, jaundice, cyanosis, dropsy, amenorrhœa, hæmorrhage from the stomach, nose, lungs, bladder and womb, of asthma and ulceration of the lungs, of impotence and barrenness, of megrim, deafness, cataract, amaurosis, urinary calculus, paralysis, defects of the senses and pains of thousands of kinds, &c., figure in systematic works on pathology as peculiar, independent diseases.

§ 81.

The fact that this extremely ancient infecting agent has gradually passed, in some hundreds of generations, through many millions of human organisms and has thus attained an incredible development, renders it in some measure conceivable how it can now display such innumerable morbid forms in the great family of mankind, particularly when we consider what a number of circumstances¹ contribute to the production of these great varieties of chronic diseases (secondary symptoms of psora), besides the indescribable diversity of men in respect of their congenital corporeal constitutions, so that it is no wonder if such a variety of injurious agencies, acting from within and from without and sometimes continually, on such a variety of organisms permeated with the psoric miasm, should produce an innumerable variety of defects, injuries, derangements and sufferings, which have hitherto been treated of in the old pathological works,² under a

¹ Some of these causes that exercise a modifying influence on the transformation of psora into chronic diseases manifestly depend sometimes on the climate and the peculiar physical character of the place of abode, sometimes on the very great varieties in the physical and mental training of youth, both of which may have been neglected, delayed or carried to excess, or on their abuse in the business or conditions of life, in the matter of diet and regimen, passions, manners, habits and customs of various kinds.

² How many improper ambiguous names do not these works contain, under each of which are included excessively different morbid conditions, which

number of special *names*, as diseases of an independent character.

often resemble each other in one single symptom only, as *ague, jaundice, dropsy, consumption, leucorrhœa, hæmorrhoids, rheumatism, apoplexy, convulsions, hysteria, hypochondriasis, melancholia, mania, quinsy, palsy, &c.*, which are represented as diseases of a fixed and unvarying character, and are treated, on account of their name, according to a determinate plan! How can the bestowal of such a name justify an identical medical treatment? And if the treatment is not always to be the same, why make use of an identical name which postulates an identity of treatment? "Nihil sane in artem medicam pestiferum magis unquam irrepit malum, quam generalia quædam nomina morbis imponere iisque aptare velle generalem quandam medicinam," says Huxham, a man as clear-sighted as he was estimable on account of his conscientiousness (*Op. phys. med.*, tom. i.). And in like manner Fritze laments (*Annalen*, i, p. 80) "that essentially different diseases are designated by the same name." Even those epidemic diseases, which undoubtedly may be propagated in every separate epidemic by a peculiar contagious principle which remains unknown to us, are designated, in the old school of medicine, by particular names, just as if they were well-known fixed diseases that invariably recurred under the same form, as *hospital fever, gaol fever, camp fever, putrid fever, bilious fever, nervous fever, mucous fever*, although each epidemic of such roving fevers exhibits itself at every occurrence as another, a *new* disease, such as it has never before appeared in exactly the same form, differing very much, in every instance, in its course, as well as in many of its most striking symptoms and its whole appearance. Each is so far dissimilar to all previous epidemics, whatever names they may bear, that it would be a dereliction of all logical accuracy in our ideas of things were we to give to these maladies, that differ so much among themselves, one of those names we meet with in pathological writings, and treat them all medicinally in conformity with this misused name. The caudid Sydenham alone perceived this, when he (*Obs. med.*, cap. ii, De morb. epid.) insists upon the necessity of not considering any epidemic disease as having occurred before and treating it in the same way as another, since all that occur successively, be they ever so numerous, differ from one another: "Nihil quicquam (opinor,) animum universæ qua patet medicinæ pomceria perlustrantem, tanta admiratione percellat, quam discolor illa et sui plane dissimilis morborum Epidemicorum facies; non tam qua varias ejusdem anni tempestates, quam qua discrepantes diversorum ab invicem annorum constitutiones referunt, ab iisque dependent. Quæ tam aperta prædictorum morborum diversitas tum propriis ac sibi peculiaribus symptomatis, tum etiam medendi ratione, quam hi ab illis disparem prorsus sibi vendicant, satis illucescit. Ex quibus constat morbus hosce, ut ut externa quadantenus specie, et symptomatis aliquot utrisque pariter supervenientibus, convenire paulo incautionibus videantur, re tamen ipsa (si bene adverteris animum,) aliænæ admodum esse indolis, et distare ut æra lupinis."^(a)

From all this it is clear that these useless and misused names of diseases ought to have no influence on the practice of the true physician, who knows that he has to judge of and to cure diseases, not according to the similarity of

§ 82.

Although, by the discovery of that great source of chronic diseases, as also by the discovery of the specific homœopathic remedies for the psora, medicine has advanced some steps nearer to a knowledge of the nature of the majority of diseases it has to cure, yet, for settling the indication in each case of chronic (psoric) disease he is called on to cure, the duty of a careful apprehension of its ascertainable symptoms and characteristics is as indispensable for the homœopathic physician as it was before that discovery, as no real cure of this or of other diseases can take place without a strict particular treatment (individualisation) of each case of disease—only that in this investigation some difference is to be made when the affection is an acute and rapidly developed disease, and when it is a chronic one; seeing that, in acute disease, the chief symptoms strike us and become evident to the senses more quickly, and hence much less time is requisite for tracing the picture of the disease and much fewer questions are required to be asked,¹ as almost everything is self-evident, than in a chronic disease which has been gradually progressing for several years, in which the symptoms are much more difficult to be ascertained.

the name of a single one of their symptoms, but according to the totality of the signs of the individual state of each particular patient, whose affection it is his duty carefully to investigate, but never to give a hypothetical guess at it.

If, however, it is deemed necessary sometimes to make use of names of diseases, in order, when talking about a patient to ordinary persons, to render ourselves intelligible in few words, we ought only to employ them as collective names, and tell them, *e. g.* the patient has a *kind* of St. Vitus's dance, a *kind* of dropsy, a *kind* of typhus, a *kind* of ague; but (in order to do away once for all with the mistaken notions these names give rise to) we should never say he has *the* St. Vitus's dance, *the* typhus, *the* dropsy, *the* ague, as there are certainly no diseases of these and similar names of fixed unvarying character.

¹ Hence the following directions for investigating the symptoms are only partially applicable for acute diseases.

§ 83.^(a)

This individualising *examination of a case of disease*, for which I shall only give in this place general directions, of which the practitioner will bear in mind only what is applicable for each individual case, demands of the physician nothing but freedom from prejudice and sound senses, attention in observing and fidelity in tracing the picture of the disease.

§ 84.

The patient details the history of his sufferings; those about him tell what they heard him complain of, how he has behaved and what they have noticed in him; the physician sees, hears, and remarks by his other senses what there is of an altered or unusual character about him. He writes down accurately all that the patient and his friends have told him in the very expressions used by them. Keeping silence himself he allows them to say all they have to say, and refrains from interrupting them¹ unless they wander off to other matters. The physician advises them at the beginning of the examination to speak slowly, in order that he may take down in writing the important parts of what the speakers say.

§ 85.

He begins a fresh line with every new circumstance mentioned by the patient or his friends, so that the symptoms shall be all ranged separately one below the other. He can thus add to any one, that may at first have been related in too vague a manner, but subsequently more explicitly explained.

§ 86.

When the narrators have finished what they would say of their own accord, the physician then reverts to each particular symptom and elicits more precise information

¹ Every interruption breaks the train of thought of the narrators, and all they would have said at first does not again occur to them in precisely the same manner after that.

respecting it in the following manner; he reads over the symptoms as they were related to him one by one, and about each of them he inquires for further particulars: *e. g.*, at what period did this symptom occur? Was it previous to taking the medicine he had hitherto been using? Whilst taking the medicine? Or only some days after leaving off the medicine? What kind of pain, what sensation exactly, was it that occurred on this spot? Where was the precise spot? Did the pain occur in fits and by itself, at various times? Or was it continued, without intermission? How long did it last? At what time of the day or night, and in what position of the body was it worst, or ceased entirely? What was the exact nature of this or that event or circumstance mentioned—described in plain words?

§ 87.

And thus the physician obtains more precise information respecting each particular detail, but without ever framing his questions so as to suggest the answer to the patient,¹ so that he shall only have to answer yes or no; else he will be misled to answer in the affirmative or negative something untrue, half true, or not strictly correct, either from indolence or in order to please his interrogator, from which a false picture of the disease and an unsuitable mode of treatment must result.

§ 88.

If in these voluntary details nothing has been mentioned respecting several parts or functions of the body or his mental state, the physician asks what more can be told in regard to these parts and these functions, or the state of his disposition or mind;² but in doing this he

¹ For instance, the physician should not ask, Was not this or that circumstance present? He should never be guilty of making such suggestions, which tend to seduce the patient into giving a false answer and a false account of his symptoms.

² For example, what is the character of his stools? How does he pass his water? How is it with his day and night sleep? What is the state of his disposition, his humour, his memory? How about the thirst?

only makes use of general expressions, in order that his informants may be obliged to enter into special details concerning them.

§ 89.

When the patient (for it is on him we have chiefly to rely for a description of his sensations, except in the case of feigned diseases) has by these details, given of his own accord and in answer to inquiries, furnished the requisite information and traced a tolerably perfect picture of the disease, the physician is at liberty and obliged (if he feels he has not yet gained all the information he needs) to ask more precise, more special questions.¹

What sort of taste has he in his mouth? What kinds of food and drink are most relished? What are most repugnant to him? Has each its full natural taste, or some other unusual taste? How does he feel after eating or drinking? Has he anything to tell about the head, the limbs, or the abdomen?

¹ For example, how often are his bowels moved? What is the exact character of the stools? Did the whitish evacuation consist of mucus or fæces? Had he or had he not pains during the evacuation? What was their exact character, and where were they seated? What did the patient vomit? Is the bad taste in the mouth putrid, or bitter, or sour, or what? before or after eating, or during the repast? At what period of the day was it worst? What is the taste of what is eructated? Does the urine only become turbid on standing, or is it turbid when first discharged? What is its colour when first emitted? Of what colour is the sediment? How does he behave during sleep? Does he whine, moan, talk or cry out in his sleep? Does he start during sleep? Does he snore during inspiration, or during expiration? Does he lie only on his back, or on which side? Does he cover himself well up, or can he not bear the clothes on him? Does he easily awake, or does he sleep too soundly? How does he feel immediately after waking from sleep? How often does this or that symptom occur? what is the cause that produces it each time it occurs? does it come on whilst sitting, lying, standing, or when in motion? only when fasting, or in the morning, or only in the evening, or only after a meal, or when does it usually appear? When did the rigor come on? was it merely a chilly sensation, or was he actually cold at the same time? if so, in what parts? or while feeling chilly, was he actually warm to the touch? was it merely a sensation of cold, without shivering? was he hot without redness of the face? what parts of him were hot to the touch? or did he complain of heat without being hot to the touch? How long did the chilliness last? how long the hot stage? When did the thirst come on—during the cold stage? during the heat? or previous to it? or subsequently to it? How great was the thirst, and what was the beverage desired? When did the sweat come on—at the beginning or the

§ 90.

When the physician has finished writing down these particulars, he then makes a note of what he himself observes in the patient,¹ and ascertains how much of that was peculiar to the patient in his healthy state.

§ 91.

The symptoms and feelings of the patient during a previous course of medicine do not furnish the pure picture of the disease; but, on the other hand, those symptoms and ailments which he suffered from *before the use of the medicines, or after they had been discontinued for several days*, give the true fundamental idea of the *original* form of the disease, and these especially the physician must take note of. When the disease is of a chronic character, and the patient has been taking medicine up to the time he is seen, the physician may with advantage leave him some days quite without medicine, or in the meantime administer something of an unmedicinal nature and defer to a subsequent period the more precise scrutiny of the morbid symptoms, in order to be able to grasp in their purity the permanent uncontaminated

end of the heat? or how many hours after the heat? when asleep or when awake? How great was the sweat? was it warm or cold? on what parts? how did it smell? What does he complain of before or during the cold stage? what during the hot stage? what after it? what during or after the sweating stage? &c.

¹ For example, how the patient behaved during the visit—whether he was morose, quarrelsome, hasty, lachrymose, anxious, despairing or sad, or hopeful, calm, &c. Whether he was in a drowsy state or in any way dull of comprehension; whether he spoke hoarsely, or in a low tone, or incoherently, or how otherwise did he talk? what was the colour of his face and eyes, and of his skin generally? what degree of liveliness and power was there in his expression and eyes? what was the state of his tongue, his breathing, the smell from his mouth, and his hearing? were his pupils dilated or contracted? how rapidly and to what extent did they alter in the dark and in the light? what was the character of the pulse? what the condition of the abdomen? how moist or hot, how cold or dry to the touch, was the skin of this or that part, or generally? whether he lay with head thrown back, with mouth half or wholly open, with the arms placed above the head, on his back, or in what other position? what effort did he make to raise himself? and anything else in him that may strike the physician as being remarkable.

symptoms of the old affection and to form a faithful picture of the disease.

§ 92.

But if it be a disease of a rapid course, and if its serious character admit of no delay, the physician must content himself with observing the morbid condition, altered though it may be by medicines, if he cannot ascertain what symptoms were present before the employment of the medicines,—in order that he may at least form a just apprehension of the complete picture of the disease in its actual condition, that is to say, of the conjoint malady formed by the medicinal and original diseases, which from the use of inappropriate drugs is generally more serious and dangerous than was the original disease, and hence demands prompt and efficient aid; and by thus tracing out the complete picture of the disease he will be enabled to combat it with a suitable homœopathic remedy, so that the patient shall not fall a sacrifice to the injurious drugs he has swallowed.

§ 93.

If the disease have been brought on a short time or, in the case of a chronic affection, a considerable time previously, by some obvious cause, then the patient—or his friends when questioned privately—will mention it either spontaneously or when carefully interrogated.¹

§ 94.

While inquiring into the state of chronic diseases, the particular circumstances of the patient with regard to his

¹ Any causes of a disgraceful character, which the patient or his friends do not like to confess, at least not voluntarily, the physician must endeavor to elicit by skilfully framing his questions, or by private information. To these belong poisoning or attempted suicide, onanism, indulgence in ordinary or unnatural debauchery, excesses in wine, cordials, punch and other ardent beverages, or coffee,—over-indulgence in eating generally, or in some particular food of a hurtful character,—infection with venereal disease or itch, unfortunate love, jealousy, domestic infelicity, worry, grief on account of some family misfortune, ill-usage, balked revenge, injured pride, embarrassment of a pecuniary nature, superstitious fear,—hunger,—or an imperfection in the private parts, a rupture, a prolapsus, and so forth.

ordinary occupations, his usual mode of living and diet, his domestic situation, and so forth, must be well considered and scrutinised, to ascertain what there is in them that may tend to produce or to maintain disease, in order that by their removal the recovery may be promoted.¹

§ 95.

In chronic diseases the investigation of the signs of disease above mentioned, and of all others, must be pursued as carefully and circumstantially as possible, and the most minute peculiarities must be attended to, partly because in these diseases they are the most characteristic and least resemble those of acute diseases, and if a cure is to be effected they cannot be too accurately noted; partly because the patients become so used to their long sufferings that they pay little or no heed to the lesser accessory symptoms, which are often very pregnant with meaning (characteristic)—often very useful in determining the choice of the remedy—and regard them almost as a necessary part of their condition, almost as health, the real feeling of which they have well-nigh forgotten in their sometimes fifteen or twenty years of suffering, and they can scarcely bring themselves to believe that these accessory symptoms, these greater or lesser deviations from the healthy state, can have any connection with their principal malady.

¹ In chronic diseases of females it is specially necessary to pay attention to pregnancy, sterility, sexual desire, accouchements, miscarriages, suckling, and the state of the menstrual discharge. With respect to the last-named more particularly, we should not neglect to ascertain if it recurs at too short intervals, or is delayed beyond the proper time, how many days it lasts, whether its flow is continuous or interrupted, what is its general quantity, how dark is its colour, whether there is leucorrhœa (whites) before its appearance or after its termination, but especially by what bodily or mental ailments, what sensations and pains, it is preceded, accompanied or followed; if there is leucorrhœa, what is its nature, what sensations attend its flow, in what quantity it is, and what are the conditions and occasions under which it occurs?

§ 96.

Besides this, patients themselves differ so much in their dispositions, that some, especially the so-called hypochondriacs and other persons of great sensitiveness and impatient of suffering, portray their symptoms in too vivid colours and, in order to induce the physician to give them relief, describe their ailments in exaggerated expressions.¹

§ 97.

Other individuals of an opposite character, however, partly from indolence, partly from false modesty, partly from a kind of mildness of disposition or weakness of mind, refrain from mentioning a number of their symptoms, describe them in vague terms, or allege some of them to be of no consequence.

§ 98.

Now, as certainly as we should listen particularly to the patient's description of his sufferings and sensations, and attach credence especially to his own expressions wherewith he endeavours to make us understand his ailments—because in the mouths of his friends and attendants they are usually altered and erroneously stated,—so certainly, on the other hand, in all diseases, but especially in the chronic ones, the investigation of the true, complete picture and its peculiarities demands especial circumspection, tact, knowledge of human nature, caution in conducting the inquiry and patience in an eminent degree.

¹ A pure fabrication of symptoms and sufferings will never be met with in hypochondriacs, even in the most impatient of them—a comparison of the sufferings they complain of at various times when the physician gives them nothing at all, or something quite unmedicinal, proves this plainly;—but we must deduct something from their exaggeration, at all events ascribe the strong character of their expressions to their excessive sensibility, in which case this very exaggeration of their expressions when talking of their ailments becomes of itself an important symptom in the list of features of which the portrait of the disease is composed. The case is different with insane persons and rascally feigners of disease.

§ 99.

On the whole, the investigation of acute diseases, or of such as have existed but a short time, is much the easiest for the physician, because all the phenomena and deviations from the health that has been but recently lost are still fresh in the memory of the patient and his friends, still continue to be novel and striking. The physician certainly requires to know everything in such cases also; but he has much less to *inquire into*; they are for the most part spontaneously detailed to him.

§ 100.

In investigating the totality of the symptoms of epidemic and sporadic diseases it is quite immaterial whether or no something similar has ever appeared in the world before under the same or any other name. The novelty or peculiarity of a disease of that kind makes no difference either in the mode of examining or of treating it, as the physician must any way regard the pure picture of every prevailing disease as if it were something new and unknown, and investigate it thoroughly for itself, if he desire to practise medicine in a real and radical manner, never substituting conjecture for actual observation, never taking for granted that the case of disease before him is already wholly or partially known, but always carefully examining it in all its phases; and this mode of procedure is all the more requisite in such cases, as a careful examination will show that every prevailing disease is in many respects a phenomenon of a unique character, differing vastly from all previous epidemics, to which certain names have been falsely applied—with the exception of those epidemics resulting from a contagious principle that always remains the same, such as smallpox, measles, &c.

§ 101.

It may easily happen that in the first case of an epidemic disease that presents itself to the physician's notice

he does not at once obtain a knowledge of its complete picture, as it is only by a close observation of several cases of every such collective disease that he can become conversant with the totality of its signs and symptoms. The carefully observing physician can, however, from the examination of even the first and second patients, often arrive so nearly at a knowledge of the true state as to have in his mind a characteristic portrait of it, and even to succeed in finding a suitable, homœopathically adapted remedy for it.

§ 102.

In the course of writing down the symptoms of several cases of this kind the sketch of the disease picture becomes ever more and more complete, not more spun out and verbose, but more significant (more characteristic), and including more of the peculiarities of this collective disease; on the one hand, the general symptoms (*e. g.*, loss of appetite, sleeplessness, &c.) become precisely defined as to their peculiarities; and on the other, the more marked and special symptoms which are peculiar to but few diseases and of rarer occurrence, at least in the same combination, become prominent and constitute what is characteristic of this malady.¹ All those affected with the disease prevailing at a given time have certainly contracted it from one and the same source and hence are suffering from the *same* disease; but the whole extent of such an epidemic disease and the totality of its symptoms (the knowledge whereof, which is essential for enabling us to choose the most suitable homœopathic remedy for this array of symptoms, is obtained by a complete survey of the morbid picture) cannot be learned from one single patient, but is only to be perfectly deduced (abstracted) and ascertained from the sufferings of several patients of different constitutions.

¹ The physician who has already, in the first cases, been able to choose a remedy approximating to the homœopathic specific, will, from the subsequent cases, be enabled either to verify the suitability of the medicine chosen, or to discover a more appropriate, the most appropriate homœopathic remedy.

§ 103.^(a)

In the same manner as has here been taught relative to the epidemic diseases, which are generally of an acute character, the miasmatic chronic maladies, which, as I have shown, always remain the same in their essential nature, especially the psora, must be investigated, as to the whole sphere of their symptoms, in a much more minute manner than has ever been done before, for in them also one patient only exhibits a portion of their symptoms, a second, a third, and so on, present some other symptoms, which also are but a (dissevered, as it were), portion of the totality of the symptoms which constitute the entire extent of this malady, so that the whole array of the symptoms belonging to such a miasmatic, chronic disease, and especially to the psora, can only be ascertained from the observation of *very many* single patients affected with such a chronic disease, and without a complete survey and collective picture of these symptoms the medicines capable of curing the whole malady homœopathically (to wit, the antipsorics) cannot be discovered; and these medicines are, at the same time, the true remedies of the several patients suffering from such chronic affections.

§ 104.

When the totality of the symptoms that specially mark and distinguished the case of disease or, in other words, when the picture of the disease, whatever be its kind, is once accurately sketched,¹ the most difficult part of the

¹ The old school physician gave himself very little trouble in this matter in his mode of treatment. He would not listen to any minute detail of all the circumstances of his case by the patient; indeed, he frequently cut him short in his relation of his sufferings, in order that he might not be delayed in the rapid writing of his prescription, composed of a variety of ingredients unknown to him in their true effects. No allopathic physician, as has been said, sought to learn all the minute circumstances of the patient's case, and still less did he make a note in writing of them. On seeing the patient again several days afterwards, he recollected nothing concerning the few details he had heard at the first visit (having in the meantime seen so many other patients labouring under different affections); he had allowed

task is accomplished. The physician has then the picture of the disease, especially if it be a chronic one, always before him to guide him in his treatment; he can investigate it in all its parts and can pick out the characteristic symptoms, in order to oppose to these, that is to say, to the whole malady itself, a very similar artificial morbid force, in the shape of a homœopathically chosen medicinal substance, selected from the lists of symptoms of all the medicines whose pure effects have been ascertained. And when, during the treatment, he wishes to ascertain what has been the effect of the medicine, and what change has taken place in the patient's state, at this fresh examination of the patient he only needs to strike out of the list of the symptoms noted down at the first visit those that have become ameliorated, to mark what still remain, and add any new symptoms that may have supervened.

§ 105.

The second point of the business of a true physician relates to acquiring a knowledge of the instruments intended for the cure of the natural diseases, investigating the pathogenetic power of the medicines, in order, when called on to cure, to be able to select from among them one, from the list of whose symptoms an artificial disease may be constructed, as similar as possible to the totality of the principal symptoms of the natural disease sought to be cured.

everything to go in at one ear and out at the other. At subsequent visits he only asked a few general questions, went through the ceremony of feeling the pulse at the wrist, looked at the tongue, and at the same moment wrote another prescription, on equally irrational principles, or ordered the first one to be continued (in considerable quantities several times a day), and, with a graceful bow, he hurried off to the fiftieth or sixtieth patient he had to visit, in this thoughtless way, in the course of that forenoon. The profession which of all others requires actually the most reflection, a conscientious, careful examination of the state of each individual patient and a special treatment founded thereon, was conducted in this manner by persons who called themselves physicians, *rational practitioners*. The result, as might naturally be expected, was almost invariably bad; and yet patients had to go to them for advice, partly because there were none better to be had, partly for fashion's sake.

§ 106.

The whole pathogenetic effects of the several medicines must be known; that is to say, all the morbid symptoms and alterations in the health that each of them is specially capable of developing in the healthy individual must first have been observed as far as possible, before we can hope to be able to find among them, and to select, suitable homœopathic remedies for most of the natural diseases.

§ 107.

If, in order to ascertain this, medicines be given to *sick* persons only, even though they be administered singly and alone, then little or nothing precise is seen of their true effects, as those peculiar alterations of the health to be expected from the medicine are mixed up with the symptoms of the disease and can seldom be distinctly observed.

§ 108.

There is, therefore, no other possible way in which the peculiar effects of medicines on the health of individuals can be accurately ascertained—there is no sure, no more natural way of accomplishing this object, than to administer the several medicines experimentally, in moderate doses, to *healthy* persons, in order to ascertain what changes, symptoms and signs of their influence each individually produces on the health of the body and of the mind; that is to say, what disease elements they are able and tend to produce,¹ since, as has been demonstrated (§§ 24—27), all the curative power of medicines

¹ Not one single physician, as far as I know, during the previous two thousand five hundred years, thought of this so natural, so absolutely necessary and only genuine mode of testing medicines for their pure and peculiar effects in deranging the health of man, in order to learn what morbid state each medicine is capable of curing, except the great and immortal Albrecht von Haller. He alone, besides myself, saw the necessity of this (*vide* the Preface to the *Pharmacopœia Helvet.*, Basil, 1771, fol., p. 12): *Nempe primum in corpore sano medela tentanda est, sine peregrina ulla miscela; odoreque et sapore ejus exploratis, exigua illius dosis ingerenda et ad omnes, quæ inde contingunt, affectiones, quis pulsus, qui calor, quæ respiratio, quænam excretiones, attendendum. Inde ad ductum phænomenorum, in*

lies in this power they possess of changing the state of man's health, and is revealed by observation of the latter.

§ 109.

I was the first that opened up this path, which I have pursued with a perseverance that could only arise and be kept up by a perfect conviction of the great truth, fraught with such blessings to humanity, that it is only by the homœopathic employment of medicines¹ that the certain cure of human maladies is possible.²

§ 110.

I saw, moreover, that the morbid lesions which previous authors had observed to result from medicinal substances when taken into the stomach of healthy persons, either in large doses given by mistake or in order to produce death in themselves or others, or under other circumstances, accorded very much with my own observations when experimenting with the same substances on

sano obviorem, transeas ad experimenta in corpore ægroto," &c. But *no one, not a single physician*, attended to or followed up this invaluable hint.

¹ It is impossible that there can be another true, best method of curing dynamic diseases (*i. e.*, all diseases not strictly surgical) besides homœopathy, just as it is impossible to draw more than one straight line betwixt two given points. He who imagines that there are other modes of curing diseases besides it could not have appreciated homœopathy fundamentally nor practised it with sufficient care, nor could he ever have seen or read cases of properly performed homœopathic cures; nor, on the other hand, could he have discerned the baselessness of all allopathic modes of treating diseases and their bad or even dreadful effects, if, with such lax indifference, he places the only true healing art on an equality with those hurtful methods of treatment, or alleges the latter to be auxiliaries to homœopathy which it could not do without! My true, conscientious followers, the pure homœopaths, with their successful, almost never-failing treatment, might teach these persons better. (a)

² The first fruits of these labours, as perfect as they could be at that time, I recorded in the *Fragmenta de viribus medicamentorum positivis, sive in sano corpore humano observatis*, pts. i, ii, Lipsiæ, 8, 1805, ap. J. A. Barth; the more mature fruits in the *Reine Arzneimittellehre*, I Th., dritte Ausg.; II Th., dritte Ausg., 1833; III Th., zweite Ausg., 1825; IV Th., zw. Ausg., 1825; V Th., zw. Ausg., 1826; VI Th., zw. Ausg., 1827 [English translation, *Materia Medica Pura*, vols. i and ii]; and in the second, third, and fourth parts of *Die chronischen Krankheiten*, 1828, 1830, Dresden bei Arnold [2nd edit., with a fifth part, Düsseldorf bei Schaub, 1835, 1839].

myself and other healthy individuals. These authors give details of what occurred as histories of poisoning and as proofs of the pernicious effects of these powerful substances, chiefly in order to warn others from their use; partly also for the sake of exalting their own skill, when, under the use of the remedies they employed to combat these dangerous accidents, health gradually returned; but partly also, when the persons so affected died under their treatment, in order to seek their own justification in the dangerous character of these substances, which they then termed poisons. None of these observers ever dreamed that the symptoms they recorded merely as proofs of the noxious and poisonous character of these substances were sure revelations of the power of these drugs to extinguish curatively similar symptoms occurring in natural diseases, that these their pathogenetic phenomena were intimations of their homœopathic curative action, and that the only possible way to ascertain their medicinal powers is to observe those changes of health medicines are capable of producing in the healthy organism; for the pure, peculiar powers of medicines available for the cure of disease are to be learned neither by any ingenious *a priori* speculations, nor by the smell, taste or appearance of the drugs, nor by their chemical analysis, nor yet by the employment of several of them at one time in a mixture (prescription) in diseases; it was never suspected that these histories of medicinal diseases would one day furnish the first rudiments of the true, pure materia medica, which from the earliest times until now has consisted solely of false conjectures and fictions of the imagination—that is to say, did not exist at all.¹

§ 111.

The agreement of my observations on the pure effects of medicines with these older ones—although they were

¹ See what I have said on this subject in the "Examination of the Sources of the Ordinary Materia Medica," prefixed to the third part of my *Reine Arzneimittellehre* [translated in the *Materia Medica Pura*, vol. ii].

recorded without reference to any therapeutic object,—and the very concordance of these accounts with others of the same kind by different authors must easily convince us that medicinal substances act in the morbid changes they produce in the healthy human body *according to fixed, eternal laws of nature*, and by virtue of these are enabled to produce *certain, reliable disease symptoms each according to its own peculiar character*.

§ 112.^(a)

In those older prescriptions of the often dangerous effects of medicines ingested in excessively large doses we notice certain states that were produced, not at the commencement, but towards the termination of these sad events, and which were of an exactly opposite nature to those that first appeared. These symptoms, the very reverse of the *primary action* (§ 63) or proper action of the medicines on the vital force, are the reaction of the vital force of the organism, its *secondary action* (§§ 62—67), of which, however, there is seldom or hardly ever the least trace from experiments with moderate doses on healthy bodies, and from small doses none whatever. In the homœopathic curative operation the living organism reacts from these only so much as is requisite to raise the health again to the normal healthy state (§ 67).

§ 113.

The only exceptions to this are the narcotic medicines. As they, in their primary action, take away sometimes the sensibility and sensation, sometimes the irritability, it frequently happens that in their *secondary action*, even from moderate experimental doses on healthy bodies, an increased sensibility (and a greater irritability) is observable.

§ 114.

With the exception of these narcotic substances, in experiments with moderate doses of medicine on healthy bodies, we observe only their primary action. *i. e.*, those

symptoms wherewith the medicine deranges the health of the human being and develops in him a morbid state of longer or shorter duration.

§ 115.

Among these symptoms, there occur in the case of some medicines not a few which are partially, or under certain conditions, directly opposite to other symptoms that have previously or subsequently appeared, but which are not therefore to be regarded as actual *secondary action* or the mere reaction of the vital force, but which only represent the alternating state of the various paroxysms of the primary action; they are termed *alternating actions*.

§ 116.

Some symptoms are produced by the medicines more frequently—that is to say, in many individuals, others more rarely or in few persons, some only in very few healthy bodies.

§ 117.^(a)

To the latter category belong the so-called *idiosyncrasies*, by which are meant peculiar corporeal constitutions which, although otherwise healthy, possess a disposition to be brought into a more or less morbid state by certain things which *seem* to produce no impression and no change in many other individuals.¹ But this inability to make an impression on every one is only *apparent*. For as two things are required for the production of these as well as all other morbid alterations in the health of man—to wit., the inherent power of the influencing substance, and the capability of the vital force that animates the organism to be influenced by it—the obvious derangements of health in the so-called *idiosyncrasies* cannot be laid to the account of these peculiar

¹ Some few persons are apt to faint from the smell of roses and to fall into many other morbid, and sometimes dangerous states from partaking of mussels, crabs or the roe of the barbel, from touching the leaves of some kinds of sumach, &c.

constitutions alone, but they must also be ascribed to these things that produce them, in which must lie the power of making the same impressions on all human bodies, yet in such a manner that but a small number of healthy constitutions have a tendency to allow themselves to be brought into such an obvious morbid condition by them. That these agents do actually make this impression on every healthy body is shown by this, that when employed as remedies they render effectual homœopathic service¹ to *all* sick persons for morbid symptoms similar to those they seem to be only capable of producing in so-called idiosyncratic individuals.

§ 118.

Every medicine exhibits peculiar actions on the human frame, which are not produced in exactly the same manner by any other medicinal substance of a different kind.²

§ 119.

As certainly as every species of plant differs in its external form, mode of life and growth, in its taste and smell from every other species and genus of plant, as certainly as every mineral and salt differs from all others, in its external as well as its internal physical and chemical properties (which alone should have sufficed to prevent any confounding of one with another), so certainly do they all differ and diverge among themselves in their pathogenetic—consequently also in their therapeutic—effects.³

¹ Thus the Princess Maria Porphyroghnita restored her brother, the Emperor Alexius, who suffered from faintings, by sprinkling him with rose water (τὸ τῶν ῥόδων στάλαγμα) in the presence of his aunt Eudoxia (*Hist. byz. Alexias*, lib. xv, p. 503, ed. Posser); and Horstius (*Oper.*, iii, p. 59) saw great benefit from rose vinegar in cases of syncope. (a)

² This fact was also perceived by the estimable A. v. Haller, who says (Preface to his *Hist. stirp. helv.*): "Latet immensa virium diversitas in iis ipsis plantis, quarum facies externas dudum novimus, animas quasi et quodcunque cælestius habent, nondum perspeximus."

³ Anyone who has a thorough knowledge of, and can appreciate the remarkable difference of effects on the health of man of every single substance from those of every other, will readily perceive that among them there

Each of these substances produces alterations in the health of human beings in a peculiar, different, yet determinate manner, so as to preclude the possibility of confounding one with another.^{1(a)}

§ 120.

Therefore medicines, on which depend man's life and death, disease and health, must be thoroughly and most carefully distinguished from one another, and for this purpose tested by careful, pure experiments on the healthy body for the purpose of ascertaining their powers and real effects, in order to obtain an accurate knowledge of them,

can be, in a medical point of view, no equivalent remedies whatever, no *surrogates*. Only those who do *not* know the pure, positive effects of the different medicines can be so foolish as to try to persuade us that one can serve in the stead of the other, and can in the same disease prove just as serviceable as the other. Thus do ignorant children confound the most essentially different things, because they scarcely know their external appearances, far less their real value, their true importance and their very dissimilar inherent properties.

¹ If this be pure truth, as it undoubtedly is, then no physician who would not be regarded as devoid of reason, and who would not act contrary to the dictates of his conscience, the sole arbiter of real worth, can employ in the treatment of diseases any medicinal substance but one with whose real significance he is thoroughly and perfectly conversant, *i. e.*, whose positive action on the health of healthy individuals he has so accurately tested that he knows for certain that it is capable of producing a very similar morbid state, more similar than any other medicine with which he is perfectly acquainted, to that presented by the case of disease he intends to cure by means of it; for, as has been shown above, neither man, nor mighty Nature herself, can effect a perfect, rapid and permanent cure otherwise than with a homœopathic remedy. Henceforth no true physician can abstain from making such experiment, in order to obtain this most necessary and only knowledge of the medicines that are essential to cure, this knowledge which has hitherto been neglected by the physicians in all ages. In all former ages—posterity will scarcely believe it—physicians have hitherto contented themselves with blindly prescribing for diseases medicines whose value was unknown, and which had *never been tested* relative to their highly important, very various, pure, dynamic action on the health of man; and, moreover, they mingled several of these unknown medicines that differed so vastly among each other in one formula, and left it to *chance* to determine what effect should thereby be produced on the patient. This is just as if a madman should force his way into the workshop of an artisan, seize upon *handfuls of very different tools, with the uses of all of which he is quite unacquainted*, in order, as he imagines, to work at the objects of art he sees around him. I need hardly remark that these would be destroyed, I may say utterly ruined, by his senseless operations.

and to enable us to avoid any mistake in their employment in diseases, for it is only by correct selection of them that the greatest of all earthly blessings, the health of the body and of the mind, can be rapidly and permanently restored.

§ 121.

In proving medicines to ascertain their effects on the healthy body, it must be borne in mind that the strong, heroic substances, as they are termed, are liable even in small doses to produce changes in the health even of robust persons. Those of milder power must be given for these experiments in more considerable quantities; in order to observe the action of the very weakest, however, the subjects of experiment should be persons free from disease, and who are delicate, irritable and sensitive.

§ 122.

In these experiments—on which depends the exactitude of the whole medical art, and the weal of all future generations of mankind—no other medicines should be employed except such as are perfectly well known, and of whose purity, genuineness and energy we are thoroughly assured.

§ 123.

Each of these medicines must be taken in a perfectly simple, unadulterated form; the indigenous plants in the form of freshly expressed juice, mixed with a little alcohol to prevent it spoiling; exotic vegetable substances, however, in the form of powder, or tincture prepared with alcohol when they were in the fresh state, and afterwards mingled with a certain proportion of water; salts and gums, however, should be dissolved in water just before being taken. If the plant can only be procured in its dry state, and if its powers are naturally weak, in that case there may be used for the experiment an infusion of it, made by cutting the herb into small pieces and

pouring boiling water on it, so as to extract its medicinal parts; immediately after its preparation it must be swallowed whilst still warm, as all expressed vegetable juices and all aqueous infusions of herbs, without the addition of spirit, pass rapidly into fermentation and decomposition, whereby all their medicinal properties are lost.

§ 124.

For these experiments every medicinal substance must be employed quite alone and perfectly pure, without the admixture of any foreign substance, and without taking anything else of a medicinal nature the same day, nor yet on the subsequent days, nor during all the time we wish to observe the effects of the medicine.^(a)

§ 125.

During all the time the experiment lasts the diet must be strictly regulated; it should be as much as possible destitute of spices, of a purely nutritious and simple character, green vegetables,¹ roots and all salads and herb soups (which, even when most carefully prepared, possess some disturbing medicinal qualities) should be avoided. The drinks are to be those usually partaken of, as little stimulating as possible.²

§ 126.

The person who is proving the medicine must during the whole time of the experiment avoid all over-exertion of mind and body, all sorts of dissipation and disturbing passions; he should have no urgent business to distract his attention; he must devote himself to careful self-observation and not be disturbed whilst so engaged; his body must be in what is for him a good state of health, and

¹ Young green peas, green French beans and in all cases carrots are allowable, as the least medicinal vegetables.

² The subject of experiment must either be not in the habit of taking pure wine, brandy, coffee or tea, or he must have totally abstained for a considerable time previously from the use of these injurious beverages, some of which are stimulating, others medicinal.

he must possess a sufficient amount of intelligence to be able to express and describe his sensations in accurate terms.^(a)

§ 127.^(a)

The medicines must be tested on both males and females, in order also to reveal the alterations of the health they produce in the sexual sphere.

§ 128.^(a)

The most recent observations have shown that medicinal substances, when taken in their crude state by the experimenter for the purpose of testing their peculiar effects, do not exhibit nearly the full amount of the powers that lie hidden in them which they do when they are taken for the same object in high dilutions potentized by proper trituration and succussion, by which simple operations the powers which in their crude state lay hidden, and, as it were, dormant, are developed and roused into activity to an incredible extent. In this manner we now find it best to investigate the medicinal powers even of such substances as are deemed weak, and the plan we adopt is to give to the experimenter, on an empty stomach, daily from four to six very small globules of the thirtieth potentized dilution of such a substance, moistened with a little water, and let him continue this for several days.

§ 129.

If the effects that result from such a dose are but slight, a few more globules may be taken daily, until they become more distinct and stronger and the alterations of the health more conspicuous; for all persons are not effected by a medicine in an equally great degree; on the contrary, there is a vast variety in this respect, so that sometimes an apparently weak individual may be scarcely at all affected by moderate doses of a medicine known to be of a powerful character, whilst he is strongly enough acted on by others of a much weaker kind. And,

on the other hand, there are very robust persons who experience very considerable morbid symptoms from an apparently mild medicine, and only slighter symptoms from stronger drugs. Now, as this cannot be known beforehand, it is advisable to commence in every instance with a small dose of the drug and, where suitable and requisite, to increase the dose more and more from day to day.^(a)

§ 130.

If, at the very commencement, the first dose administered shall have been sufficiently strong, this advantage is gained, that the experimenter learns the order of succession of the symptoms and can note down accurately the period at which each occurs, which is very useful in leading to a knowledge of the genius of the medicine, for then the order of the primary actions, as also that of the alternating actions, is observed in the most unambiguous manner. A very moderate dose, even, often suffices for the experiment, provided only the experimenter is endowed with sufficiently delicate sensitiveness, and is very attentive to his sensations. The duration of the action of a drug can only be ascertained by a comparison of several experiments.

§ 131.

If, however, in order to ascertain anything at all, the same medicine must be given to the same person to test for several successive days in ever-increasing doses, we thereby learn, no doubt, the various morbid states this medicine is capable of producing in a general manner, but we do not ascertain their order of succession; and the subsequent dose often removes, curatively, some one or other of the symptoms caused by the previous dose, or develops in its stead an opposite state; such symptoms should be inclosed in brackets, to mark their ambiguity, until subsequent purer experiments show whether they are the reaction of the organism and secondary action or an alternating action of this medicine.

§ 132.

But when the object is, without reference to the sequential order of the phenomena and the duration of the action of the drug, only to ascertain the symptoms themselves, especially those of a weak medicinal substance, in that case the preferable course to pursue is to give it for several successive days, increasing the dose every day.^(a) In this manner the action of an unknown medicine, even of the mildest nature, will be revealed, especially if tested on sensitive persons.

§ 133.

On experiencing any particular sensation from the medicine, it is useful, indeed necessary, in order to determine the exact character of the symptom, to assume various positions while it lasts, and to observe whether, by moving the part affected, by walking in the room or the open air, by standing, sitting or lying the symptom is increased, diminished or removed, and whether it returns on again assuming the position in which it was first observed,—whether it is altered by eating or drinking, or by any other condition, or by speaking, coughing, sneezing or any other action of the body, and at the same time to note at what time of the day or night it usually occurs in the most marked manner, whereby what is peculiar to and characteristic of each symptom will become apparent.

§ 134.

All external influences, and more especially medicines, possess the property of producing in the health of the living organism a particular kind of alteration peculiar to themselves; but all the symptoms peculiar to a medicine do not appear in one person, nor all at once, nor in the same experiment, but some occur in one person chiefly at one time, others again during a second or third trial; in another person some other symptoms appear, but in such a manner that probably some of the pheno-

mena are observed in the fourth, eighth or tenth person which had already appeared in the second, sixth or ninth person, and so forth; moreover, they may not recur at the same hour.

§ 135.

The whole of the elements of disease a medicine is capable of producing can only be brought to anything like completeness by numerous observations on suitable persons of both sexes and of various constitutions. We can only be assured that a medicine has been thoroughly proved in regard to the morbid states it can produce—that is to say, in regard to its pure powers of altering the health of man—when subsequent experimenters can notice little of a novel character from its action, and almost always only the same symptoms as had been already observed by others.

§ 136.

(Although, as has been said, a medicine, on being proved on healthy subjects, cannot develop in one person all the alterations of health it is capable of causing, but can only do this when given to many different individuals, varying in their corporeal and mental constitution, yet the tendency to excite all these symptoms in every human being exists in it [§ 117], according to an eternal and immutable law of nature, by virtue of which all its effects, even those that are but rarely developed in the healthy person, are brought into operation in the case of every individual if administered to him when he is in a morbid state presenting similar symptoms; it then, even in the smallest dose, being homœopathically selected, silently produces in the patient an artificial state closely resembling the natural disease, which rapidly and permanently [homœopathically] frees and cures him of his original malady.)

§ 137.

The more moderate, within certain limits, the doses of the medicine used for such experiments are—provided we

endeavor to facilitate the observation by the selection of a person who is a lover of truth, temperate in all respects, of delicate feelings, and who can direct the most minute attention to his sensations—so much the more distinctly are the primary effects developed, and only these, which are most worth knowing, occur without any admixture of secondary effects or reactions of the vital force. When, however, excessively large doses are used there occur at the same time not only a number of secondary effects among the symptoms, but the primary effects also come on in such hurried confusion and with such impetuosity that nothing can be accurately observed; let alone the danger attending them, which no one who has any regard for his fellow-creatures, and who looks on the meanest of mankind as his brother, will deem an indifferent manner.

§ 138.

All the sufferings, accidents and changes of the health of the experimenter during the action of a medicine (provided the above conditions [§§ 124—127] essential to a good and pure experiment are complied with) are solely derived from this medicine, and must be regarded and registered as belonging peculiarly to this medicine, as symptoms of this medicine, even though the experimenter had observed, *a considerable time previously*, the spontaneous occurrence of similar phenomena in himself. The reappearance of these during the trial of the medicine only shows that this individual is, by virtue of his peculiar constitution, particularly disposed to have such symptoms excited in him. In this case they are the effect of the medicine; the symptoms do not arise spontaneously while the medicine that has been taken is exercising an influence over the health of the whole system, but are produced by the medicine.

§ 139.

When the physician does not make the trial of the medicine on himself, but gives it to another person, the

latter must note down distinctly the sensations, sufferings, accidents and changes of health he experiences at the time of their occurrence, mentioning the time after the ingestion of the drug when each symptom arose and, if it lasts long, the period of its duration. The physician looks over the report in the presence of the experimenter immediately after the experiment is concluded, or if the trial lasts several days he does this every day, in order, whilst everything is still fresh in his memory, to question him about the exact nature of every one of these circumstances, and to write down the more precise details so elicited, or to make such alterations as the experimenter may suggest.¹

§ 140.

If the person cannot write, the physician must be informed by him every day of what has occurred to him, and how it took place. What is noted down as authentic information on this point, however, must be chiefly the voluntary narration of the person who makes the experiment, nothing conjectural and as little as possible derived from answers to leading questions should be admitted; everything must be ascertained with the same caution as I have counselled above (§§ 84—99) for the investigation of the phenomena and for tracing the picture of natural diseases.

§ 141.

But the best provings of the pure effects of simple medicines in altering the human health, and of the artificial diseases and symptoms they are capable of developing in the healthy individual, are those which the healthy, unprejudiced and sensitive *physician institutes on himself* with all the caution and care here enjoined.

¹ He who makes known to the medical world the results of such experiments becomes thereby responsible for the trustworthiness of the person experimented on and his statements, and justly so, as the weal of suffering humanity is here at stake.

He knows with the greatest certainty the things he has experienced in his own person.¹

§ 142.

But how some symptoms² of the simple medicine employed for a curative purpose can be distinguished amongst the symptoms of the original malady, even in diseases, especially in those of a chronic character that usually remain unaltered, is a subject appertaining to the

¹ Those trials made by the physician on himself have for him other and inestimable advantages. In the first place, the great truth that the medicinal virtue of all drugs, whereon depends their curative power, lies in the changes of health he has himself undergone from the medicines he has proved, and the morbid states he has himself experienced from them, becomes for him an incontrovertible fact. Again, by such noteworthy observations on himself he will be brought to understand his own sensations, his mode of thinking and his disposition (the foundation of all true wisdom: *γνώθι σεαυτὸν*), and he will be also trained to be, what every physician ought to be, a good observer. All our observations on others are not nearly so interesting as those made on ourselves. The observer of others must always dread lest the experimenter did not feel exactly what he said, or lest he did not describe his sensations with the most appropriate expressions. He must always remain in doubt whether he has not been deceived, at least to some extent. These obstacles to the knowledge of the truth, which can never be thoroughly surmounted in our investigations of the artificial morbid symptoms that occur in others from the ingestion of medicines, cease entirely when we make the trials on ourselves. He who makes these trials on himself knows for certain what he has felt, and each trial is a new inducement for him to investigate the powers of other medicines. He thus becomes more and more practised in the art of observing, of such importance to the physician, by continuing to observe himself, the one on whom he can most rely and who will never deceive him; and this he will do all the more zealously as these experiments on himself promise to give him a reliable knowledge of the true value and significance of the instruments of cure that are still to a great degree unknown to our art. Let it not be imagined that such slight indispositions caused by taking medicines for the purpose of proving them can be in the main injurious to the health. Experience shows on the contrary, that the organism of the prover becomes, by these frequent attacks on his health, all the more expert in repelling all external influences inimical to his frame and all artificial and natural morbid noxious agents, and becomes more hardened to resist everything of an injurious character, by means of these moderate experiments on his own person with medicines. His health becomes more unalterable; he becomes more robust, as all experience shows. (a)

² Symptoms which, during the whole course of the disease, might have been observed only a long time previously, or never before, consequently new ones, belonging to the medicine.

higher art of judgment, and be left exclusively to masters in observation.

§ 143.

If we have thus tested on the healthy individual a considerable number of simple medicines and carefully and faithfully registered all the disease elements and symptoms they are capable of developing as artificial disease-producers, then only have we a true *materia medica*—a collection of real, pure, reliable¹ modes of action of simple medicinal substances, a volume of the book of nature, wherein is recorded a considerable array of the peculiar changes of the health and symptoms ascertained to belong to each of the powerful medicines, as they were revealed to the attention of the observer, in which the likeness of the (homœopathic) disease elements of many natural diseases to be hereafter cured by them are present, which, in a word, contain artificial morbid states, that furnish for the similar natural morbid states the only true, homœopathic, that is to say, specific, therapeutic instruments for effecting their certain and permanent cure.

§ 144.

From such a *materia medica* everything that is conjectural, all that is mere assertion or imaginary should be strictly excluded; everything should be the pure language of nature carefully and honestly interrogated.

§ 145.

Of a truth, it is only by a very considerable store of medicines accurately known in respect of these their pure modes of action in altering the health of man, that we can be placed in a position to discover a

¹ Latterly it has been the habit to entrust the proving of medicines to unknown persons at a distance, who were paid for their work, and the information so obtained was printed. But by so doing, the work which is of all others the most important, which is to form the basis of the only true healing art, and which demands the greatest moral certainty and trustworthiness, seems to me, I regret to say, to become doubtful and uncertain in its results and to lose all value. (a)

homœopathic remedy, a suitable artificial (curative) morbid analogue for *each* of the infinitely numerous morbid states in nature, for *every* malady in the world.¹ In the meantime, even now—thanks to the truthful character of the symptoms,^(a) and to the abundance of disease elements which every one of the powerful medicinal substances has already shown in its action on the healthy body—but few diseases remain, for which a tolerably suitable homœopathic remedy may not be met with among those now proved as to their pure action,² which, without much disturbance, restores health in a gentle, sure and permanent manner—*infinitely* more surely and safely than can be effected by all the general and special therapeutics of the old allopathic medical art with its unknown composite remedies, which do but alter and aggravate but cannot cure chronic diseases, and rather retard than promote recovery from acute diseases.^(a)

§ 146.^(a)

The third point of the business of a true physician relates to the *judicious employment* of the artificial morbid agents (*medicines*) that have been proved on healthy individuals to ascertain their pure action, *in order to effect the homœopathic cure of natural diseases.*

§ 147.

Whichever of these medicines that have been investigated as to their power of altering man's health we find to contain in the symptoms observed from its use the greatest similarity to the totality of the symptoms of a

¹ At first I was the only person who made the proving of the pure powers of medicines the most important of his occupations. Since then I have been assisted in this by some young men, who instituted experiments on themselves, and whose observations I have critically revised. But what shall we not be able to effect in the way of curing in the whole extent of the infinitely large domain of disease, when numbers of *accurate* and *trustworthy* observers shall have rendered their services in enriching this, the only true materia medica, by careful *experiments on themselves!* The healing art will then come near the mathematical sciences in certainty.

² See the second note to § 109.

given natural disease, this medicine will and must be the most suitable, the most certain homœopathic remedy for the disease; in it is found the specific remedy of this case of disease.

§ 148.

A medicine selected in this manner, which has the power and the tendency to produce symptoms the most similar possible to the disease to be cured, consequently a similar artificial disease, given in a suitable dose, affects, in its dynamic action on the morbidly deranged vital force of the individual, those very parts and points in the organism now suffering from the natural disease, and produces in them its own artificial disease, which, on account of its great similarity and preponderating strength, occupies precisely the seat hitherto occupied by the natural morbid derangement, so that the instinctive, automatic vital force is from that time forward no longer affected by the natural disease but solely by the stronger, similar medicinal disease; which in its turn, on account of the small dose of the remedy, being, like every moderate medicinal disease, overcome by the increased energy of the vital force, soon spontaneously disappears, leaving the body free from all disease, that is to say, healthy and permanently cured.

§ 149.

When the suitable homœopathic remedy has been thus selected¹ and rightly employed, the acute disease we wish

¹ But this laborious, sometimes very laborious, search for and selection of the homœopathic remedy most suitable in every respect to each morbid state, is an operation which, notwithstanding all the admirable books for facilitating it, still demands the study of the original sources themselves, and at the same time a great amount of circumspection and serious deliberation, which have their best reward in the consciousness of having faithfully discharged our duty. How could this laborious, care-demanding task, by which alone the best way of curing diseases is rendered possible, please the gentlemen of the new mongrel sect, who assume the honourable name of homœopathists, and even seem to employ medicines in form and appearance homœopathic, but determined upon by them anyhow (*quidquid in buccam venit*), and who, when the unsuitable remedy does not immediately give relief, in place of laying the blame on their unpardonable ignorance and laxity in performing the

to cure, even though it be of a grave character and attended by many sufferings, subsides insensibly, in a few hours if it be of recent date, in a few days if it be of a somewhat longer standing, along with all traces of indisposition, and nothing or almost nothing more of the artificial medicinal disease is perceived; there occurs, by rapid, imperceptible transitions, nothing but restored health, recovery. Diseases of long standing (and especially such as are of a complicated character) require for their cure a proportionately longer time. More especially do the chronic medicinal dyscrasia so often produced by allopathic bungling, along with the natural disease left uncured by it, require a much longer time for their recovery; often, indeed, are they incurable, in consequence of the shameful robbery of the patient's strength and juices, the principal feat performed by allopathy in its so-called methods of treatment.

§ 150.

If a patient complain of one or more trivial symptoms, that have been only observed a short time previously, the

most important and serious of all human affairs, ascribe it to homœopathy, which they accuse of great imperfection (if the truth be told, its imperfection consists in this, that the most suitable homœopathic remedy for each morbid condition does not spontaneously fly into their mouths like roasted pigeons, without any trouble on their own part). They know, however, from frequent practice, how to make up for the inefficiency of the scarcely half homœopathic remedy by the employment of allopathic means, that come much more handy to them, among which one or more dozens of leeches applied to the affected part, or little harmless venesections to the extent of eight ounces, and so forth, play an important part; and should the patient, in spite of all this, recover, they extol their venesections, leeches, &c., alleging that, had it not been for these, the patient would not have been pulled through, and they give us to understand, in no doubtful language, that these operations, derived without much exercise of genius from the pernicious routine of the old school, in reality contributed the best share towards the cure. But if the patient die under the treatment, as not unfrequently happens, they seek to console the friends by saying that "they themselves were witnesses that everything conceivable had been done for the lamented deceased." Who would do this frivolous and pernicious tribe the honour to call them, after the name of the very laborious but salutary art, *homœopathic physicians*? May the just recompense await them, that, when taken ill, they may be treated in the same manner! (a)

physician should not regard this as a fully developed disease that requires serious medical aid. A slight alteration in the diet and regimen will usually suffice to dispel such an indisposition.

§ 151.

But if the patient complain of a few violent sufferings, the physician will usually find, on investigation, several other symptoms besides, although of a slighter character, which furnish a complete picture of the disease.

§ 152.

The worse the acute disease is, of so much the more numerous and striking symptoms is it generally composed, but with so much the more certainty may a suitable remedy for it be found, if there be a sufficient number of medicines known, with respect to their positive action, to choose from. Among the lists of symptoms of many medicines it will not be difficult to find one from whose separate disease elements an antitype of curative artificial disease, very like the totality of the symptoms of the natural disease, may be constructed, and such a medicine is the desired remedy.

§ 153.

In this search for a homœopathic specific remedy, that is to say, in this comparison of the collective symptoms of the natural disease with the list of symptoms of known medicines, in order to find among these an artificial morbid agent corresponding by similarity to the disease to be cured, the *more striking, singular, uncommon and peculiar* (characteristic) signs and symptoms¹ of the case

¹ Dr. von Bönninghausen, who has already distinguished himself by his labours in connection with the new system of medicine, has lately increased our obligation to him by the publication of his important little book setting forth the characteristic symptoms, more particularly of the antipsoric medicines, entitled *Uebersicht der Hauptwirkungs-Sphäre der antips. Arz.*, Münster, bei Coppenrath, 1833, and the appendix thereto (containing the antisyphilitic and the antisycotic medicines) at the end of the second edition of his *Systematisch-alphabetisches Repertorium der antipsorischen Arzneien*, bei Coppenrath in Münster.

of disease are chiefly and most solely to be kept in view; for it is *more particularly these that very similar ones in the list of symptoms of the selected medicine must correspond to*, in order to constitute it the most suitable for effecting the cure. The more general and undefined symptoms: loss of appetite, headache, debility, restless sleep, discomfort, and so forth, demand but little attention when of that vague and indefinite character, if they cannot be more accurately described, as symptoms of such a general nature are observed in almost every disease and from almost every drug.

§ 154.

If the antitype constructed from the list of symptoms of the most suitable medicine contain those peculiar, uncommon, singular and distinguishing (characteristic) symptoms, which are to be met with in the disease to be cured in the greatest number and in the greatest similarity, *this* medicine is the most appropriate homœopathic specific remedy for *this* morbid state; the disease, if it be not one of very long standing, will generally be removed and extinguished by the first dose of it, without any considerable disturbance.

§ 155.

I say *without any considerable disturbance*. For in the employment of this most appropriate homœopathic remedy it is only the symptoms of the medicine that correspond to the symptoms of the disease that are called into play, the former occupying the place of the latter (weaker) in the organism, and thereby annihilating them by overpowering them; but the other symptoms of the homœopathic medicine, which are often very numerous, being in no way applicable to the case of disease in question, are not called into play at all. The patient, growing hourly better, feels almost nothing of them at all, because the excessively minute dose requisite for homœopathic use is much too weak to produce the other symptoms of the medicine that are not homœopathic to the case, in those

parts of the body that are free from disease, and consequently can allow only the homœopathic symptoms to act on the parts of the organism that are already most irritated and excited by the similar symptoms of the disease, thus changing the morbid affection of the vital force into a similar but stronger medicinal disease, whereby the original malady is extinguished.

§ 156.^(a)

There is, however, almost no homœopathic medicine, be it ever so suitably chosen, that, especially if it should be given in an insufficiently minute dose, will not produce, in very irritable and sensitive patients, at least one trifling, unusual disturbance, some slight new symptom whilst its action lasts; for it is next to impossible that medicine and disease should cover one another symptomatically as exactly as two triangles with equal sides and equal angles. But this (in ordinary circumstances) unimportant difference will be easily done away with by the potential activity (energy) of the living organism, and is not perceptible by patients not excessively delicate; the restoration goes forward, notwithstanding, to the goal of perfect recovery, if it be not prevented by the action of heterogeneous medicinal influences upon the patient, by errors of regimen or by excitement of the passions.

§ 157.^(a)

But though it is certain that a homœopathically selected remedy does, by reason of its appropriateness and the minuteness of the dose, gently remove and annihilate the acute disease analogous to it, without manifesting its other unhomœopathic symptoms, that is to say, without the production of new, serious disturbances, yet it usually, immediately after ingestion—for the first hour, or for a few hours—causes a kind of slight aggravation (where the dose has been somewhat too large, however, for a considerable number of hours), which has so much resemblance to the original disease that it seems to the patient to be an aggravation of his own disease. But it is,

in reality, nothing more than an extremely similar *medicinal disease*, somewhat exceeding in strength the original affection.

§ 158.

This slight *homœopathic aggravation* during the first hours—a very good prognostic that the acute disease will most probably yield to the first dose—is quite as it ought to be, as the medicinal disease must naturally be somewhat stronger than the malady to be cured if it is to overpower and extinguish the latter, just as a natural disease can remove and annihilate another one similar to it only when it is stronger than the latter (§§ 43—48).

§ 159.

The smaller the dose of the homœopathic remedy is, so much the slighter and shorter is this apparent increase of the disease during the first hours.

§ 160.

But as the dose of a homœopathic remedy can scarcely ever be made so small that it shall not be able to relieve, overpower, indeed completely cure and annihilate the uncomplicated natural disease of not long standing that is analogous to it (§ 249, note), we can understand why a dose of an appropriate homœopathic medicine, not the very smallest possible, does always, during the first hour after its ingestion, produce a perceptible homœopathic aggravation of this kind.¹

¹ This exaltation of the medicinal symptoms over those disease symptoms analogous to them, which looks like an aggravation, has been observed by other physicians also, when by accident they employed a homœopathic remedy. When a patient suffering from itch complains of an increase of the eruption after sulphur, his physician who knows not the cause of this, consoles him with the assurance that the itch must first come out properly before it can be cured; he knows not, however, that this is a sulphur eruption, that assumes the appearance of an increase of the itch.

"The facial eruption which the *viola tricolor* cured was aggravated by it at the commencement of its action," Ieroy tells us (*Heilk. für Müller*, p. 406), but he knew not that the apparent aggravation was owing to the somewhat too large dose of the remedy, which in this instance was to a certain

§ 161.^(a)

When I here limit the so-called homœopathic aggravation, or rather the primary action of the homœopathic medicine that seems to increase somewhat the symptoms of the original disease, to the first or few first hours, this is certainly true with respect to diseases of a more acute character and of recent origin;¹ but where medicines of long action have to combat a malady of considerable or of very long standing, where one dose, consequently, must continue to act for many days, we then occasionally see, during the first six, eight or ten days, the occurrence of some such primary actions of the medicine, some such apparent increase of the symptoms of the original disease (lasting for one or several hours), whilst in the intervening hours amelioration of the whole malady is perceptible. After the lapse of these few days the amelioration resulting from such primary action of the medicine proceeds almost uninterruptedly for several days longer.

§ 162.

It sometimes happens, *owing to the moderate number of medicines yet known with respect to their true, pure action*, that but a *portion* of the symptoms of the disease under treatment are to be met with in the list of symptoms of the most appropriate medicine, consequently this imperfect

extent homœopathic. Lysons says (*Med. Transact.*, vol ii, London, 1772), "The bark of the elm cures most certainly those skin diseases which it increases at the beginning of its action." Had he not given the bark in the monstrous doses usual in the allopathic system, but in the quite small doses requisite when the medicine shows similarity of symptoms, that is to say, when it is used homœopathically, he would have effected a cure without, or almost without, seeing this apparent increase of the disease (homœopathic aggravation).

¹ If the action of those medicines to which the longest duration of action is proper, quickly expires in acute diseases—most quickly in those that are most acute—it is proportionately long lasting in chronic diseases (of psoric origin), and hence it happens that the antipsoric medicines often do not show any such homœopathic aggravation in the first hours, whilst they do so later and during various hours for the first eight or ten days.

medicinal morbid agent must be employed for lack of a more perfect one.

§ 163.

In this case we cannot indeed expect from this medicine a complete, untroubled cure; for during its use some symptoms appear which were not previously observable in the disease, accessory symptoms of the not perfectly appropriate remedy. This does by no means prevent a considerable part of the disease (the symptoms of the disease that resemble those of the medicine) from being eradicated by this medicine, thereby establishing a fair commencement of the cure, but still this does not take place without those accessory symptoms, which are, however, always moderate when the dose of the medicine is sufficiently minute.

§ 164.

The small number of homœopathic symptoms present in the best selected medicine is no obstacle to the cure in cases *where these few medicinal symptoms are chiefly of an uncommon kind and such as are peculiarly distinctive (characteristic) of the disease*; the cure takes place under such circumstances without any particular disturbance.

§ 165.

If, however, among the symptoms of the remedy selected, there be none that accurately resemble the distinctive (characteristic), peculiar, uncommon symptoms of the case of disease, and if the remedy correspond to the disease only in the general, vaguely described, indefinite states (nausea, debility, headache, and so forth), and if there be among the known medicines none more homœopathically appropriate, in that case the physician cannot promise himself any immediate favourable result from the employment of this unhomœopathic medicine.

§ 166.

Such a case is, however, *very rare*, owing to the increased number of medicines whose pure effects are now known, and the bad effects resulting from it, when they do occur, are diminished whenever a subsequent medicine, of more accurate resemblance, can be selected.

§ 167.

Thus if there occur, during the use of this imperfectly homœopathic remedy first employed, accessory symptoms of some moment, then, in the case of acute diseases, we do not allow this first dose to exhaust its action, nor leave the patient to the full duration of the action of the remedy, but we investigate afresh the morbid state in its now altered condition, and add the remainder of the original symptoms to those newly developed in tracing a new picture of the disease.

§ 168.

We shall then be able much more readily to discover, among the known medicines, an analogue to the morbid state before us, a single dose of which, if it do not entirely destroy the disease, will advance it considerably on the way to be cured. And thus we go on, if even this medicine be not quite sufficient to effect the restoration of health, examining again and again the morbid state that still remains, and selecting a homœopathic medicine as suitable as possible for it, until our object, namely, putting the patient in the possession of perfect health, is accomplished.

§ 169.

If, on the first examination of a disease and the first selection of a medicine, we should find that the totality of the symptoms of the disease would not be effectually covered by the disease elements of a single medicine—owing to the insufficient number of known medicines,—but that two medicines contend for the preference in

point of appropriateness, one of which is more homœopathically suitable for one part, the other for another part of the symptoms of the disease, it is not advisable, after the employment of the more suitable of the two medicines, to administer the other without fresh examination, for the medicine that seemed to be the next best would not, under the change of circumstances that has in the meantime taken place, be suitable for the rest of the symptoms that then remain; in which case, consequently, a more appropriate homœopathic remedy must be selected in place of the second medicine for the set of symptoms as they appear on a new inspection.

§ 170.^(a)

Hence in this as in every case where a change of the morbid state has occurred, the remaining set of symptoms now present must be inquired into, and (without paying any attention to the medicine which at first appeared to be the next in point of suitability) another homœopathic medicine, as appropriate as possible to the new state now before us, must be selected. If it should so happen, as is not often the case, that the medicine which at first appeared to be the next best seems still to be well adapted for the morbid state that remains, so much the more will it merit our confidence, and deserve to be employed in preference to another.

§ 171.^(a)

In non-venereal chronic diseases, those, therefore, that arise from psora, we often require, in order to effect a cure, to give several antipsoric remedies in succession, every successive one being homœopathically chosen in consonance with the group of symptoms remaining after the expiry of the action of the previous remedy (which may have been employed in a single dose or in several successive doses).

§ 172.

A similar *difficulty* in the way of the cure occurs *from the symptoms of the disease being too few*—a circumstance that deserves our careful attention, for by its removal almost all the difficulties that can lie in the way of this most perfect of all possible modes of treatment (except that its apparatus of known homœopathic medicines is still incomplete) are removed.

§ 173.

The only diseases that seem to have but few symptoms, and on that account to be less amenable to cure, are those which may be termed *one-sided*, because they display only one or two principal symptoms which obscure almost all the others. They belong chiefly to the class of chronic diseases.

§ 174.

Their principal symptom may be either an internal complaint (*e. g.* a headache of many years' duration, a diarrhœa of long standing, an ancient cardialgia, &c.), or it may be an affection more of an external kind. Diseases of the latter character are generally distinguished by the name of *local maladies*.

§ 175.

In one-sided diseases of the first kind it is often to be attributed to the medical observer's want of discernment that he does not fully discover the symptoms actually present which would enable him to complete the sketch of the portrait of the disease.

§ 176.

There are, however, still a few diseases, which, after the most careful initial examination (§§ 84—98), present but one or two severe, violent symptoms, while all the others are but indistinctly perceptible.

§ 177.

In order to meet most successfully such a case as *this*, which is of *very rare* occurrence, we are in the first place to select, guided by these few symptoms, the medicine which in our judgment is the most homœopathically indicated.

§ 178.

It will, no doubt, sometimes happen that this medicine, selected in strict observance of the homœopathic law, furnishes the similar artificial disease suited for the annihilation of the malady present; and this is much more likely to happen when these few morbid symptoms are very striking, decided, uncommon and peculiarly distinctive (characteristic).

§ 179.

More frequently, however, the medicine first chosen in such a case will be only partially, that is to say, not exactly suitable, as there was no considerable number of symptoms to guide to an accurate selection.

§ 180.

In this case the medicine, which has been chosen as well as was possible, but which, for the reason above stated, is only imperfectly homœopathic, will, in its action upon the disease that is only partially analogous to it—just as in the case mentioned above (§ 162, *et seq.*), where the limited number of homœopathic remedies renders the selection imperfect—produce accessory symptoms, and several phenomena from its own array of symptoms are mixed up with the patient's state of health, *which are, however, at the same time, symptoms of the disease itself, although they may have been hitherto never or very rarely perceived*; some symptoms which the patient had never previously experienced appear, or others he had only felt indistinctly become more pronounced.

§ 181.

Let it not be objected that the accessory phenomena and new symptoms of this disease that now appear should be laid to the account of the medicament just employed. They owe their origin to it¹ certainly, but they are always only symptoms of such a nature as *this* disease was itself capable of producing in *this* organism, and which were summoned forth and induced to make their appearance by the medicine given, owing to its power to cause similar symptoms. In a word, we have to regard the whole collection of symptoms now perceptible as belonging to the disease itself, as the actual existing condition, and to direct our further treatment accordingly.

§ 182.

Thus the imperfect selection of the medicament, which was in this case almost inevitable owing to the too limited number of the symptoms present, serves to complete the display of the symptoms of the disease, and in this way facilitates the discovery of a second, more accurately suitable, homœopathic medicine.

§ 183.

Whenever, therefore, the dose of the first medicine ceases to have a beneficial effect (if the newly developed symptoms do not, by reason of their gravity, demand more speedy aid—which, however, from the minuteness of the dose of homœopathic medicine, and in very chronic diseases, is excessively rare), a new examination of the disease must be instituted, the *status morbi* as it now is must be noted down, and a second homœopathic remedy selected in accordance with it, which shall exactly suit the present state, and one which shall be all the more appropriate can then be found, as the group of symptoms has become larger and more complete.²

¹ When they were not caused by an important error in regimen, a violent emotion, or a tumultuous revolution in the organism, such as the occurrence or cessation of the menses, conception, childbirth, and so forth.

² In cases where the patient (which, however, happens excessively seldom

§ 184.

In like manner, after each new dose of medicine has exhausted its action, the state of the disease that still remains is to be noted anew with respect to its remaining symptoms, and another homœopathic remedy sought for, as suitable as possible for the group of symptoms now observed, and so on until the recovery is complete.

§ 185.

Among the one-sided diseases an important place is occupied by the so-called *local maladies*, by which term is signified those changes and ailments that appear on the external parts of the body. Till now the idea prevalent in the schools was that these parts were alone morbidly affected, and that the rest of the body did not participate in the disease—a theoretical, absurd doctrine, which has led to the most disastrous medical treatment.

§ 186.

Those so-called local maladies which have been produced a short time previously, solely by an external lesion, still appear at first sight to deserve the name of *local* diseases. But then the lesion must be very trivial, and in that case it would be of no great moment. For in the case of injuries accruing to the body from without, if they be at all severe, the whole living organism sympathises; there occur fever, &c. The treatment of such diseases is relegated to surgery; but this is right only in so far as the affected parts require mechanical aid, whereby the external obstacles to the cure, which can only be expected to take place by the agency of the vital force, may be removed by mechanical means, e. g., by the re-

in chronic, but not infrequently in acute, diseases) feels very ill, although his symptoms are very indistinct, so that this state may be attributed more to the benumbed state of the nerves, which does not permit the patient's pains and sufferings to be distinctly perceived, this torpor of the internal sensibility is removed by opium, and in its secondary action the symptoms of the disease become distinctly apparent. (4)

duction of dislocations, by bandages to bring together the lips of wounds, by the extraction of foreign bodies that have penetrated into the living parts, by making an opening into a cavity of the body in order to remove an irritating substance or to procure the evacuation of effusions or collections of fluids, by bringing into apposition the broken extremities of a fractured bone and retaining them in exact contact by an appropriate bandage, &c. But when in such injuries the whole living organism requires, *as it always does*, active *dynamic* aid to put it in a position to accomplish the work of healing, *e. g.* when the violent fever resulting from extensive contusions, lacerated muscles, tendons and blood-vessels requires to be removed by medicine given internally, or when the external pain of scalded or burnt parts needs to be homœopathically subdued, then the services of the dynamic physician and his helpful homœopathy come into requisition.

§ 187.^(a)

But those affections, alterations and ailments appearing on the external parts, that do not arise from any external injury or that have only some slight external wound for their immediate exciting cause, are produced in quite another manner; their source lies in some internal malady. To consider them as mere local affections, and at the same time to treat them only, or almost only, as it were surgically, with topical applications—as the old school have done from the remotest ages—is as absurd as it is pernicious in its results.

§ 188.

These affections were considered to be merely topical, and were therefore called local diseases, as if they were maladies exclusively limited to those parts wherein the organism took little or no part, or affections of these particular visible parts of which the rest of the living organism, so to speak, knew nothing.¹

¹One of the many great and pernicious blunders of the old school.

§ 189.

And yet very little reflection will suffice to convince us that no external malady (not occasioned by some important injury from without) can arise, persist or even grow worse without some internal cause, without the co-operation of the whole organism, which must consequently be in a diseased state. It could not make its appearance at all without the consent of the whole of the rest of the health, and without the participation of the rest of the living whole (of the vital force that pervades all the other sensitive and irritable parts of the organism);^(a) indeed, it is impossible to conceive its production without the instrumentality of the whole (deranged) life; so intimately are all parts of the organism connected together to form an indivisible whole in sensations and functions. No eruption on the lips, no whitlow can occur without previous and simultaneous internal ill-health.

§ 190.

All true medical treatment of a disease on the external parts of the body that has occurred from little or no injury from without must, therefore, be directed against the whole, must effect the annihilation and cure of the general malady by means of internal remedies, if it is wished that the treatment should be judicious, sure, efficacious and radical.

§ 191.

This is confirmed in the most unambiguous manner by experience, which shows in all cases that every powerful internal medicine immediately after its ingestion causes important changes in the general health of such a patient, and particularly in the affected external parts (which the ordinary medical school regards as quite isolated), even in a so-called local disease of the most external parts of the body, and the change it produces is most salutary, being the restoration to health of the entire body, along with the disappearance of the external

affection (without the aid of any external remedy), provided the internal remedy directed towards the whole state was suitably chosen in a homœopathic sense.

§ 192.

This is best effected when, in the investigation of the case of disease, along with the exact character of the local affection, all the changes, sufferings and symptoms observable in the patient's health, and which may have been previously noticed when no medicines had been used, are taken in conjunction to form a complete picture of the disease before searching among the medicines, whose peculiar pathogenetic effects are known, for a remedy corresponding to the totality of the symptoms, so that the selection may be truly homœopathic.

§ 193.

By means of this medicine, employed only internally (and, if the disease be but of recent origin, often by the very first dose of it), the general morbid state of the body is removed along with the local affection, and the latter is cured at the same time as the former, proving that the local affection depended solely on a disease of the rest of the body, and should only be regarded as an inseparable part of the whole, as one of the most considerable and striking symptoms of the whole disease.

§ 194.^(a)

It is not useful, either in acute local diseases of recent origin or in local affections that have already existed a long time, to rub in or apply externally to the spot an external remedy, even though it be the specific and, when used internally, salutary by reason of its homœopathicity, even although it should be at the same time administered internally; for the acute topical affections (*e. g.* inflammations of individual parts, erysipelas, &c), which have not been caused by external injury of proportionate violence, but by dynamic or internal causes, yield most surely to internal remedies homœopathically adapted

to the perceptible state of the health present in the exterior and interior, selected from the general store of proved medicines,¹ and generally without any other aid; but if these diseases do not yield to them completely, and if there still remain in the affected spot and in the whole state, notwithstanding good regimen, a relic of disease which the vital force is not competent to restore to the normal state, then the acute disease was (as not infrequently happens) a product of psora which had hitherto remained latent in the interior, but has now burst forth and is on the point of developing into a palpable chronic disease.

§ 195.

In order to effect a radical cure in such cases, which are by no means rare, after the acute state has pretty well subsided, an appropriate antipsoric treatment (as is taught in my work on *Chronic Diseases*) must then be directed against the symptoms that still remain and the morbid state of health to which the patient was previously subject. In chronic local maladies that are not obviously venereal, the antipsoric internal treatment is, moreover, alone requisite.

§ 196.

It might, indeed, seem^(a) as though the cure of such diseases would be hastened by employing the medicinal substance which is known to be truly homœopathic to the totality of the symptoms, not only internally, but also externally,^(a) because the action of a medicine applied to the seat of the local affection might effect a more rapid change in it.

§ 197.

This treatment, however, is quite inadmissible, not only for the local symptoms arising from the miasm of psora, but also and especially for those originating in the miasm of syphilis or sycosis, for *the simultaneous local*

¹ As, for instance, aconite, rhus, belladonna, mercury, &c.

application, along with the internal employment, of the remedy in diseases whose chief symptom is a constant local affection, has this great disadvantage, that, by such a topical application, this chief symptom (local affection)¹ will usually be annihilated sooner than the internal disease, and we shall now be deceived by the semblance of a perfect cure; or at least it will be difficult, and in some cases impossible, to determine, from the premature disappearance of the local symptom, if the general disease is destroyed by the simultaneous employment of the internal medicine.

§ 198.^(a)

The *mere topical employment* of medicines, that are powerful for cure when given internally, to the local symptoms of chronic miasmatic diseases is for the same reason quite inadmissible; for if the local affection of the chronic disease be only removed locally and in a one-sided manner, the internal treatment indispensable for the complete restoration of the health remains in dubious obscurity; the chief symptom (the local affection) is gone, and there remain only the other, less distinguishable symptoms, which are less constant and less persistent than the local affection, and frequently not sufficiently peculiar and too slightly characteristic to display after that, a picture of the disease in clear and peculiar outlines.

§ 199.

If the remedy perfectly homœopathic to the disease had not yet been discovered² at the time when the local symptoms were destroyed by a corrosive or desiccative external remedy or by the knife, then the case becomes much more difficult on account of the too indefinite (uncharacteristic) and inconstant appearance of the remaining symptoms; for what might have contributed most to determine the selection of the most suitable remedy, and

¹ Recent itch eruption, chancre, condyloma.

² As was the case before my time with the remedies for the condylomatous disease (and the antipsoric medicines).

its internal employment until the disease should have been completely annihilated, namely, the external principal symptom, has been removed from our observation.

§ 200.

Had it still been present to guide the internal treatment, the homœopathic remedy for the whole disease might have been discovered, and had that been found, the persistence of the local affection during its internal employment would have shown that the cure was not yet completed; but were it cured on its seat, this would be a convincing proof that the disease was completely eradicated, and the desired recovery from the entire disease was fully accomplished—an inestimable, indispensable advantage.

§ 201.^(a)

It is evident that man's vital force, when encumbered with a chronic disease which it is unable to overcome by its own powers, adopts the plan of developing a local malady on some external part, solely for this object, that by making and keeping in a diseased state this part which is not indispensable to human life, it may thereby silence the internal disease, which otherwise threatens to destroy the vital organs (and to deprive the patient of life), and that it may thereby, so to speak, transfer the internal disease to the vicarious local affection and, as it were, draw it thither. The presence of the local affection thus silences, for a time, the internal disease, though without being able either to cure it or to diminish it materially.¹ The local affection, however, is never anything else than a part of the general disease, but a part of it increased all in one direction by the organic vital force, and transferred to a less dangerous (external) part

¹ The issues of the old-school practitioners do something similar; as artificial ulcers on external parts, they silence some internal chronic diseases, but only for a very short time, without being able to cure them; but, on the other hand, they weaken and destroy the general health much more than is done by most of the metastases effected by the instinctive vital force.^(a)

of the body, in order to allay the internal ailment. But (as has been said) by this local symptom that silences the internal disease, so far from anything being gained by the vital force towards diminishing or curing the whole malady, the internal disease, on the contrary, continues, in spite of it, gradually to increase and Nature is constrained to enlarge and aggravate the local symptom always more and more, in order that it may still suffice as a substitute for the increased internal disease and may still keep it under. Old ulcers on the legs get worse as long as the internal psora is uncured, the chancre enlarges as long as the internal syphilis remains uncured, just as the general internal disease continues to increase as time goes on.

§ 202.

If the old-school physician should now destroy the local symptom by the topical application of external remedies, under the belief that he thereby cures the whole disease, Nature makes up for its loss by rousing the internal malady and the other symptoms that previously existed in a latent state side by side with the local affection; that is to say, she increases the internal disease. When this occurs it is usual to say, though *incorrectly*, that the local affection has been *driven back* into the system or upon the nerves by the external remedies.

§ 203.

Every external treatment of such local symptoms, the object of which is to remove them from the surface of the body, whilst the internal miasmatic disease is left uncured, as, for instance, driving off the skin the psoric eruption by all sorts of ointments, burning away the chancre by caustics and destroying the condylomata on their seat by the knife, the ligature or the actual cautery; this pernicious external mode of treatment, hitherto so universally practised, has been the most prolific source of all the innumerable named or unnamed chronic maladies under

which mankind groans; it is one of the most criminal procedures the medical world can be guilty of, and yet it has hitherto been the one generally adopted, and taught from the professional chairs as the only one.^(a)¹

§ 204.

If we deduct all chronic affections, ailments and diseases that depend on a persistent unhealthy mode of living, as also those innumerable medicinal maladies (v. § 74) caused by the irrational, persistent, harassing and pernicious treatment of diseases often only of trivial character by physicians of the old school, all the remainder, without exception, result from the development of these three chronic miasms, internal syphilis, internal sycosis, but chiefly and in infinitely greater proportion, internal psora, each of which was already in possession of the whole organism, and had penetrated it in all directions before the appearance of the primary, vicarious local symptom of each of them (in the case of psora the scabious eruption, in syphilis the chancre or the bubo, and in sycosis the condylomata) that prevented their outburst; and these chronic miasmatic diseases, if deprived of their local symptom, are inevitably destined by mighty Nature sooner or later to become developed and to burst forth, and thereby propagate all the nameless misery, the incredible number of chronic diseases which have plagued mankind for hundreds and thousands of years, none of which would so frequently have come into existence had physicians striven in a rational manner to cure radically and to extinguish in the organism these three miasms by the internal homœopathic medicines suited for each of them, without employing topical remedies for their external symptoms.

¹ For any medicines that might at the same time be given internally served but to aggravate the malady, as these remedies possessed no specific power of curing the whole disease, but assailed the organism, weakened it and inflicted on it, in addition, other chronic medicinal diseases.

§ 205.

The homœopathic physician never treats one of these primary symptoms of chronic miasms, nor yet one of their secondary affections that result from their further development, by local remedies (neither by those external agents that act dynamically,¹ nor yet by those that act mechanically), but he cures, in cases where the one or the other appears, only the great miasm on which they depend, whereupon its primary, as also its secondary symptoms disappear spontaneously; but as this was not the mode pursued by the old-school practitioners who preceded him in the treatment of the case, the homœopathic physician generally, alas! finds that the primary symptoms² have already been destroyed by them by means of external remedies, and that he has now to do more with the secondary ones, *i. e.*, the affections resulting from the breaking forth and development of these inherent miasms, but especially with the chronic diseases evolved from internal psora, the internal treatment of which, as far as a single physician can elucidate it by many years of reflection, observation and experience, I have endeavoured

¹ I cannot therefore advise, for instance, the local extirpation of the so-called cancer of the lips and face (the product of highly developed psora?) by means of the arsenical remedy of Frère Cosme, not only because it is excessively painful and often fails, but more for this reason, because, if this dynamic remedy should indeed succeed in freeing the affected part of the body from the malignant ulcer locally, the basic malady is thereby not diminished in the slightest, the preserving vital force is therefore necessitated to transfer the field of operation of the great internal malady to some more important part (as it does in every case of metaschematism), and the consequence is blindness, deafness, insanity, suffocative asthma, dropsy, apoplexy, &c. But this ambiguous local liberation of the part from the malignant ulcer by the topical arsenical remedy only succeeds, after all, in those cases where the ulcer has not yet attained any great size, and when the vital force is still very energetic; but it is just in such a state of things that the complete internal cure of the whole original disease is also still practicable.

The result is the same when cancer of the face or breast is removed by the knife alone and when encysted tumours are enucleated; something worse ensues, or at any rate death is hastened. This has been the case times without number, but the old school still goes blindly on in the same way in every new case, with the same disastrous results.

² Itch eruption, chancre (bubo), condylomata.

to point out in my work on *Chronic Diseases*, to which I must refer the reader.

§ 206.

Before commencing the treatment of a chronic disease, it is necessary to make the most careful investigation¹ as to whether the patient has had a venereal infection (or an infection with condylomatous gonorrhœa); for then the treatment must be directed towards this alone, when only the signs of syphilis (or of the rarer condylomatous disease) are present, but this disease is very seldom met with alone nowadays. If such infection have previously occurred, this must also be borne in mind in the treatment of those cases in which psora is present, because in them the latter is complicated with the former, as is always the case when the symptoms are not those of pure syphilis; for when the physician thinks he has a case of old venereal disease before him, he has always, or almost always, to treat a syphilitic affection accompanied mostly by (complicated with) psora, for the internal itch dyscrasia (the psora) is far the *most frequent* (most certain) *fundamental cause of chronic diseases*, either united (complicated) with syphilis (or with sycosis), if the latter infections have avowedly occurred; or, as is much more frequently the case, psora is the sole fundamental cause of all other chronic maladies, whatever names they may bear, which are, moreover, so often bungled, increased and disfigured to a monstrous extent by allopathic unskilfulness.

¹ In investigations of this nature we must not allow ourselves to be deceived by the assertions of the patients or their friends, who frequently assign as the cause of chronic, even of the severest and most inveterate diseases, either a cold caught (a thorough wetting, drinking cold water after being heated) many years ago, or a former fright, a sprain, a vexation (sometimes even a bewitchment), &c. These causes are much too insignificant to develop a chronic disease *in a healthy body*, to keep it up for years, and to aggravate it year by year, as is the case with all chronic diseases from developed psora. Causes of a much more important character than these remembered noxious influences must lie at the root of the initiation and progress of a serious, obstinate disease of long standing; the assigned causes could only rouse into activity the latent chronic miasm.

§ 207.

When the above information has been gained, it still remains for the homœopathic physician to ascertain what kinds of allopathic treatment had up to that date been adopted for the chronic disease, what perturbing medicines had been chiefly and most frequently employed, also what mineral baths had been used and what effects these had produced, in order to understand in some measure the degeneration of the disease from its original state, and, where possible, to correct in part these pernicious artificial operations, or to enable him to avoid the employment of medicines that have already been improperly used.

§ 208.

The age of the patient, his mode of living and diet, his occupation, his domestic position, his social relations and so forth, must next be taken into consideration, in order to ascertain whether these things have tended to increase his malady, or in how far they may favour or hinder the treatment. In like manner the state of his disposition and mind must be attended to, to learn whether that presents any obstacle to the treatment, or requires to be directed, encouraged or modified.

§ 209.

After this is done, the physician should endeavour in repeated conversations with the patient to trace the picture of his disease as completely as possible, according to the directions given above, in order to be able to elucidate the most striking and peculiar (characteristic) symptoms, in accordance with which he selects the first antipsoric or other remedy having the greatest symptomatic resemblance, for the commencement of the treatment, and so forth.

§ 210.^(a)

Of psoric origin are almost all those diseases that I have above termed one-sided, which appear to be more difficult to cure in consequence of this one-sidedness, all their other morbid symptoms disappearing, as it were, before the single, great, prominent symptom. Of this character are what are termed *mental diseases*. They do not, however, constitute a class of disease sharply separated from all others, since in all other so-called corporeal diseases the condition of the disposition and mind is *always* altered;¹ and in all cases of disease we are called on to cure the state of the patient's disposition is to be particularly noted, along with the totality of the symptoms, if we would trace an accurate picture of the disease, in order to be able therefrom to treat it homœopathically with success.

§ 211.

This holds good to such an extent, that the state of the disposition of the patient often chiefly determines the selection of the homœopathic remedy, as being a decidedly characteristic symptom which can least of all remain concealed from the accurately observing physician.

¹ How often, for instance, do we not meet with a mild, soft disposition in patients who have for years been afflicted with the most painful diseases, so that the physician feels constrained to esteem and compassionate the sufferer! But if he subdue the disease and restore the patient to health—as is frequently done in homœopathic practice—he is often astonished and horrified at the frightful alteration in his disposition. He often witnesses the occurrence of ingratitude, cruelty, refined malice and propensities most disgraceful and degrading to humanity, which were precisely the qualities possessed by the patient before he grew ill.

Those who were patient when well often become obstinate, violent, hasty, or even intolerant and capricious, or impatient or desponding when ill; those formerly chaste and modest often become lascivious and shameless. A clear-headed person not infrequently becomes obtuse of intellect, while one ordinarily weak-minded becomes more prudent and thoughtful; and a man slow to make up his mind sometimes acquires great presence of mind and quickness of resolve, &c.

§ 212.

The Creator of therapeutic agents has also had particular regard to this main feature of all diseases, the altered state of the disposition and mind, for there is no powerful medicinal substance in the world which does not very notably alter the state of the disposition and mind in the healthy individual who tests it, and every medicine does so in a different manner.

§ 213.

We shall, therefore, never be able to cure conformably to nature—that is to say, homœopathically—if we do not, in every case of disease, even in such as are acute, observe, along with the other symptoms, those relating to the changes in the state of the mind and disposition, and if we do not select, for the patient's relief, from among the medicines a disease-force which, in addition to the similarity of its other symptoms to those of the disease, is also capable of producing a similar state of the disposition and mind.¹

§ 214.

The instructions I have to give relative to the cure of mental diseases may be confined to a very few remarks, as they are to be cured in the same way as all other diseases, namely, by a remedy which shows, by the symptoms it causes in the body and mind of a healthy individual, a power of producing a morbid state as similar as possible to the case of disease before us, and in no other way can they be cured.

§ 215.

Almost all the so-called mental and emotional diseases are nothing more than corporeal diseases in which the

¹ Thus aconite will seldom or *never* effect either a rapid or permanent cure in a patient of a quiet, calm, equable disposition; and just as little will nuxvomica be serviceable where the disposition is mild and phlegmatic, pulsatilla where it is happy, gay and obstinate, or ignatia where it is imperturbable and disposed neither to be frightened nor vexed.

symptom of derangement of the mind and disposition peculiar to each of them is increased, whilst the corporeal symptoms decline (more or less rapidly), till it at length attains the most striking one-sidedness, almost as though it were a local disease in the invisible subtle organ of the mind or disposition.

§ 216.

The cases are not rare in which a so-called corporeal disease that threatens to be fatal—a suppuration of the lungs, or the deterioration of some other important viscus, or some other disease of acute character, *e. g.*, in childbed, &c.—becomes transformed into insanity, into a kind of melancholia or into mania by a rapid increase of the psychological symptoms that were previously present, whereupon the corporeal symptoms lose all their danger; these latter improve almost to perfect health, or rather they decrease to such a degree that their obscured presence can only be detected by the observation of a physician gifted with perseverance and penetration. In this manner they become transformed into a one-sided and, as it were, a local disease, in which the symptom of the mental disturbance, which was at first but slight, increases so as to be the chief symptom, and in a great measure occupies the place of the other (corporeal) symptoms, whose intensity it subdues in a palliative manner, so that, in short, the affections of the grosser corporeal organs become, as it were, transferred and conducted to the almost spiritual mental and emotional organs, which the anatomist has never yet and never will reach with his scalpel.

§ 217.

In these diseases we must be very careful to make ourselves acquainted with the whole of the phenomena, both those belonging to the corporeal symptoms, and also, and indeed particularly, those appertaining to the accurate apprehension of the precise character of the chief symptom, of the peculiar and always predominating state of the mind and disposition, in order to discover,

for the purpose of extinguishing the entire disease, among the remedies whose pure effects are known, a homœopathic medicinal pathogenetic force—that is to say, a remedy which in its list of symptoms displays, with the greatest possible similarity, not only the corporeal morbid symptoms present in the case of disease before us, but also especially this mental and emotional state.

§ 218.

To this collection of symptoms belongs in the first place the accurate description of all the phenomena of the previous so-called corporeal disease, before it degenerated into a one-sided increase of the psychical symptom, and became a disease of the mind and disposition. This may be learned from the report of the patient's friends.

§ 219.

A comparison of these previous symptoms of the corporeal disease with the traces of them that still remain, though they have become less perceptible (but which even now sometimes become prominent, when a lucid interval and a transient alleviation of the psychical disease occurs), will serve to prove them to be still present, though obscured.

§ 220.

By adding to this the state of the mind and disposition accurately observed by the patient's friends and by the physician himself, we have thus constructed the complete picture of the disease, for which, in order to effect the homœopathic cure of the disease, a medicine capable of producing strikingly similar symptoms, and especially an analogous disorder of the mind, must be sought for among the antipsoric remedies, if the psychical disease have already lasted some time.

§ 221.^(a)

If, however, insanity or mania (caused by fright, vexation, the abuse of spirituous liquors, &c.) have

suddenly broken out as an acute disease in the patient's ordinary calm state, although it almost always arises from internal psora, like a flame bursting forth from it, yet when it occurs in this acute manner it should not be immediately treated with antipsorics, but in the first place with remedies indicated for it out of the other class of proved medicaments (e. g. aconite, belladonna, stramonium, hyoscyamus, mercury, &c.) in highly potentized, minute, homœopathic doses, in order to subdue it so far that the psora shall for the time revert to its former latent state, wherein the patient appears as if quite well.

§ 222.

But such a patient, who has recovered from an acute mental or emotional disease by the use of these non-antipsoric medicines, should never be regarded as cured; on the contrary, no time should be lost in attempting to free him completely,¹ by means of a prolonged antipsoric treatment, from the chronic miasm of the psora, which, it is true, has now become once more latent but is quite ready to break out anew; if this be done, there is no fear of another similar attack, if he attend faithfully to the diet and regimen prescribed for him.

§ 223.

But if the antipsoric treatment be omitted, then we may almost assuredly expect, from a much slighter cause

¹ It very rarely happens that a mental or emotional disease of long standing ceases spontaneously (for the internal dyscrasia transfers itself again to the grosser corporeal organs); such are the few cases met with now and then, where a former inmate of a madhouse has been dismissed apparently recovered. Hitherto, moreover, all madhouses have continued to be choke-full, so that the multitude of other insane persons who seek for admission into such institutions could scarcely find room in them unless some of the insane in the house died. *Not one is ever really and permanently cured in them!* A convincing proof, among many others, of the complete nullity of the non-healing art hitherto practised, which has been ridiculously honoured by allopathic ostentation with the title of *rational medicine*. How often, on the other hand, has not the true healing art, genuine, pure homœopathy, been able to restore such unfortunate beings to the possession of their mental and corporeal health, and to give them back again to their delighted friends and to the world! (21)

than brought on the first attack of the insanity, the speedy occurrence of a new and more lasting and severe fit, during which the psora usually develops itself completely, and passes into either a periodic or continued mental derangement, which is then more difficult to be cured by antipsorics.

§ 224.

If the mental disease be not quite developed, and if it be still somewhat doubtful whether it really arose from a corporeal affection, or did not rather result from faults of education, bad practices, corrupt morals, neglect of the mind, superstition or ignorance; the mode of deciding this point will be, that if it proceed from one or other of the latter causes it will diminish and be improved by sensible friendly exhortations, consolatory arguments, serious representations and sensible advice; whereas a real moral or mental malady, depending on bodily disease, would be speedily aggravated by such a course, the melancholic would become still more dejected, querulous, inconsolable and reserved, the spiteful maniac would thereby become still more exasperated, and the chattering fool would become manifestly more foolish.¹

§ 225.

There are, however, as has just been stated, certainly a few emotional diseases which have not merely been developed into that form out of corporeal diseases, but which, in an inverse manner, the body being but slightly indisposed, originate and are kept up by emotional causes, such as continued anxiety, worry, vexation, wrongs and the frequent occurrence of great fear and fright. This kind of emotional diseases in time destroys the corporeal health, often to a great degree.

¹ It would seem as though the mind, in these cases, felt with uneasiness and grief the truth of these rational representations and acted upon the body as if it wished to restore the lost harmony, but that the body, by means of its disease, reacted upon the organs of the mind and disposition and put them in still greater disorder by a fresh transference of its sufferings on to them.

§ 226.

It is only such emotional diseases as these, which were first engendered and subsequently kept up by the mind itself, that, *while they are yet recent and before they have made very great inroads on the corporeal state*, may, by means of psychical remedies, such as a display of confidence, friendly exhortations, sensible advice, and often by a well-disguised deception, be rapidly changed into a healthy state of the mind (and with appropriate diet and regimen, seemingly into a healthy state of the body also).

§ 227.

But the fundamental cause in these cases also is a psoric miasm, which was only not yet quite near its full development, and for security's sake, the seemingly cured patient should be subjected to a radical, antipsoric treatment, in order that he may not again, as might easily occur, fall into a similar state of mental disease.

§ 228.^(a)

In mental and emotional diseases resulting from corporeal maladies, which can only be cured by homœopathic antipsoric medicine¹ conjoined with carefully regulated mode of life, an appropriate psychical behaviour towards the patient on the part of those about him and of the physician must be scrupulously observed, by way of an auxiliary mental regimen. To furious mania we must oppose calm intrepidity and cool, firm resolution—to doleful, querulous lamentation, a mute display of commiseration in looks and gestures—to senseless chattering, a silence not wholly inattentive—to disgusting and abominable conduct and to conversation of a similar character, total inattention. We must merely endeavour to prevent the destruction and injury of surrounding objects, *without reproaching the patient for his acts*, and everything must be arranged in such a way that the necessity for any corporal punishments and tortures¹

¹ It is impossible not to marvel at the hard-heartedness and indiscretion of

whatever may be avoided. This is so much the more easily effected, because in the administration of the medicine—the only circumstance in which the employment of coercion could be justified—in the homœopathic system the small doses of the appropriate medicine *never* offend the taste, and may consequently be given to the patient without his knowledge in his drink, so that all compulsion is unnecessary.

§ 229.

On the other hand, contradiction, eager explanations, rude corrections and invectives, as also weak, timorous yielding, are quite out of place with such patients; they are equally pernicious modes of treating mental and emotional maladies. But such patients are most of all exasperated and their complaint aggravated by contumely, fraud, and deceptions that they can detect. *The physician and keeper must always pretend to believe them to be possessed of reason.*

All kinds of external disturbing influences on their senses and disposition should be if possible removed; there are no amusements for their clouded spirit, no salutary distractions, no means of instruction, no soothing effects from conversation, books or other things for the soul that pines or frets in the chains of the diseased body, no invigoration for it, but the cure; it is only when the bodily health is changed for the better that tranquillity and comfort again beam upon their mind.

the medical men in many establishments for patients of this kind, not only in England, but also in Germany, who, without attempting to discover the true and only efficacious mode of curing such diseases, which is by homœopathic *medicinal* (antipsoric) means, content themselves with torturing these most pitiable of all human beings with the most violent blows and other painful torments. By this unconscientious and revolting procedure they debase themselves beneath the level of the turnkeys in a house of correction, for the latter inflict such chastisements as the duty devolving on their office, and on criminals only, whilst the former appear, from a humiliating consciousness of their uselessness as physicians, only to vent their spite at the apparent incurability of mental diseases in harshness towards the pitiable, innocent sufferers, for they are too ignorant to be of any use and too indolent to adopt a judicious mode of treatment.

§ 230.

If the antipsoric remedies selected for each particular case of mental or emotional disease (there are incredibly numerous varieties of them) be quite homœopathically suited for the faithfully traced picture of the morbid state, which, if there be a sufficient number of this kind of medicines known in respect of their pure effects, is ascertained by an indefatigable search for the most appropriate homœopathic remedy all the more easily, as the emotional and mental state, constituting the principal symptom of such a patient, is so unmistakably perceptible,—then the most striking improvement in no very long time, which could not be brought about by physicking the patient to death with the largest oft-repeated doses of all other unsuitable (allopathic) medicines. Indeed, I can confidently assert, from great experience, that the vast superiority of the homœopathic system over all other conceivable methods of the treatment is nowhere displayed in a more triumphant light than in mental and emotional diseases of long standing, which originally sprang from corporeal maladies or were developed simultaneously with them.

§ 231.^(a)

The *intermittent diseases* deserve a special consideration, as well those that recur at certain periods—like the great number of intermittent fevers, and the apparently non-febrile affections that recur at intervals like intermittent fevers—as also those in which certain morbid states alternate at uncertain intervals with morbid states of a different kind.

§ 232.^(a)

These latter, *alternating diseases*, are also very numerous,¹ but all belong to the class of chronic dis-

¹ Two or three states may alternate with one another. Thus, for instance, in the case of double alternating diseases, certain pains may occur persistently in the legs, &c., immediately on the disappearance of a kind of

eases; they are generally a manifestation of developed psora alone, sometimes, but seldom, complicated with a syphilitic miasm, and therefore in the former case may be cured by antipsoric medicines; in the latter, however, in alternation with antisiphilitics as taught in my work on the *Chronic Diseases*.

§ 233.

The *typical intermittent diseases* are those where a morbid state of unvarying character returns at a tolerably fixed period, whilst the patient is apparently in good health, and takes its departure at an equally fixed period; this is observed in those apparently non-febrile morbid states that come and go in a periodical manner (at certain times), as well as in those of a febrile character, to wit, the numerous varieties of intermittent fevers.

§ 234.^(a)

Those apparently non-febrile, typical, periodically recurring morbid states just alluded to observed in one single patient at a time (they do not usually appear sporadically or epidemically) always belong to the chronic diseases, mostly to those that are purely psoric, are but seldom complicated with syphilis, and are successfully treated by the same means; yet it is some-

ophthalmia, which latter again appears as soon as the pain in the limbs has gone off for the time—convulsions and spasms may alternate immediately with any other affection of the body or some part of it—in a case of threefold alternating states in a common indisposition, periods of apparent increase of health and unusual exaltation of the corporeal and mental powers (extravagant gaiety, extraordinary activity of the body, excess of comfortable feeling, inordinate appetite, &c.) may occur, after which, and quite unexpectedly, gloomy, melancholy humour, intolerable hypochondriacal derangement of the disposition, with disorder of several of the vital operations, the digestion, sleep, &c., appear, which again, and just as suddenly, give place to the habitual moderate ill-health; and so also several and very various alternating states. When the new state makes its appearance, there is often no perceptible trace of the former one. In other cases only slight traces of the former alternating state remain when the new one occurs; few of the symptoms of the first state remain on the appearance and during the continuance of the second. Sometimes the morbid alternating states are quite of opposite natures, as, for instance, melancholy periodically alternating with gay insanity or frenzy.^(a)

times necessary to employ as an intermediate remedy a small dose of a potentized solution of cinchona bark, in order to extinguish completely their intermittent type.

§ 235.^(a)

With regard to the *intermittent fevers*,¹ that prevail sporadically or epidemically (not those endemically located in marshy districts), we often find every paroxysm

¹ The pathology hitherto in vogue, which is still in the stage of irrational infancy, recognizes but one single *intermittent fever*, which it likewise termed *ague*, and admits of no varieties but such as are constituted by the different intervals at which the paroxysms recur, quotidian, tertian, quartan, &c. But there are much more important differences among them than what are marked by the periods of their recurrence; there are innumerable varieties of these fevers, some of which cannot even be denominated *ague*, as their fits consist solely of heat; others, again, are characterised by cold alone, with or without subsequent perspiration; yet others which exhibit general coldness of the surface, with a sensation of heat on the patient's part, or whilst the body feels externally hot, the patient feels cold; others, again, in which one paroxysm consists entirely of a rigor or simple chilliness, followed by an interval of health, while the next consists of heat alone, followed or not by perspiration; others, again, in which the heat comes first, and the cold stage not till that is gone; others, again, wherein after a cold or hot stage apyrexia ensues, and then perspiration comes on like a second fit, often many hours subsequently; others, again, in which no perspiration at all comes on, and yet others in which the whole attack consists of perspiration alone, without any cold or hot stage, or in which the perspiration is only present during the heat; and there are innumerable other differences, especially in regard to the accessory symptoms, such as headache of a peculiar kind, bad taste in the mouth, nausea, vomiting, diarrhœa, want of or excessive thirst, peculiar pains in the body or limbs, disturbed sleep, deliria, alterations of temper, spasms, &c., before, during or after the cold stage, before, during or after the hot stage, before, during or after the sweating stage, and countless other varieties. All these are manifestly intermittent fevers of very different kinds, each of which, as might naturally be supposed, requires a special (homœopathic) treatment. It must be confessed that they can almost all be suppressed (as is often done) by enormous doses of bark and of its pharmaceutical preparation, the *sulphate of quinine*; that is to say, their periodical recurrence (their *typus*) may be extinguished by it, although often only after increased and frequently repeated doses, but the patients who suffered from intermittent fevers for which cinchona bark is not suitable, as is the case with all those epidemic intermittent fevers that traverse whole countries and even mountainous districts, are not restored to health by the extinction of the *typus*; on the contrary, they now remain ill in another manner, and worse, often much worse, than before; they are affected by peculiar, chronic bark dyscrasias, and can scarcely be restored to health even by a prolonged treatment by the true system of medicine—and yet that is what is called *curing*, forsooth!

likewise composed of two opposite alternating states (cold, heat—heat, cold), more frequently still of three (cold, heat, sweat). Therefore the remedy selected for them from the general class of proved (common, not antipsoric) medicines must either (and remedies of this sort are the surest) be able likewise to produce in the healthy body two (or all three) similar alternating states, or else must correspond by similarity of symptoms, in the most homœopathic manner possible, to the strongest, best marked, and most peculiar alternating state (either to the cold stage, or to the hot stage, or to the sweating stage, each with its accessory symptoms, according as the one or other alternating state is the strongest and most peculiar); but the symptoms of the patient's health during the intervals when he is free from fever must be the chief guide to the most appropriate homœopathic remedy.¹

§ 236.

The most appropriate and efficacious time for administering the medicine in these cases is immediately or very soon after the termination of the paroxysm, as soon as the patient has in some degree recovered from its effects; it has then time to effect all the changes in the organism requisite for the restoration of health, without any great disturbance or violent commotion; whereas the action of a medicine, be it ever so specifically appropriate, if given immediately before the paroxysm, coincides with the natural recurrence of the disease and causes such a reaction in the organism, such a violent contention, that an attack of that nature produces at the very least a great loss of strength, if it do not endanger life.² But if the

¹ Dr. von Bönninghausen, who has rendered more services to our beneficent system of medicine than any other of my disciples, has best elucidated this subject, which demands so much care, and has facilitated the choice of the efficient remedy for the various epidemics of fever, in his work entitled *Versuch einer homöopathischen Therapie der Wechselfieber*, 1833, Münster bei Regensberg.

² This is observed in the fatal cases, by no means rare, in which a moderate dose of opium given during the cold stage quickly deprived the patients of life.

medicine be given immediately after the termination of the fit, that is to say, at the period when the apyretic interval has commenced and a long time before there are any preparations for the next paroxysm, then the vital force of the organism is in the best possible condition to allow itself to be quietly altered by the remedy, and thus restored to the healthy state.

§ 237.

But if the stage of apyrexia be very short, as happens in some very bad fevers, or if it be disturbed by some of the after sufferings of the previous paroxysm, the dose of the homœopathic medicine should be administered when the perspiration begins to abate, or the other subsequent phenomena of the expiring paroxysm begin to diminish.

§ 238.

It is only when the suitable medicine has with a single dose destroyed several fits and manifest health has ensued, but after some time indications of a new paroxysm appear, only then can and must the same medicine be given again, provided always the totality of the symptoms is still the same. This recurrence of the same fever after an interval of health is, however, only possible when the noxious influence that first excited the intermittent fever still continues to act upon the convalescent, as happens in marshy districts; in which case a permanent cure is often only possible by the removal of this exciting cause (as, for instance, a residence in a mountainous country if the case was one of marsh intermittent fever).

§ 239.

As almost every medicine causes in its pure action a special, peculiar fever, and even a kind of intermittent fever with its alternating states, differing from all other fevers that are caused by other medicines, homœopathic remedies may be found in the extensive domain of medicines for all the numerous varieties of natural intermittent

fevers and, for a great many of such fevers, even in the moderate collection of medicines already proved on the healthy individual.

§ 240.^(a)

But if the remedy found to be the homœopathic specific for a prevalent epidemic of intermittent fever do not effect a perfect cure in some one or other patient, if it be not the influence of a marshy district that prevents the cure, it must always be the psoric miasm in the background, in which case antipsoric medicines must be employed until complete relief is obtained.

§ 241.^(a)

Epidemics of intermittent fever, in situations where none are endemic, are of the nature of chronic diseases, composed of single acute paroxysms; each single epidemic is of a peculiar, uniform character common to all the individuals attacked, and when this character is found in the totality of the symptoms common to all, it guides us to the discovery of the homœopathic (specific) remedy suitable for all the cases, which is almost universally serviceable in those patients who enjoyed tolerable health before the occurrence of the epidemic, that is to say, who were not chronic sufferers from developed psora.

§ 242.

If, however, in such an epidemic intermittent fever the first paroxysms have been left uncured, or if the patients have been weakened by improper allopathic treatment; then the inherent psora that exists, alas! in so many persons, although in a latent state, becomes developed, takes on the type of the intermittent fever, and to all appearance continues to play the part of the epidemic intermittent fever, so that the medicine, which would have been useful in the first paroxysms (rarely an antipsoric), is now no longer suitable and cannot be of any service. We have now to do with a psoric intermittent fever only, and this will generally be subdued by minute and rarely

repeated doses of sulphur or hepar sulphuris in a high potency.

§ 243.^(a)

In those often very pernicious intermittent fevers which attack a single person, not residing in a marshy district, we must also *at first*, as in the case of acute diseases generally, which they resemble in respect to their psoric origin, employ for some days, to render what service it may, a homœopathic remedy selected for the special case from the other class of proved (not antipsoric) medicines; but if, notwithstanding this procedure, the recovery is deferred, we know that we have to do with psora on the point of its development, and that in this case antipsoric medicines alone can effect a radical cure.

§ 244.

The intermittent fevers endemic in marshy districts and tracts of country frequently exposed to inundations, give a great deal of work to physicians of the old school, and yet a healthy man may in his youth become habituated even to marshy districts and remain in good health, provided he preserves a faultless regimen and his system is not lowered by want, fatigue or pernicious passions. The intermittent fevers endemic there would at the most only attack him on his first arrival; but one or two very small doses of a highly potentized solution of cinchona bark would, conjointly with the well-regulated mode of living just alluded to, speedily free him from the disease. But persons who, while taking sufficient corporeal exercise and pursuing a healthy system of intellectual occupations and bodily regimen, cannot be cured of marsh intermittent fever by one or a few of such small doses of cinchona—in such persons psora, striving to develop itself, always lies at the root of their malady, and their intermittent fever cannot be cured in the marshy district without antipsoric treatment.¹ It sometimes happens that when

¹ Large, oft-repeated doses of cinchona bark, as also concentrated cinchonic remedies, such as the *sulphate of quinine*, have certainly the power of freeing

these patients exchange, without delay, the marshy district for one that is dry and mountainous, recovery apparently ensues (the fever leaves them) if they be not yet deeply sunk in disease, that is to say, if the psora was not completely developed in them and can consequently return to its latent state; but they will never regain perfect health without antipsoric treatment.

§ 245.^(a)

Having thus seen what attention should, in the homœopathic treatment, be paid to the chief varieties of diseases and to the peculiar circumstances connected with them, we now pass on to what we have to say *respecting the remedies and the mode of employing them, together with the diet and regimen to be observed during their use.*

Every perceptibly progressive and strikingly increasing amelioration in a transient (acute) or persistent (chronic) disease, is a condition which, as long as it lasts, completely precludes every repetition of the administration of any medicine whatsoever, because all the good the medicine taken continues to effect is now *hastening* towards its completion. Every new dose of any medicine whatsoever, even of the one last administered, that has hitherto shown itself to be salutary, would in this case disturb the work of amelioration.

§ 246.^(a)

On the other hand, the slowly progressive amelioration consequent on a very minute dose, whose selection has been accurately homœopathic, when it has met with no hindrance to the duration of its action, sometimes accomplishes all the good the remedy in question is capable from its nature of performing in a given case, in periods of forty, fifty or a hundred days. This is, however, but rarely the case; and besides, it must be a matter of great importance to the physician as well as to the patient that,

such patients from the periodical fits of the marsh ague; but those thus deceived into the belief that they are cured remain diseased in another way without antipsoric aid.

were it possible, this period should be diminished to one-half, one-quarter, and even still less, so that a much more rapid cure might be obtained. And this may be very happily affected, as recent and oft-repeated observations have shown, under three conditions: firstly, if the medicine selected with the utmost care was perfectly homœopathic; secondly, if it was given in the minutest dose, so as to produce the least possible excitation of the vital force, and yet sufficient to effect the necessary change in it; and thirdly, if this minutest yet powerful dose of the best selected medicine *be repeated at suitable intervals*,¹

¹ In the former editions of the *Organon* I have advised that a single dose of a well-selected homœopathic medicine should always be allowed first fully to expend its action before a new medicine is given or the same one repeated—a doctrine which was the result of the positive experience that neither by a larger dose of the remedy, which may have been well chosen (as has been again recently proposed, but which would be very like a retrograde movement), nor, what amounts to the same thing, by several small doses of it given in quick succession, can the greatest possible good be effected in the treatment of diseases, more especially of chronic ones; and the reason of this is, that by such a procedure the vital force does not quietly adapt itself to the transition from the natural disease to the similar medicinal disease, but is usually so violently excited and disturbed by a larger dose, or by smaller doses of even a homœopathically chosen remedy given rapidly one after the other, that in most cases its reaction will be anything but salutary and will do more harm than good. As long as no more efficacious mode of proceeding than that then taught by me was discovered, the safe philanthropic maxim of *si non juvat, modo ne noceat*, rendered it imperative for the homœopathic practitioner, for whom the weal of his fellow-creatures was the highest object, to allow, as a general rule in diseases, but a single dose at a time, and that the very smallest, of the carefully selected remedy to act upon the patient and, moreover, to exhaust its action. The very smallest, I repeat, for it holds good and will continue to hold good as a homœopathic therapeutic maxim not to be refuted by any experience in the world, that the best dose of the properly selected remedy is always the very smallest one in one of the high potencies (X), as well for chronic as for acute diseases—a truth that is the inestimable property of pure homœopathy and which as long as allopathy (and the new mongrel sect, whose treatment is a mixture of allopathic and homœopathic processes is not much better) continues to gnaw like a cancer at the life of sick human beings, and to ruin them by large and ever larger doses of drugs, will keep pure homœopathy separated from these spurious arts as by an impassable gulf.

On the other hand, however, practice shows us that though a single one of these small doses may suffice to accomplish almost all that it was possible for this medicine to do under the circumstances, in some, and especially in slight cases of disease, particularly in those of young children and very delicate and

which experience shall have pronounced to be the best adapted for accelerating the cure to the utmost extent, yet without the vital force, which it is sought to influence to the production of a similar medicinal disease, being

excitable adults, yet that in many, indeed in most cases, not only of very chronic diseases that have already made great progress and have frequently been aggravated by a previous employment of inappropriate medicines, but also of serious acute diseases, one such smallest dose of medicine in our highly potentized dynamization is evidently insufficient to effect all the curative action that might be expected from that medicine, for it may unquestionably be requisite to administer several of them, in order that the vital force may be pathogenetically altered by them to such a degree and its salutary reaction stimulated to such a height, as to enable it to completely extinguish, by its reaction, the whole of that portion of the original disease that it lay in the power of the well-selected homœopathic remedy to eradicate; the best chosen medicine in such a small dose, given but once, might certainly be of some service, but would not be nearly sufficient.

But the careful homœopathic physician would not venture soon to repeat the same dose of the same remedy again and again, as from such a practice he has frequently experienced no advantage, but most frequently, on close observation, decided disadvantage. He generally witnessed aggravation, from even the smallest dose of the most suitable remedy, which he had given one day, when repeated the next day and the next.

Now, in cases where he was convinced of the correctness of his choice of the homœopathic medicine, in order to obtain more benefit for the patient than he was able to get hitherto from prescribing a single small dose, the idea often naturally struck him to increase the dose, since, for the reasons given above, one single dose only should be given; and, for instance, in place of giving a single very minute globule moistened with the medicine in the highest dynamization, to administer six, seven or eight of them at once, and even a half or a whole drop. But the result was almost always less favourable than it should have been; it was often actually unfavourable, often even very bad—an injury that, in a patient so treated, it is difficult to repair.

The difficulty in this case is not solved by giving, instead, lower dynamizations of the remedy in a large dose.

Thus, increasing the strength of the single doses of the homœopathic medicine with the view of effecting the degree of pathogenetic excitation of the vital force necessary to produce satisfactory salutary reaction, fails altogether, as experience teaches, to accomplish the desired object. This vital force is thereby too violently and too suddenly assailed and excited to allow it time to exercise a gradual, equable, salutary reaction, to adapt itself to the modification effected in it; hence it strives to repel, as if it were an enemy, the medicine attacking it in excessive force, by means of vomiting, diarrhœa, fever, perspiration, and so forth, and thus in a great measure it diverts and renders nugatory the aim of the incautious physician,—little or no good towards curing the disease will be thereby accomplished; on the contrary, the patient will be thereby perceptibly weakened and, for a long

able to feel itself excited and roused to adverse reactions.

time, the administration of even the smallest dose of the same remedy must not be thought of if we would not wish it to injure the patient.

But it happens, moreover, that a number of the smallest doses given for the same object in quick succession accumulate in the organism into a kind of excessively large dose, with (a few rare cases excepted) similar bad results; in this case the vital force, not being able to recover itself betwixt every dose, though it be but small, becomes oppressed and overwhelmed, and thus being incapable of reacting in a salutary manner, it is necessitated passively to allow involuntary the continuance of the over-strong medicinal disease that has thus been forced upon it, just in the same manner as we may every day observe from the allopathic abuse of large cumulative doses of one and the same medicine, to the lasting injury of the patient.

Now, therefore, in order, whilst avoiding the erroneous method I have here pointed out, to attain the desired object more certainly than hitherto, and to administer the medicine selected in such a manner that it must exercise all its efficacy without injury to the patient, that it may effect all the good it is capable of performing in a given case of disease, I have latterly adopted a peculiar method.

I perceived that, in order to discover this true middle path, we must be guided as well by the nature of the different medicinal substances, as also by the corporeal constitution of the patient and the magnitude of his disease, so that—to give an example from the use of *sulphur* in chronic (psoric) diseases—the smallest dose of it (*tinct. sulph. X^o*) can seldom be repeated with advantage, even in robust patients and in fully developed psora, oftener than every seven days, a period of time which must be proportionally lengthened when we have to treat weaker and more excitable patients of this kind; in such cases we would do well to give such a dose only every nine, twelve, or fourteen days, and continue to repeat the medicine until it ceases to be of service. We thus find (to abide by the instance of sulphur) that in psoric diseases seldom fewer than four, often, however, six, eight and even ten such doses (*tinct. sulph. X^o*) are required to be successively administered at these intervals for the complete annihilation of the whole portion of the chronic disease that is eradicable by sulphur—provided always there had been no previous allopathic abuse of sulphur in the case. *Thus even a (primary) scabious eruption of recent origin, though it may have spread all over the body, may be perfectly cured, in persons who are not too weakly, by a dose of tinct. sulph. X^o given every seven days, in the course of from ten to twelve weeks (accordingly with ten or twelve such globules), so that it will seldom be necessary to aid the cure with a few doses of carb. veg. X^o (also given at the rate of one dose per week), without the slightest external treatment besides frequent changes of linen and good regimen.*

When for other serious chronic diseases also we may consider it requisite, as far as we can calculate, to give eight, nine or ten doses of *tinct. sulph.* (at X^o) it is yet more expedient in such cases, instead of giving them in uninterrupted succession, to interpose after every, or every second or third dose, a dose of another medicine, which in this case is next in point of

§ 247.

Under these conditions, the smallest doses of the best selected homœopathic medicine may be repeated with

homœopathic suitability to sulphur (usually *hep. sulph.*), and to allow this likewise to act for eight, nine, twelve or fourteen days before again commencing a course of three doses of sulphur.

But it not infrequently happens that the vital force refuses to permit several doses of sulphur, even though they may be essential for the cure of the chronic malady and are given at the intervals mentioned above, to act quietly on itself; this refusal it reveals by some, though moderate, sulphur symptoms, which it allows to appear in the patient during the treatment. In such cases it is sometimes advisable to administer a small dose of *nux vom.* X^o, allowing it to act for eight or ten days, in order to dispose the system again to allow succeeding doses of the sulphur to act quietly and effectually upon it. In those cases for which it is adapted, *puls.* X^o is preferable.

But the vital force shows the greatest resistance to the salutary action upon itself of the strongly indicated sulphur, and even exhibits manifest aggravation of the chronic disease, though the sulphur be given in the very smallest dose, though only a globule of the size of a mustard seed moistened with *linct. sulph.* X^o be smelt, if the sulphur have formerly (it may be years since) been improperly given allopathically in large doses. This is one lamentable circumstance that renders the best medical treatment of chronic diseases almost impossible among the many that the ordinary bungling treatment of chronic diseases by the old school would leave us nothing to do but to deplore, were there not some mode of getting over the difficulty.

In such cases we have only to let the patient smell a single time strongly at a globule the size of a mustard seed moistened with *mercur. metall.* X, and allow this olfaction to act for about nine days, in order to make the vital force again disposed to permit the sulphur (at least the olfaction of *linct. sulph.* X^o) to exercise a beneficial influence on itself—a discovery for which we are indebted to Dr. Griesselich, of Carlsruhe.

Of the other antipsoric remedies (except perhaps *phosph.* X^o) it is necessary to administer fewer doses at similar intervals (of *sepia* and *sil.* at longer intervals, without any intermediate remedy, where they are homœopathically indicated), in order to cure all that is curable in a given case by the remedy indicated. *Hep. sulph. calc.* X can rarely be taken or smelt at shorter intervals than every fourteen or fifteen days.

Before making such a repetition of the dose the physician must of course be convinced that his selection is truly homœopathic.

In acute diseases, the time for repeating the fitly chosen medicine is regulated by the greater or less rapidity of the course of the disease we have to combat, so that, when necessary, it should be repeated after twenty-four, sixteen, twelve, eight, four and even fewer hours, if the medicine continue to prove beneficial without interruption—without producing new symptoms,—but it is not sufficiently rapid in its action for the excessively quick and dangerous course of the acute disease, so that in cholera, the most speedily fatal disease we know, at the commencement of the disease, one or two drops

the best, often with incredible results, at intervals of fourteen, twelve, ten, eight, seven days, and, where rapidity is requisite, in chronic diseases resembling cases of acute disease, at still shorter intervals, but in acute diseases at very much shorter periods—every twenty-four, twelve, eight, four hours, in the very acutest every hour, up to as often as every five minutes,—in every case in proportion to the more or less rapid course of the disease and of the action of the medicine employed, as is more distinctly explained in the last note.

§ 248.

The dose of the same medicine may be repeated several times according to circumstances, but only so long as until either recovery ensues, or *the same remedy ceases to do good and the rest of the disease, presenting a different group of symptoms, demands a different homœopathic remedy.*

§ 249.

Every medicine prescribed for a case of disease which, in the course of its action, produces new and troublesome

of a mild solution of camphor must be given every five minutes, in order to procure speedy and certain relief, and in the more developed cholera, doses of *cuprum, veratrum, phosphorus, &c.* (X^o), frequently require to be given every two or three hours, and also *arsenic, carbo vegetabilis, &c.*, at similar short intervals.

In the treatment of so-called typhus fevers and other continued fevers, the repetition, in smallest doses, of the medicine that proves itself of service, must be regulated by the above directions.

In pure syphilitic diseases I have generally found a single dose of metallic mercury (X^o) sufficient; and yet not infrequently two or three such doses were requisite, given at intervals of six or eight days, when the slightest complication with psora was perceptible.

In cases where some particular medicine is urgently indicated, but where the patient is very excitable and weak, a more efficient and certain procedure than giving more substantial, though ever so small doses of the highly potentized medicine, is a single olfaction of a dry globule the size of a mustard seed that has been impregnated with the same medicine; this is effected by holding the mouth of the phial that contains it first in one and then (if it is wished to give a stronger dose) in the other nostril and making a momentary inspiration; the action of this medicine, thus administered, lasts just as long as that of the medicine that has been taken in substance, hence even this olfaction ought not to be repeated at shorter intervals. (4)

symptoms not appertaining to the disease to be cured, is not capable of effecting real improvement,¹ and cannot be considered as homœopathically selected; it must, therefore, either, if the aggravation be considerable, be first partially neutralised as soon as possible by an antidote before giving the next remedy chosen more accurately according to similarity of action; or if the troublesome symptoms be not very violent, the next remedy must be given immediately, in order to take the place of the improperly selected one.^(a)

§ 250.

When, to the observant practitioner who accurately investigates the state of the disease, it is evident, in urgent cases after the lapse of only six, eight or twelve hours, that he has made a bad selection in the medicine last given, in that the patient's state is growing perceptibly, however slightly, worse from hour to hour, by the occurrence of new symptoms and sufferings, it is not only allowable for him, but it is his duty to remedy his mistake, by the selection and administration of a homœopathic medicine not merely tolerably suitable, but the most appropriate possible for the existing state of the disease (§ 167).^(a)

§ 251.^(a)

There are some medicines (*e. g.*, *ignatia*, also *bryonia* and *rhus*, and sometimes *belladonna*) whose power of altering man's health consists chiefly in alternating actions—a kind of primary-action symptoms that are in

¹ As all experience shows that the dose of the specially suited homœopathic medicine can scarcely be prepared too small to effect perceptible amelioration in the disease for which it is appropriate (§§ 275—278), we should act injudiciously and hurtfully were we, when no improvement, or some, though it be even slight, aggravation ensues, to repeat or even *increase the dose* of the same medicine, as is done in the old system, under the delusion that it was not efficacious on account of its small quantity (its too small dose). *Every aggravation by the production of new symptoms*—when nothing untoward has occurred in the mental or physical regimen—*invariably proves unsuitableness on the part of the medicine formerly given* in the case of disease before us, *but never indicates that the dose has been too weak.*

part opposed to each other. Should the practitioner find, on prescribing one of these, selected on strict homœopathic principles, that no improvement follows, he will in most cases soon effect his object by giving (in acute diseases, even within a few hours) a fresh and equally small dose of the same medicine.¹

§ 252.^(a)

But should we find, during the employment of the other medicines in chronic (psoric) diseases, that the best selected homœopathic (antipsoric) medicine in the suitable (minutest) dose does not effect an improvement, this is a *sure* sign that the cause that keeps up the disease still persists, and that there is some circumstance in the mode of life of the patient or in the situation in which he is placed, that must be removed in order that a permanent cure may ensue.

§ 253.

Among the signs that, in all diseases, especially in such as are of an acute nature, inform us of a slight commencement of amelioration or aggravation that is not perceptible to every one, the state of mind and the whole demeanour of the patient are the most certain and instructive. In the case of ever so slight an improvement we observe a greater degree of comfort, increased calmness and freedom of the mind, higher spirits—a kind of return of the natural state. In the case of ever so small a commencement of aggravation we have, on the contrary, the exact opposite of this: a constrained, helpless, pitiable state of the disposition, of the mind, of the whole demeanour, and of all gestures, postures and actions, which may be easily perceived on close observation, but cannot be described in words.²

¹ As I have more particularly described in the introduction to "Ignatia" (in the first volume of the *Materia Medica Pura*).

² The signs of improvement in the disposition and mind, however, may be expected only soon after the medicine has been taken when the dose has been *sufficiently minute* (*i. e.*, as small as possible); an unnecessarily larger

§ 254.

The other new or increased symptoms, or, on the contrary, the diminution of the original ones without any addition of new ones, will soon dispel all doubts from the mind of the attentively observing and investigating practitioner with regard to the aggravation or amelioration; though there are among patients persons who are either incapable of giving an account of this amelioration or aggravation, or are unwilling to confess it.

§ 255. (a)

But even with such individuals we may convince ourselves on this point by going with them through all the symptoms enumerated in our notes of the disease one by one, and finding that they complain of no new unusual symptoms in addition to these, and that none of the old symptoms are worse. If this be the case, and if an improvement in the disposition and mind have already been observed, the medicine must have effected positive diminution of the disease, or, if sufficient time have not yet elapsed for this, it will soon effect it. Now, supposing the remedy is perfectly appropriate, if the improvement delay too long in making its appearance, this depends either on some error of conduct on the part of the patient, or on the homœopathic aggravation produced by the medicine lasting too long (§ 157), consequently on the dose not being small enough.

dose of even the most suitable homœopathic medicine acts too violently, and at first produces too great and too lasting a disturbance of the mind and disposition, to allow us *soon* to perceive the improvement in them. I must here observe that this so essential rule is chiefly transgressed by presumptuous tyros in homœopathy, and by physicians who are converted to homœopathy from the ranks of the old school. From old prejudices these persons abhor the smallest doses of the lowest (a) dilutions of medicine in such cases, and hence they fail to experience the great advantages and blessings of that mode of proceeding which a thousandfold experience has shown to be the most salutary; they cannot effect all that homœopathy is capable of doing, and hence they have no claim to be considered its adherents.

§ 256.

On the other hand, if the patient mention the occurrence of some fresh accidents and symptoms of importance—signs that the medicine chosen has not been strictly homœopathic—even though he should good-naturedly assure us that he feels better, we must not believe this assurance, but regard his state as aggravated as it will soon be perfectly apparent it is.^(a)

§ 257.

The true^(a) physician will take care to avoid making favourite remedies of medicines, the employment of which he has, by chance, perhaps found often useful, and which he has had opportunities of using with good effect. If he do so, some remedies of rarer use, which would have been more homœopathically suitable, consequently more serviceable, will often be neglected.

§ 258.

The true practitioner, moreover, will not in his practice with mistrustful weakness neglect the employment of those remedies that he may now and then have employed with bad effects, owing to an erroneous selection (from his own fault, therefore), or avoid them for other (false) reasons, as that they were unhomœopathic for the case of disease before him; he must bear in mind the truth, that of medicinal agents that one alone invariably deserves the preference in every case of disease which corresponds most accurately by similarity to the totality of the characteristic symptoms, and that no paltry prejudices should interfere with this serious choice.

§ 259.

Considering the minuteness of the doses necessary and proper in homœopathic treatment, we can easily understand that during the treatment everything must be removed from the *diet and regimen* which can have any medicinal action, in order that the small dose may not

be overwhelmed and extinguished or disturbed by any foreign medicinal irritant.¹

§ 260.

Hence the careful investigation into such obstacles to cure is so much the more necessary in the case of patients affected by chronic diseases, as their diseases are usually aggravated by such noxious influences and other disease-causing errors in the diet and regimen, which often pass unnoticed.²

§ 261.

The most appropriate regimen during the employment of medicine in chronic diseases consists in the removal of such obstacles to recovery, and in supplying where necessary the reverse: innocent moral and intellectual recreation, active exercise in the open air in almost all

¹ The softest tones of a distant flute that in the still midnight hours would inspire a tender heart with exalted feelings and dissolve it in religious ecstasy, are inaudible and powerless amid discordant cries and the noise of day.

² Coffee; fine Chinese and other herb teas; beer prepared with medicinal vegetable substances unsuitable for the patient's state; so-called fine liqueurs made with medicinal spices; all kinds of punch; spiced chocolate; odorous waters and perfumes of many kinds; strong-scented flowers in the apartment; tooth powders and essences and perfumed sachets compounded of drugs; highly spiced dishes and sauces; spiced cakes and ices; crude medicinal vegetables for soups; dishes of herbs, roots and stalks of plants possessing medicinal qualities; old cheese, and meats that are in a state of decomposition, or that possess medicinal properties (as the flesh and fat of pork, ducks and geese, or veal that is too young and sour viands), ought just as certainly to be kept from patients as they should avoid all excesses in food, and in the use of sugar and salt, as also spirituous drinks, heated rooms, woolen clothing next the skin (which should be exchanged in warm weather, first for cotton, then for linen garments), a sedentary life in close apartments, or the frequent indulgence in mere passive exercise (such as riding, driving or swinging) prolonged suckling, taking a long siesta in a recumbent posture (in bed), sitting up long at night, uncleanness, unnatural debauchery, enervation by reading obscene books, subjects of anger, grief, or vexation, a passion for play, over-exertion of mind or body, dwelling in marshy districts, damp rooms, penurious living, &c. All these things must be as far as possible avoided or removed, in order that the cure may not be obstructed or rendered impossible. Some of my disciples seem needlessly to increase the difficulties of the patient's dietary by forbidding the use of many more, tolerably indifferent things, which is not to be commended.

kinds of weather (daily walks, slight manual labour), suitable, nutritious, unmedicinal food and drink, &c.

§ 262.

In acute diseases, on the other hand—except in cases of mental alienation—the subtile, unerring internal sense of the awakened life-preserving faculty determines so clearly and precisely, that the physician only requires to counsel the friends and attendants to put no obstacles in the way of this voice of nature by refusing anything the patient urgently desires in the way of food, or by trying to persuade him to partake of anything injurious.

§ 263.

The desire of the patient affected by an acute disease with regard to food and drink is certainly chiefly for things that give palliative relief; they are, however, not strictly speaking of a medicinal character, and merely supply a sort of want. The slight hindrances that the gratification of this desire, *within moderate bounds*, could oppose to the radical removal of the disease¹ will be amply counteracted and overcome by the power of the homœopathically suited medicine and the vital force set free by it, as also by the refreshment that follows from taking what has been so ardently longed for. In like manner, in acute diseases the temperature of the room and the heat or coolness of the bed-coverings must also be arranged entirely in conformity with the patient's wish. He must be kept free from all over-exertion of mind and exciting emotions.^(a)

§ 264.

The true physician must be provided with *genuine medicines of unimpaired strength*, so that he may be able

¹ This is, however, rare. Thus, for instance, in pure inflammatory diseases, where aconite is so indispensable, whose action would be destroyed by partaking of vegetable acids, the desire of the patient is almost always for pure cold water only.

to rely upon their therapeutic powers; he must be able, *himself*, to judge of their genuineness.

§ 265.

It should be a matter of conscience with him to be thoroughly convinced in every case that the patient always takes the right medicine.

§ 266.^(a)

Substances belonging to the animal and vegetable kingdoms possess their medicinal qualities most perfectly in their raw state.¹

¹ All crude animal and vegetable substances have a greater or less amount of medicinal power, and are capable of altering man's health, each in its own peculiar way. Those plants and animals used by the most enlightened nations as food have this advantage over all others, that they contain a larger amount of nutritious constituents; and they differ from the others in this, that their medicinal powers in their raw state are either not very great in themselves, or are diminished by the culinary processes they are subjected to in cooking for domestic use, by the expression of the pernicious juice (like the cassava root of South America), by fermentation (of the rye-flour in the dough for making bread, sour-crust prepared without vinegar and pickled gherkins), by smoking and by the action of heat (in boiling, stewing, toasting, roasting, baking), whereby the medicinal parts of many of these substances are in part destroyed and dissipated. By the addition of salt (pickling) and vinegar (sauces, salads) animal and vegetable substances certainly lose much of their injurious medicinal qualities, but other disadvantages result from these additions.

But even those plants that possess most medicinal power lose that in part or completely by such processes. By perfect dessication all the roots of the various kinds of iris, of the horseradish, of the different species of arum and of the peonies lose almost all their medicinal virtue. The juice of the most virulent plants often becomes an inert, pitch-like mass, from the heat employed in preparing the ordinary extracts. By merely standing a long time, the expressed juice of the most deadly plants becomes quite powerless; even at a moderate atmospheric temperature it rapidly takes on the vinous fermentation (and thereby loses much of its medicinal power), and immediately thereafter the acetous and putrid fermentation, whereby it is deprived of all its peculiar medicinal properties; the fecula that is then deposited, if well washed, is quite innocuous, like ordinary starch. By the transudation that takes place when a number of green plants are laid one above the other, the greatest part of their medicinal properties is lost.

§ 267.

We gain possession of the powers of indigenous plants and of such as may be had in a fresh state in the most complete and certain manner by mixing their freshly expressed juice *immediately* with equal parts of spirits of wine of a strength sufficient to burn in a lamp. After this has stood a day and a night in a close stoppered bottle and deposited the fibrinous and albuminous matters, the clear superincumbent fluid is then to be decanted off for medicinal use.¹ All fermentation of the vegetable juice will be at once checked by the spirits of wine mixed with it and rendered impossible for the future, and the entire medicinal power of the vegetable juice is thus retained (perfect and uninjured) *for ever* by keeping the preparation in well-corked bottles and excluded from the sun's light.²

¹ Buchholz (*Taschenb. f. Scheidek. u. Apoth. a. d. J.*, 1815, Weimar, Abth. I, vi) assures his readers (and his reviewer in the *Leipziger Literaturzeitung*, 1816, No. 82, does not contradict him) that for this excellent mode of preparing medicines we have to thank the campaign in Russia, whence it was (in 1812) imported into Germany. According to the noble practice of Germans to be unjust towards their own countrymen, he conceals the fact that this discovery and those directions, which he quotes *in my very words* from the first edition of the *Organon of Rational Medicine*, § 230 and note, proceed from me, and that I *first* published them to the world two years before the Russian campaign (the *Organon* appeared in 1810). Some folks would rather assign the origin of a discovery to the deserts of Asia than to a German to whom the honour belongs. *O tempora! O mores!*

Alcohol has certainly been sometimes before this used for mixing with vegetable juices, *e. g.*, to preserve them some time before making extracts of them, but never with the view of administering them in this form.

² Although equal parts of alcohol and freshly expressed juice are usually the most suitable proportion for effecting the deposition of the fibrinous and albuminous matters, yet for plants that contain much thick mucus (*e. g.*, *Symphytum officinale*, *Viola tricolor*, &c.), or an excess of albumen (*e. g.*, *Æthusa cynapium*, *Solanum nigrum*, &c.), a double proportion of alcohol is generally required for this object. Plants that are very deficient in juice, as oleander, *Buxus*, *Taxus*, *Ledum*, *Sabina*, &c., must first be pounded up alone into a moist, fine mass, and then stirred up with a double quantity of alcohol, in order that the juice may combine with it, and being thus extracted by the alcohol, may be pressed out; these latter may also when dried be brought with milk-sugar to the millionfold trituration, and then be further diluted and potentized (*v.* § 271).

§ 268.

The other exotic plants, barks, seeds and roots that cannot be obtained in the fresh state the sensible practitioner will never take in the pulverised form on trust, but will first convince himself of their genuineness in their crude, entire state before making any medicinal employment of them.^{1 (a)}

§ 269.^(a)

The homœopathic system of medicine develops for its use, to a hitherto unheard-of degree, the spirit-like medicinal powers of the crude substances by means of a process peculiar to it and which has hitherto never been tried, whereby only they all become penetratingly efficacious and remedial, even those that in the crude state give no evidence of the slightest medicinal power on the human body.

¹ In order to preserve them in the form of powder, a precaution is requisite that has hitherto been usually neglected by druggists, and hence powders even of well-dried animal and vegetable substances could not be preserved uninjured even in well-corked bottles. The entire crude vegetable substances, though perfectly dry, yet contain, as an indispensable condition of the cohesion of their texture, a certain quantity of moisture, which does not indeed prevent the unpulverised drug from remaining in as dry a state as is requisite to preserve it from corruption, but which is quite too much for the finely pulverised state. The animal or vegetable substance which in its entire state was perfectly dry, furnishes therefore, when finely pulverised, a somewhat moist powder, which, without rapidly becoming spoilt and mouldy, can yet not be preserved in corked bottles if not previously freed from this superfluous moisture. This is best effected by spreading out the powder in a flat tin saucer with a raised edge, which floats in a vessel full of boiling water (*i. e.*, a water-bath), and, by means of stirring it about; drying it to such a degree that all the small atoms of it (no longer stick together in lumps, but) like dry, fine sand, are easily separated from each other, and are readily converted into dust. In this dry state the fine powders may be kept *forever* uninjured in well-corked and sealed bottles, in all their original complete medicinal power, *without ever being injured by miles or mould*; and they are best preserved when the bottles are kept protected from the daylight (in covered boxes, chests, cases). If not shut up in air-tight vessels, and not preserved from the access of the light of the sun and day, all animal and vegetable substances in time gradually lose their medicinal power more and more, even in the entire state, but still more in the form of powder.

§ 270.

Thus two drops of the fresh vegetable juice mingled with equal parts of alcohol are diluted with ninety-eight drops of alcohol and potentized by means of two succussions, whereby the first development of power is formed and this process is repeated through twenty-nine more phials, each of which is filled three-quarters full with ninety-nine drops of alcohol, and each succeeding phial is to be provided with one drop from the preceding phial (which has already been shaken twice) and is in its turn twice shaken,¹ and in the same manner at last the thirtieth development of power (potentized decillionth dilution X), which is the one most generally used.

§ 271.

All other substances adapted for medicinal use—except sulphur, which has of late years been only employed in the form of a highly diluted (\bar{X}) tincture^(a)—as pure or oxydised and sulphuretted metals and other minerals, petroleum, phosphorus, as also parts and juices of plants that can only be obtained in the dry state, animal substances, neutral salts, &c., all these are first to be potentized by trituration for three hours, up to the millionfold pulverulent attenuation, and of this one grain is to be dissolved, and brought to the thirtieth development of power through twenty-seven attenuating phials, in the same manner as the vegetable juices.²

¹ In order to maintain a fixed and measured standard for developing the power of liquid medicines, multiplied experience and careful observation have led me to adopt two succussions for each phial, in preference to the greater number formerly employed (by which the medicines were too highly potentized). There are, however, homœopathists who carry about with them on their visits to patients the homœopathic medicines in the fluid state, and who yet assert that they do not become more highly potentized in the course of time, but they thereby show their want of ability to observe correctly. I dissolved a grain of soda in half an ounce of water mixed with alcohol in a phial, which was thereby filled two-thirds full, and shook this solution continuously for half an hour, and this fluid was in potency and energy equal to the thirtieth development of power.^(a)

² As is still more circumstantially described in the prefaces to *Arsenic* and *Pulsatilla* in the *Materia Medica Pura*.^(a)

§ 272.

In no case is it requisite to administer more than *one single, simple* medicinal substance at one time.^{1(a)}

§ 273.

It is not conceivable how the slightest dubiety could exist as to whether it was more consistent with nature and more rational to prescribe a single, well-known medicine at one time in a disease, or a mixture of several differently acting drugs.

§ 274.

As the true physician finds in simple medicines, administered singly and uncombined, all that he can possibly desire (artificial disease-forces which are able by homœopathic power completely to overpower, extinguish, and permanently cure natural diseases), he will, mindful of the wise maxim that "it is wrong to attempt to employ complex means when simple means suffice," never think of giving as a remedy any but a single, simple medicinal substance; for these reasons also, because even though the simple medicines were thoroughly proved with respect to their pure peculiar effects on the unimpaired healthy state of man, it is yet impossible to foresee how two and more medicinal substances might, when compounded, hinder and alter each other's actions on the human body; and because, on the other hand, a simple medicinal substance when used in diseases, the totality of whose symptoms is accurately known, renders efficient aid by itself alone, if it be homœopathically selected; and supposing the worst case to happen, that it was not chosen in strict conformity to similarity of symptoms, and therefore does no good, it is yet so far useful that it promotes our knowledge of therapeutic

¹ Some homœopathists have made the experiment, in cases where they deemed one remedy homœopathically suitable for one portion of the symptoms of a case of disease, and a second for another portion, of administering both remedies at the same or almost at the same time; but I earnestly deprecate such a hazardous experiment, which can never be necessary, though it may sometimes seem to be of use.

agents, because, by the new symptoms excited by it in such a case, those symptoms which this medicinal substance had already shown in experiments on the healthy human body are confirmed, an advantage that is lost by the employment of all compound remedies.¹

§ 275.

The suitability of a medicine for any given case of disease does not depend on its accurate homœopathic selection alone, but likewise on the proper size, or rather smallness, of the dose. If we give *too strong a dose* of a medicine which may have been even quite homœopathically chosen for the morbid state before us, it must, notwithstanding the inherent beneficial character of its nature, prove injurious by its mere magnitude, and by the unnecessary, too strong impression which, by virtue of its homœopathic similarity of action, it makes upon the vital force which it attacks and, through the vital force, upon those parts of the organism which are the most sensitive, and are already most affected by the natural disease.^(*)

§ 276.

For this reason, a medicine, even though it may be homœopathically suited to the case of disease, does harm in every dose that is too large, the more harm the larger the dose, and by the magnitude of the dose it does more harm the greater its homœopathicity and the higher the potency² selected, and it does much more injury than any equally large dose of a medicine that is unhomœo-

¹ When the rational physician has chosen the perfectly homœopathic medicine for the well-considered case of disease and administered it internally, he will leave to irrational allopathic routine the practice of giving drinks or fomentations of different plants, of injecting medicated glysters and of rubbing in this or the other ointment.

² The praise bestowed of late years by some few homœopaths on the larger doses is owing to this, either that they chose low dynamizations of the medicine to be administered, as I myself used to do twenty years ago, from not knowing any better, or that the medicines selected were not perfectly homœopathic.

pathic, and in no respect adapted (allopathic) to the morbid state; for in the former case the so-called homœopathic aggravation (§§ 157—160)—that is to say, the very analogous medicinal disease produced by the vital force stirred up by the excessively large dose of medicine, in the parts of the organism that are most suffering and most irritated by the original disease—which medicinal disease, had it been of *appropriate intensity*, would have gently effected a cure—rises to an injurious height;¹ the patient, to be sure, no longer suffers from the original disease, for that has been homœopathically eradicated, but he suffers all the more from the excessive medicinal disease and from useless exhaustion of his strength.

§ 277.

For the same reason, and because a medicine, provided the dose of it was sufficiently small, is all the more salutary and almost marvellously efficacious the more accurately homœopathic its selection has been, a medicine whose selection has been accurately homœopathic must be all the more salutary the more its dose is reduced to the degree of minuteness appropriate for a gentle remedial effect.

§ 278.

Here the question arises, what is this most suitable degree of minuteness for sure and gentle remedial effect; how small, in other words, must be the dose of each individual medicine, homœopathically selected for a case of disease, to effect the best cure? To solve this problem, and to determine for every particular medicine, what dose of it will suffice for homœopathic therapeutic purposes and yet be so minute that the gentlest and most rapid cure may be thereby obtained—to solve this problem is, as may easily be conceived, not the work of theoretical speculation; not by fine-spun reasoning, not by specious sophistry can we expect to obtain the solution

¹ See note to § 246.

of this problem. Pure experiment, careful observation, and accurate experience can alone determine this; and it were absurd to adduce the large doses of unsuitable (*allopathic*) medicines of the old system, which do not touch the diseased side of the organism homœopathically, but only attack the parts unaffected by the disease, in opposition to what pure experience pronounces respecting the smallness of the doses required for homœopathic cures.

§ 279.

This pure experience shows UNIVERSALLY, that if the disease do not manifestly depend on a considerable deterioration of an important viscus (even though it belong to the chronic and complicated diseases), and if during the treatment all other alien medicinal influences are kept away from the patient, *the dose of the homœopathically selected remedy can never be prepared so small that it shall not be stronger than the natural disease, and shall not be able to overpower, extinguish and cure it, at least in part, as long as it is capable of causing some, though but a slight preponderance of its own symptoms over those of the disease resembling it* (slight homœopathic aggravation, §§ 157—160) *immediately after its ingestion.*^(a)

§ 280.

This incontrovertible axiom of experience is the *standard of measurement by which the doses of all homœopathic medicines, without exception, are to be reduced to such an extent that, after their ingestion, they shall excite a scarcely observable homœopathic aggravation,*^(a) let the diminution of the dose go ever so far, and appear ever so incredible to the materialistic ideas of ordinary physicians;¹

¹ Let them learn from the mathematicians how true it is that a substance divided into ever so many parts must still contain in its smallest conceivable parts always *some* of this substance, and that the smallest conceivable part does not cease to be *some* of this substance and cannot possibly become nothing;—let them, if they are capable of being taught, hear from natural philosophers that there are enormously powerful things (forces) which are

their idle declamations must cease before the verdict of unerring experience.

§ 281.

Every patient is, especially in his diseased point, capable of being influenced *in an incredible degree* by medicinal agents corresponding by similarity of action; and there is no person, be he ever so robust, and even though he be affected only with a chronic or so-called local disease, who will not soon experience the desired change in the affected part, if he take the salutary, homœopathically suited medicine in the smallest conceivable dose, who, in a word, will not thereby be much more altered in his health than a healthy infant of but a day old would be. How insignificant and ridiculous is *mere theoretical* scepticism in opposition to this unerring, infallible experimental proof!^(a)

perfectly destitute of weight, as, for example, caloric, light, &c., consequently infinitely lighter than the medicine contained in the smallest doses used in homœopathy;—let them, if they can, weigh the irritating words that bring on a bilious fever, or the mournful intelligence respecting her only son that kills the mother; let them touch, for a quarter of an hour, a magnet capable of lifting a hundred pounds weight, and learn from the pain it excites that even imponderable agencies can produce the most violent medicinal effects upon man;—and let the weak ones among them allow the pit of their stomach to be slightly touched by the thumb's point of a strong-willed mesmeriser for a few minutes, and the disagreeable sensations they then suffer will make them repent of attempting to set limits to the boundless activity of nature; the weak-minded creatures!

If the allopathist who is trying the homœopathic system imagine he cannot bring himself to give such small and profoundly attenuated doses, let him only ask himself what risk he runs by so doing? If the scepticism which holds what is ponderable only to be real, and all that is imponderable to be nothing, be right, nothing worse could result from a dose that appears to him to be nothing, than that no effect would ensue—and consequently this would be always much more innocuous than what must result from his too large doses of allopathic medicine. Why will he consider his inexperience, coupled with prejudice, more reliable than an experience of many years corroborated by facts? And, moreover, the homœopathic medicine becomes *potentized* at every division and diminution by trituration or succussion!—a development of the inherent powers of medicinal substances which was never dreamed of before my time, and which is of so powerful a character that of late years I have been compelled by convincing experience to reduce the *ten* succussions formerly directed to be given after each attenuation, to *two*.

§ 282.

The smallest possible dose of homœopathic medicine, capable of producing only the very slightest homœopathic aggravation, will, because it has the power of exciting symptoms bearing the greatest possible resemblance to the original disease (but yet stronger even in the minute dose). attack principally and almost solely the parts in the organism that are already affected, highly irritated, and rendered excessively susceptible to such a similar stimulus, and will alter the vital force that rules in them to a state of very similar artificial disease, somewhat greater in degree than the natural one was; this artificial disease will substitute itself for the natural (the original) disease, so that the living organism now suffers from the artificial medicinal disease alone, which, from its nature and owing to the minuteness of the dose, will soon be extinguished by the vital force that is striving to return to the normal state, and (if the disease were only an acute one) the body is left perfectly free from disease—that is to say, quite well.

§ 283.

Now, in order to act really in conformity with nature, the true physician will prescribe his well-selected homœopathic medicine only in exactly as small a dose as will just suffice to overpower and annihilate the disease before him—in a dose of such minuteness, that if human fallibility should betray him into administering an inappropriate medicine, the injury accruing from its nature being unsuited to the disease will be diminished to a mere trifle; moreover the harm done by the smallest possible dose is so slight, that it may be immediately extinguished and repaired by the natural vital powers, and by the speedy administration of a remedy more suitably selected according to similarity of action, and given also in the smallest dose.^(a)

§ 284.

The action of a dose, moreover, does not diminish in the direct ratio of the quantity of material medicine contained in the dilutions used in homœopathic practice. Eight drops of the tincture of a medicine to the dose do not produce *four times* as much effect on the human body as two drops, but only about twice the effect that is produced by two drops to the dose. In like manner, *one drop* of a mixture of a drop of the tincture with ten drops of some unmedicinal fluid, when taken, will not produce *ten times* more effect than *one drop* of a mixture ten times more attenuated, but only about (scarcely) *twice as strong* an effect, and so on, in the same ratio—so that a drop of the lowest^(a) dilution must, and really does, display still a very considerable action.¹

§ 285.

The diminution of the dose essential for homœopathic use, will also be promoted by diminishing its volume, so that, if, instead of a drop of a medicinal dilution, we take but quite a small part² of such a drop for a dose, the

¹ Supposing one drop of a mixture that contains $\frac{1}{10}$ of a grain of medicine produces an effect = *a*,
 one drop of a more diluted mixture containing $\frac{1}{100}$ th of a grain of the medicine will only produce an effect = $\frac{a}{2}$;
 if it contain $\frac{1}{1000}$ th of a grain of medicine, about = $\frac{a}{4}$;
 if it contain $\frac{1}{10000}$ th of a grain of medicine, it will produce an effect = $\frac{a}{8}$;
 and thus it goes on, the volume of the doses being equal, with every (perhaps more than) quadratic diminution of the quantity of medicine, the action on the human body will be diminished each time to only about one-half. I have *very often* seen a drop of the decillion-fold dilution of tincture of nux vomica produce pretty nearly just *half as much* effect as a drop of the quintillion-fold dilution, under the same circumstances and in the same individual.

² For this purpose it is most convenient to employ fine sugar globules of the size of poppy seeds, one of which imbibed with the medicine and put into the dispensing vehicle constitutes a medicinal dose, which contains about the three hundredth part of a drop, for three hundred such small globules will be adequately moistened by one drop of alcohol. The dose is vastly diminished by laying one such globule alone upon the tongue and giving nothing to drink. If it be necessary, in the case of a very sensitive patient, to employ the smallest possible dose and to bring about the most rapid result, one single olfaction merely will suffice (see note to § 288).

object of diminishing the effect still further will be very effectually attained; and that this will be the case may be readily conceived for this reason, because with the smaller volume of the dose but few nerves of the living organism can be touched, whereby the power of the medicine is certainly also communicated to the whole organism, but it is a weaker power.

§ 286.

For the same reason the effect of a homœopathic dose of medicine increases, the greater the quantity of fluid in which it is dissolved when administered to the patient, although the actual amount of medicine it contains remains the same. For in this case, when the medicine is taken, it comes in contact with a much larger surface of sensitive nerves responsive to the medicinal action. Although theorists may imagine there should be a weakening of the action of a dose of medicine by its dilution with a large quantity of liquid, experience asserts exactly the opposite, at all events when the medicines are employed homœopathically.¹

§ 287.

But in this increase of action by the mixture of the dose of medicine with a larger quantity of liquid (before its ingestion), the result is vastly different whether the mixture of the dose of medicine with a certain quantity of liquid is performed merely superficially and imperfectly, or so uniformly and intimately² that the smallest

¹ It is only the most simple of all stimulants, wine and alcohol, that have their heating and intoxicating action diminished by dilution with much water.

² By the word *intimately* I mean this: that when, for instance, the drop of a medicinal fluid has been shaken up *once* with one hundred drops of spirits of wine; that is to say, the phial containing both, held in the hand, has been rapidly moved from above downwards with a *single* smart jerk of the arm, there certainly ensues a thorough mixture of the whole, but with two, three, ten and more such strokes, this mixture becomes much more intimate; that is to say, the medicinal power becomes much more potentized, and the spirit of this medicine, so to speak, becomes much more unfolded, developed, and rendered much more penetrating in its action on the nerves. If, then, the required object we wish to attain with the low dilutions be the diminution of

portion of the diluting fluid receives the same quantity of medicine in proportion as all the rest; for the latter becomes much more medicinally powerful by the diluting mixture than the former. From this every one will be able to judge for himself how to proceed with the regulation of the homœopathic medicinal doses when he desires to diminish their medicinal action as much as possible, in order to make them suitable for the most sensitive patients.¹

§ 288.

The action of medicines in the liquid form² upon the

the doses for the purpose of moderating their powers upon the organism, we would do well to give no more than two such succussion-jerks to each of the twenty, thirty, &c., dilution phials, and thus to develop the medicinal power only *moderately*. It is also advisable, in attenuating the medicine in the state of dry powder by trituration in a porcelain mortar, to keep within certain limits, and, for example, to triturate strongly, for one hour only, one grain of the crude entire medicinal substance, mixed with the first hundred grains of milk-sugar, and to triturate the attenuation of one grain of this mixture with another hundred grains of milk-sugar (to the $\frac{1}{100000}$ th attenuation) likewise only for one hour, and to make the third attenuation (to $\frac{1}{10000000}$) also by one hour of strong trituration of one grain of the previous mixture with one hundred grains of milk-sugar, in order to bring the medicine to such an attenuation that its development of power shall remain moderate. A more exact description of this process will be found in the prefaces to *Arsenic* and *Pulsatilla* in the *Materia Medica Pura*.^(a)

¹ The higher we carry the attenuation accompanied by dynamization (by two succussion strokes), with so much the more rapid and penetrating action does the preparation seem to affect the vital force and to alter the health, with but slight diminution of strength even when this operation is carried very far,—in place, as is usual (and generally sufficient) to X, when it is carried up to XX, L, C, and higher; only that then the action always appears to last a shorter time.^(a)

² It is especially in the form of vapour, by olfaction and inhalation of the medicinal aura that is always emanating from a globule impregnated with a medicinal fluid in a high development of power, and placed, dry, in a small phial, that the homœopathic remedies act most surely and most powerfully. The homœopathic physician allows the patient to hold the open mouth of the phial first in one nostril, and in the act of inspiration draw the air out of it into himself and then, if it is wished to give a stronger dose, smell in the same manner with the other nostril, more or less strongly, according to the strength it is intended the dose should be; he then corks up the phial and replaces it in his pocket case, to prevent any misuse of it, and *unless he wish it, he has no occasion for an apothecary's assistance in his practice*. A globule, of which ten, twenty or one hundred weigh one grain, impregnated with the thirtieth

living human body takes place in such a penetrating manner, spreads out from the point of the sensitive fibers provided with nerves whereto the medicine is first applied with such inconceivable rapidity and so universally through all parts of the living body, that this action of the medicine must be denominated a spirit-like (a dynamic, virtual) action.

§ 289.

Every part of our body that possesses the sense of touch is also capable of receiving the influence of medicines, and of propagating their power to all other parts.¹

§ 290.

Besides the stomach, the tongue and the mouth are the parts most susceptible to the medicinal influences;

potentized dilution, and then dried, retains for this purpose all its power *undiminished* for at least eighteen or twenty years (my experience extends this length of time), even though the phial be opened a thousand times during that period, if it be but protected from heat and the sun's light. Should both nostrils be stopped up by coryza or polypus, the patient should inhale by the mouth, holding the orifice of the phial betwixt his lips. In little children it may be applied close to their nostrils whilst they are asleep, with the certainty of producing an effect. The medicinal aura thus inhaled comes in contact with the nerves in the walls of the spacious cavities it traverses without obstruction, and thus produces a salutary influence on the vital force, in the mildest yet most powerful manner, and this is much preferable to every other mode of administering the medicament in substance by the mouth. All that homœopathy is capable of curing (and what can it not cure beyond the domain of mere manual surgical affections?) among the most severe chronic diseases that have not been quite ruined by allopathy, as also among acute diseases, will be most safely and certainly cured by this olfaction. I can scarcely name one in a hundred out of the many patients that have sought the advice of myself and my assistant during the past year, whose chronic or acute disease we have not treated with the most happy results, solely by means of this olfaction; during the latter half of this year, moreover, I have become convinced (of what I never could previously have believed) that by this olfaction the power of the medicine is exercised upon the patient in, *at least*, the same degree of strength, and that more quietly and yet just as long as when the dose of medicine is taken by the mouth, and that, consequently, the intervals at which the olfaction should be repeated should not be shorter than in the ingestion of the material dose by the mouth.^{1a}

¹ A patient even destitute of the sense of smell may expect an equally perfect action and cure from the medicine by olfaction.

but the interior of the nose is more especially so, and the rectum, the genitals, as also all particularly sensitive parts of our body are almost equally capable of receiving the medicinal action; hence also, parts that are destitute of skin, wounded or ulcerated spots permit the powers of medicines to exercise almost as penetrating an action upon the organism as if the medicine had been taken by the mouth or still better by olfaction and inhalation.

§ 291.

Even those organs which have lost their peculiar sense, *e. g.*, a tongue and palate that have lost the faculty of tasting, or a nose that has lost the faculty of smelling, communicate the power of the medicine that acts first on them alone not less perfectly to all the other organs of the body.

§ 292.

Even the external surface of the body, covered as it is with skin and epidermis, is not unsusceptible of the powers of medicines, especially those in a liquid form, but the most sensitive parts are also the most susceptible.^{1(a)}

§ 293.^(a)

I find it necessary to allude here to *animal magnetism*, as it is termed, or rather *mesmerism* (as it should be called, out of gratitude to Mesmer, its first founder), which differs so much in its nature from all other therapeutic agents. This curative power, often so stupidly

¹ Rubbing-in appears to favour the action of the medicines only in this way, that the friction makes the skin more sensitive, and the living fibres thereby more capable of feeling, as it were, the medicinal power and of communicating to the whole organism this health-affecting sensation. The previous employment of friction to the inside of the thigh makes the mere laying on of the mercurial ointment afterwards quite as powerfully medicinal as if the ointment itself had been rubbed upon that part, a process which is termed *rubbing-in*, but it is very doubtful whether the metal itself can penetrate *in substance* into the interior of the body, or be taken up by the absorbent vessels by means of this so-called rubbing-in.^(a) Homœopathy, however, hardly ever requires for its cures the rubbing-in of any medicine, nor does it need any mercurial ointment.

denied, which streams upon a patient by the contact of a well-intentioned person powerfully exerting his will, either acts homœopathically, by the production of symptoms similar to those of the diseased state to be cured; and for this purpose a single pass made, without much exertion of the will, with the palms of the hands not too slowly from the top of the head downwards over the body to the tips of the toes,¹ is serviceable in, for instance, uterine hæmorrhages, even in the last stage when death seems approaching; or it is useful by distributing the vital force uniformly throughout the organism, when it is in abnormal excess in one part and deficient in other parts, for example, in rush of blood to the head and sleepless, anxious restlessness of weakly persons, &c., by means of a similar, single, but somewhat stronger pass; or for the immediate communication and restoration of the vital force to some one weakened part or to the whole organism,—an object that cannot be attained so certainly and with so little interference with the other medicinal treatment by any other agent besides mesmerism. If it is wished to supply a particular part with the vital force, this is effected by concentrating a very powerful and well-intentioned will for the purpose, and placing the hands or tips of the fingers on the chronically weakened parts, whither an internal chronic dyscrasia has transferred its important local symptom, as, for example, in the case of old ulcers, amaurosis, paralysis of certain limbs, &c.² Many rapid

¹ The smallest homœopathic dose, (*a*) which, however, often effects wonders when used on proper occasions. Imperfect homœopathists, who think themselves monstrously clever, not infrequently deluge their patients in difficult diseases with doses of different medicines, given rapidly one after the other, which, although they may have been homœopathically selected and given in highly potentized attenuation, bring the patients into such an over-excited state that life and death are struggling for the mastery, and the least additional quantity of medicine would infallibly kill them. In such cases a mere gentle mesmeric pass and the frequent application, for a short time, of the hand of a well-intentioned person to the part that is particularly affected, produce the harmonious uniform distribution of the vital force throughout the organism, and therewith rest, sleep and recovery.

² Although by this restoration of the vital force, which ought to be

apparent cures performed in all ages, by mesmerisers endowed with great natural power, belong to this class. The effect of communicated human power upon the whole human organism was most brilliantly shown, in the resuscitation of persons who had lain some time apparently dead, by the most powerful, sympathetic will of a man in full vigour of vital force,¹ and of this kind of resurrection history records many undeniable examples.

§ 294.

All the above-mentioned methods of practising mesmerism depend upon an influx of more or less vital force into the patient, and hence are termed positive mesmerism.² An opposite mode of employing mesmerism, however, as it produces just the contrary effect, deserves to be termed negative mesmerism. To this belong the passes which are used to rouse from the somnambolic sleep, as also all the manual processes known by the names of *soothing and ventilating*. This *discharge* by means of negative mesmerism of the vital force accumulated to excess in indi-

repeated from time to time, no permanent cure can be effected in cases where, as has been taught above, a general internal dyscrasia lies at the root of the old local affection, as it always does, yet this positive strengthening and immediate saturation with vital force (which no more belongs to the category of palliatives than does eating and drinking when hunger and thirst are present) is no mean auxiliary to the actual treatment of the whole disease by homœopathic medicines.

¹ Especially of one of those persons, of whom there are not many, who, along with great kindness of disposition and perfect bodily powers, possesses but a *very moderate desire for sexual intercourse*, which it would give him very little trouble wholly to suppress, in whom, consequently, all the fine vital spirits that would otherwise be employed in the preparation of the semen, are ready to be communicated to others, by touching them and powerfully exerting the will. Some powerful mesmerisers, with whom I have become acquainted, had *all* this peculiar character.

² When I here speak of the decided and certain curative power of positive mesmerism, I most assuredly do not mean that abuse of it, where, by repeated passes of this kind, continued for half an hour or a whole hour at a time, and, even day after day, performed on weak, nervous patients, that monstrous revolution of the whole human system is effected which is termed somnambulism, wherein the human being is ravished from the world of sense and seems to belong more to the world of spirits—a highly unnatural and dangerous state, by means of which it has not infrequently been attempted to cure chronic diseases.

vidual parts of the system of undebilitated persons is most surely and simply performed by making a very rapid motion of the flat extended hand, held parallel to, and about an inch distant from the body, from the top of the head to the tips of the toes.¹ The more rapidly this pass is made, so much the more effectually will the discharge be effected. Thus, for instance, in the case where a previously healthy woman,² from the sudden suppression of her catamenia by a violent mental shock, lies to all appearance dead, the vital force which is probably accumulated in the precordial region, will, by such a rapid negative pass, be discharged and its equilibrium throughout the whole organism restored, so that the resuscitation generally follows immediately.³ In like manner, a gentle, less rapid, negative pass diminishes the excessive restlessness and sleeplessness accompanied with anxiety sometimes produced in very irritable persons by a too powerful positive pass, &c.

¹ It is a well known rule that a person who is either to be positively or negatively mesmerised, should not wear silk on any part of the body.

² Hence a negative pass, especially if it be very rapid, is extremely injurious to a delicate person affected with a chronic ailment and deficient in vital force.

³ A strong country lad, ten years of age, received in the morning, on account of slight indisposition, from a professed female mesmeriser, several very powerful passes with the points of both thumbs, from the pit of the stomach along the lower edge of the ribs, and he instantly grew deathly pale, and fell into such a state of unconsciousness and immobility that no effort could arouse him, and he was almost given up for dead. I made his eldest brother give him a very rapid negative pass from the crown of the head over the body to the feet, and in one instant he recovered his consciousness and became lively and well.

APPENDIX.

Title.

On the title-page of the first edition we read:

“Organon of the Rational System of Medicine, by Samuel Hahnemann.”

Then follows a motto from the poet Gellert which may be thus translated:

“The Truth we mortals need
Us blest to make and keep,
The All-wise slightly covered o'er,
But did not bury deep.”

In the second edition the title and motto were changed to what we find them in the fifth edition.

The first edition has no table of contents.

Introduction.

To p. 1:

The portion of the Introduction, to “homœopathy” p. 38, appears first in the fourth edition. A few sentences have been added, and some unimportant variations of phraseology appear in the fifth edition. The heading of the Introduction in the fourth edition is simply “I. Review of the Allopathy of the Old School of Medicine.” The portion of the Introduction containing the instances of homœopathic practice in the old school is “II.” This part is omitted from the fifth edition.

Hahnemann invariably uses the word “allœopathy,” derived from *ἀλλοιον πάθος*. His followers have generally adopted “allopathy” from *ἄλλο πάθος*. The meaning is the same, the more usual form has been employed in the translation.

To p. 2:

The foregoing paragraphs are only present in the fifth edition. The Introduction in the fourth edition commences with the next paragraph.

To p. 4, note:

This and the preceding note are only in the fifth edition.

To p. 5:

In fourth edition: “Sometimes by suppressing prominent symptoms by contrary-acting medicines, that is to say, by the *antipathic* (palliative) method (which is estimated in the text of the Organon) sometimes.”

To p. 6:

“Spiritual” not in fourth edition.

To p. 6, note:

“Often” in fourth edition.

To p. 9:

This allusion to the practice of Broussais is not in the fourth edition. It is remarkable that this “blood-thirsty Parisian physician” in the last years of his life expressed himself in favour of Hahnemann’s system.

To p. 10:

“(In accordance with the maxim: *tolle causam*)” in fourth edition.

To p. 10, second reference:

This paragraph is almost identical with § 49 of the second and third editions, where it is preceded by the following:

“§ 48. I am well aware that physicians do not employ in diseases such allopathic medicines not selected according to similarity of action, with the intentional design of giving allopathic and false morbid agents. No! They know nothing whatever about all the medicines they administer, neither whether they are morbid forces similar (and therefore salutary) to the disease, nor whether they are dissimilar (consequently useless and hurtful). They have *no idea of this most necessary to be attended to, most essential condition for the cure*, but they prescribe medicines for some pretended name of a disease, or for an imaginary internal, invisible, morbid cause, because others before them have done and advised this without knowing anything about the true nature and pure effects on the human health of any of the drugs mixed together in the prescription. In the great majority of cases there can be none other but drugs dissimilar in action to the diseased state—allopathic medicines, in fact, therefore useless and injurious in such cases.”

To p. 11:

This paragraph is not in fourth edition.

To p. 14:

In the fourth edition this paragraph terminates here.

To p. 15:

From “I admit,” p. 11, to this point is nearly identical with §§ 50–59 of second and third editions.

The following in the second and third editions is omitted in the two last editions.

“§ 60. In all ages the favourite treatment was by purgatives and laxatives. From these physicians saw the most frequent and quickest changes in all sorts of diseases; not because they carried off the impossible morbid matter

supposed to exist in the interior (which was not present anywhere in the organism, and were there such a thing the very last place in which it could be retained is the intestinal canal which so readily and certainly expels foreign substances). No! their simple reason for employing them was because these painful irritations of the intestinal canal most readily caused an artificial disease of the *primæ viæ* which suppressed and suspended the original disease for some time. The stomach and bowels are made ill by the purgatives, and the worse they become the more is the malady for which the physician was called in, *silenced*, without, however, being thereby *cured*, if it was a long-standing disease.

"§ 61. Can this be called a cure? No! as soon as the physician, in consequence of the increasing debility, is obliged to leave off purging, the natural, chronic disease returns, not only as intense as before but in a much severer form, on account of the weakening of the patient by this loss of humours attended by pain, and on account of the added injury caused by the other peculiar effects of the purgative medicines (for all purgatives have in addition to the irritant effects on the bowels *many* other medicinal effects on human beings). No chronic malady will be cured by this allopathic treatment, and it is only diseases of rapid course (which would go off by themselves) which *seem* to yield to it, because the period of their natural duration elapsed in the meantime, and the bodily strength gradually returned by itself."

To p. 16:

The second and third editions have here the following:

"§ 64. Cure the disease¹ and then the source of all these degenerated matters, of all the morbid excretions and of all that has hitherto been regarded as morbid matter, is at the same time destroyed. That is real curing. This kind of true, mild and permanent cure is

"¹The venereal disease with the chancre still present and the itch of workers in wool, both of which, in the opinion of the old school, have a material virus, are most entirely and perfectly cured and, if of recent origin, in a short time, by a few doses of the best preparation of their specific remedies which cause no evacuation by stool, urine, sweat or saliva."

easily found on looking at what occurs in Nature, for the purpose on the one hand of avoiding that process by which Nature herself never attains the object, when she attacks the original disease by a dissimilar (allopathic) malady, by which, consequently, it is not removed but always aggravated; and, on the other hand, of imitating her successful cure, when by opposing to the original disease a morbid force similar, though different to it, the original disease is quickly removed, annihilated and cured."

To p. 16, note:

This additional information about the tape-worm occurs in the corresponding note to § 62 of the second and third editions.

"The tape-worm lives in the human body which has not been made more ill by unsuitable medicines (the tape-worm is most frequently met with in subjects below the years of puberty—rather less frequently at other ages) for a few years only, to wit, as long as the contents of the bowels are so constituted as to contain nourishment for the worm. But if the constitution of its human host gradually changes, if he becomes stronger and healthier, then his intestinal contents no longer afford sustenance for the tape-worm; it diminishes in size and at last goes away altogether, as though starved or worn out by age. Hence it follows that the physician can pursue no better treatment for the tape-worm than to promote this necessary alteration in the body by the homœopathic, radical cure of the chronic malady, which betrays itself by those acute symptoms curable by fern-root and by other signs, and when they are cured the tape-worm disappears of its own accord (starved or grown old), and the patient, even should he be attacked by indisposition, feels nothing more of the worm."

To p. 17:

This note is not in the fourth edition.

To p. 26:

The last paragraph of this note is not in the fourth edition.

To p. 28:

This paragraph is new in the fifth edition.

To p. 33:

The four last paragraphs are new in the fifth edition.

To p. 34:

This and the previous note (p. 33) are new in the fifth edition.

To p. 38:

The three last paragraphs appear first in the fifth edition.

To p. 38 (second reference):

Already in the *Essay on a New Principle (Lesser Writings, p. 311)* this maxim was stated with perfect clearness—though Hahnemann then thought that it was not a therapeutic rule of general application. His words are:

"We should imitate Nature, which sometimes cures a chronic disease by super-adding another, and employ in the (especially chronic) disease we wish to cure, that medi-

cine which is able to produce another very similar artificial disease, and the former will be cured: similia similibus."

and again (*L. W.*, p. 314):

"In order to discover the true remedial powers of a medicine for chronic diseases, we must look to the specific artificial disease it can develop in the human body, and employ it in a very similar morbid condition of the organism which it is wished to remove.

"The analogous maxim: In order to cure radically certain chronic diseases, we must search for medicines which can excite a similar disease—the more similar the better—in the human body—will thereby become evident."

In the *Medicine of Experience* (*Lesser Writings*, p. 514) the homœopathic therapeutic rule is put thus:

"In order to be able to cure, we shall not require to oppose to the existing abnormal irritation of the disease an appropriate medicine, that is to say, another morbidic force whose effect is very similar to that the disease displays."

The corresponding passage is nearly identical in the three first editions of the *Organon*, of which it is the opening passage. In the first edition it runs thus:

"Hitherto the diseases of human beings were treated not rationally, not on fixed principles, but according to various curative intentions, among others according to the palliative rule: contraria contrariis curentur.

"Directly opposite to this lies the truth, the real road to cure, to which I give the guide in this work: to cure mildly, rapidly and permanently choose, in every case of disease, a medicine which can of itself produce an affection similar (ὁμοιον πάθος) to that it is wished to cure (similia similibus curentur)!"

In the second and third editions the words "not rationally" are omitted, the phraseology is altered to "not according to principles based on nature and experience, but according to capriciously imagined curative intentions." The words "to which I give the guide in this work" are omitted: "certainly" is inserted after "mildly."

The fourth edition differs from all the others. The passage runs:

"Thus the diseases of mankind were hitherto treated, not according to principles that were firmly based on nature and experience, not with suitable remedies, but sometimes

according to capriciously imagined curative intentions, sometimes in imitation of the indirect operations of the senseless, merely animal vital force left alone to its own self-help, compelled to act in diseases in obedience to the laws of the organic constitution of our bodies, unable, on reflection, to conceive and select the best plan; this vital force has, alas! been held to be the wisest teacher of the healing art, and its instinctive longing in diseases for oppositely acting soothing and palliative remedies has been imitated in the treatment *contraria contrariis*."

The next paragraph is the same as in the fifth edition, only the formula "*similia similibus curentur*" is inserted after "maxim."

The combination of Greek words used by Hahnemann to denote his system, "homœopathy," is found in the adjective form, though with a different meaning, in the New Testament, thus: *καὶ ἡμεῖς ὁμοιοπαθεῖς ἔσμεν ἰμῖν ἄνθρωποι* (*Acts*, xiv, 15); and again: *Ἡλιας ἀνθρώπος ἦν ὁμοιοπαθὴς ἡμῖν* (*James*, v, 17).

The Latin formula employed by Hahnemann is frequently written erroneously *similia similibus curantur*, and as erroneously translated "likes cure likes." Hahnemann was too good a Latin scholar to use the verb "curare" in the sense of to "cure;" besides he always wrote the formula *similia similibus curentur*, thereby giving an imperative or mandatory turn to the phrase. The translation must evidently be "let likes be treated by likes." This is evident from the translation or paraphrase he gives in every edition, showing it to be a therapeutic maxim or rule. In the first edition he calls the phrase: "guide to the true way of healing" (*Anleitung zum ächten Heilweg*). In the second, third and fourth editions it is "the maxim" (*Satz*). In the three first editions the Latin formula comes in after the German paraphrase. In the fourth edition the Latin precedes its vernacular paraphrase. In the fifth edition a different arrangement is adopted. The Latin formula is no longer in conjunction with its paraphrase, but occurs in the preceding paragraph, and is there termed "the only therapeutic law conformable to nature" (*das einzig naturgemässe Heilgesetz*). The German paraphrase is still, however, "maxim" (*Satz*). By the dislocation of the Latin formula from its German equivalent, and by its being no longer termed *Satz*, *i. e.*, "maxim," but *Heilgesetz*, literally "law of healing," it would seem as though Hahnemann was inclined to adopt the idea conveyed in the innovation of "*curantur*" with its incorrect rendering by the phrase "likes cure likes." In the aphoristic portion of the work, however, he teaches that the homœopathic therapeutic rule is the outcome or corollary of the law of nature that *a weaker affection is extinguished by a stronger similar one* (§ § 24—26). From this the therapeutic rule "treat likes by likes" is an obvious logical deduction.

To p. 39:

In previous editions the following examples (omitted in the fifth edition) are given (the inverted commas are purposely omitted in this long quotation):

I shall here give some examples* of these homœopathic cures, which derive an undeniable significance through the newly discovered and flourishing homœopathy, but which are not needed to support the latter, because it stands firm without any aid from without.¹

The author of the treatise on epidemic diseases (*ἐπιδημιῶν*) attributed to Hippocrates² mentions a *cholera* that had resisted every remedy, and which he cured by means of *white hellebore* alone, which, however, excites *cholera* of itself, as witnessed by Forestus,³ Ledelius,⁴ Reimann,⁵ and several others.

¹ If, in the cases which will be cited here, the doses of medicine exceeded those which the safe homœopathic system prescribes, they were, of course, very naturally attended with the danger which is to be anticipated from *large doses* of homœopathic remedies. However, it often happens, from various causes which cannot always be discovered, that even very large doses of homœopathic medicines effect a cure, without doing any particular harm, either from the vegetable substance by long keeping having lost a part of its strength, or because abundant evacuations ensued which destroyed the greater part of the action of the remedy; or, finally, because the stomach had received at the same time other substances, which, acting as antidotes, greatly lessened the strength of the dose.

² At the commencement of lib. 5. [The case, as recorded, is as follows: "A man at Athens was seized with a cholera; he vomited and had a discharge downwards, and was in a good deal of pain. His vomiting and purging could not be stopped. His voice failed him, he could not be turned in his bed; his eyes were covered with a mist and were hollow. He was affected with spasms, which extended from his bowels up to his stomach, and then he had the hiccup. His stools were much more copious than what he voided by vomiting. He drank some hellebore in the juice of lentils, and, moreover, drank some of that juice after it, as much as he could, and by this induced a vomiting, after which both the vomiting and purging stopped, but he became cold. Then he washed himself downwards from the middle, until the upper parts were quite warm. He lived after this. The next day he drank a pottage of barley."]

³ P. Forestus, xviii, obs. 44.

⁴ Ledelius, *Misc. nat. cur.*, dec. 3, ann. i, obs. 65.

⁵ Reimann, *Bresl. Samml.*, 1724, p. 535. In this and in all the examples that follow, I have purposely abstained from reporting either my own observations or those of my pupils, upon the special effects of each individual medicine, but only those of the physicians of times past. My object

* In the first edition very few references to the sources of these cases are given, but they are mostly carefully indicated by foot-notes in the second, third and fourth editions.

The English *sweating sickness*, which first appeared in the year 1485, and which, more murderous than the plague itself, killed in the commencement (as testified by Willis) ninety-nine patients out of a hundred, could not be subdued until physicians had learned to administer *sudorifics* to their patients. After that, as Sennert¹ observes, few persons died of it.*

A case of *diarrhœa* which had lasted several years, threatening the patient with inevitable death, and for which every other medicine had been tried without success, was, to Fischer's² (but not my) great surprise, cured in a speedy and permanent manner by a *purgative* administered by an unlearned empiric.

Murray (whom I select from numerous other authorities) informs us, as does also daily experience, that among the symptoms produced by the use of *tobacco*, *vertigo*, *nausea* and *anxiety* are the principal. Now Diemerbroeck,³ when attacked with those very symptoms of vertigo, nausea and anxiety, in the course of his medical treatment of epidemic diseases in Holland, removed them by smoking tobacco.†

The hurtful effects which some writers (among others Georgi)⁴ ascribe to the use of the *agaricus muscarius*, by the inhabitants of Kamtschatka, and which consist of

in this is to show that the art of curing homœopathically might have been discovered before my time.

¹ *De Febris*, iv, cap. 15.

² In *Hufeland's Journal für praktische Heilkunde*, vol. x, iv, p. 127.

³ *Tract. de Preste*, Amsterdam, 1665, p. 273.

⁴ *Beschreibung aller Nationen des russischen Reichs*, pp. 78, 267, 281, 321, 329, 352.

* The first edition has here, "Catgut bougies introduced into the healthy urethra always cause a *flow of mucus*, and for that reason they so often cure old *gleets*."

† In the first three editions there follows: "Chomel, Grant,^a and Margrives^b saw *convulsions* produced by the excessive use of *tobacco*; and long before them Zacutus^c the Portuguese found a syrup prepared from the juice of *tobacco* a very efficacious remedy in many cases of epilepsy.

^a In the *Samml. a. Abh. f. pr. A.*, xiii, 1.

^b In Vandermonde, *Recueil period.*, vii, p. 67.

^c *De Medicorum principum historia*, lib. i, obs. 33, p. 234 (Amstel., 1637).

tremors, convulsions and *epilepsy*, became remedial in the hands of C. G. Whistling,¹ who used this fungus with success in cases of convulsions accompanied with tremor; likewise in those of J. C. Bernhardt,² who used it with success in a species of epilepsy.

The remark made by Murray,³ that oil of *anise-seed* allays pains of the stomach and flatulent colic caused by purgatives, does not surprise us when we know that J. P. Albrecht⁴ observed *pains in the stomach*, and P. Forest⁵ *violent colic*, caused by anise-seed oil.

If F. Hoffman praises the efficacy of *yarrow* in various kinds of *hæmorrhage*; if G. E. Stahl, Buchwald, and Löseke found this plant useful in extensive *hæmorrhoidal flux*; if Quarin and the editors of the *Breslauer Sammlungen* speak of the cures it has effected of *hæmoptysis*; and finally, if Thomasius (according to Haller) used it successfully in *uterine hæmorrhages*,—these cures are evidently owing to the power possessed by the plant of exciting *hæmorrhages* and *hæmaturia*, as observed by Casp. Hoffman,⁶ and particularly *epistaxis*, as noticed by Boecler.⁷

Scovolo,⁸ besides others, cured a case of painful discharge of purulent urine by *bearberry*; which never could have been performed if this plant had not the property of exciting *scalding during urination with discharge of slimy urine*, as actually seen by Sauvages.^{9*}

¹ *Diss. de virt. Agaric. musc.*, Jena, 1718, p. 13.

² *Chym. Vers. und Erfahrungen*, Leipsic, 1754, obs. 5, p. 324; Gruner, *De viribus Agar. musc.*, Jena, 1778, p. 13.

³ *Appar. Medic.*, 2nd edit., i, p. 429, 430.

⁴ *Misc. Nat. Cur.*, dec. 2, ann. viii, obs. 169. [His words are, "Cardialgiam atque alia symptomata."]

⁵ *Observat. et Curationes*, lib. 21.

⁶ *De Medicam. Officin.*, Leyden, 1738.

⁷ *Cynosura Mat. Med. Cont.*, p. 552.

⁸ In Girardi, *De Uva ursi*, Padua, 1764.

⁹ *Nosolog.*, iii, p. 200. [A mistake; Sauvages has no 3rd vol.]

* In the first edition only the following occurs:

"The *spotted hemlock*, now so seldom used, has not infrequently cured serious diseases homœopathically, as the writings of the best physicians testify. If it can cause *asthma* as Baylies observed, *short gasping respiration* according to Stoerck, *violent cough* according to Lange, *dry cough*, *very*

And though the frequent experience of Stoerck, Marges, Planchon, du Monceau, F. C. Junker, Schinz, Ehrmann, and others had not already established the fact that *colchicum* cures a species of dropsy, still this power was to have been expected from it, from the peculiar property it possesses of *diminishing the urinary secretion with continual desire to pass water and scanty discharge of fiery red urine*, as witnessed by Stoerck¹ and De Berge.² The cure of hypochondriacal asthma effected by Göritz³ by means of *colchicum*, and that of an asthma complicated with an apparent hydrothorax, performed by Stoerck⁴ with the same substance, were evidently owing to the homœopathic property which this root possesses of exciting *dyspnœa* and *asthma*, as witnessed by de Berge.⁵

Muralto⁶ saw what we may witness every day, viz., that *jalap*, besides creating *colic*, also causes *great restlessness* and *agitation*. To this property (as every physician familiar with homœopathic truth can understand) is owing its salutary power of helping little children affected with *colic*, *restlessness* and *crying*, and giving them tranquil sleep, as G. W. Wedel⁷ justly lauds it for.

¹ *Libellus de Colchico*, Vienna, 1763, p. 12.

² *Journal de Médecine*, xxii.

³ A. E. Büchner, *Miscell. Phys., Med. Mathem.*, Ann. 1728, Jul., pp. 1212, 1213; Erfurt, 1732.

⁴ *Ibid.*, Cases 11, 13, contin. Cases 4, 9. [Case 11 was one of cough with dyspnœa; Case 13, of uræmic coma with general dropsy and cough.]

⁵ *Ibid.*, loc. cit.

⁶ *Misc. Nat. Cur.*, dec. 2, ann. vii, obs. 112.

⁷ *Opiolog.*, lib. 1, part 1, cap. ii, p. 38.

severe cough and *nocturnal cough* again according to Stoerck, *dyspnœa* and a kind of *nocturnal whooping cough* according to Landeutte, then we can easily understand how it could cure a *nocturnal asthma* as Boulard observed, a *convulsive cough* after suppressed scabies as Stoerck records, an *obstinate cough* as Viventius asserts, and a kind of *whooping cough* as Butter, Armstrong, Lentin, and Ranoe witnessed. Stoerck's cure of a *dysuria* by *hemlock* is explained by the *strangury* Lange and Ehrhardt found it to cause. If Stoerck cured a case of *amaurosis* by *hemlock*, that was owing to its power to cause *sudden blindness* (according to Amatus the Portuguese), *dimness of vision* (according to Baylies and Andree), and *weakness of sight* (according to Gatacker).

It is also known, and has been more than sufficiently attested by Murray, Hilliary and Spielmann, that *senna leaves* occasion a kind of *colic*, and produce, according to Caspar¹ and Friedrich Hoffmann,² much *flatulence* and *turgor of the blood*³ (the ordinary cause of *insomnia*). It was this inherent (homœopathic) property of *senna* which enabled Detharding⁴ to cure with its aid patients afflicted with violent colic, and put an end to their restless nights.

Stoerck, who was otherwise such an acute observer, might have perceived that the property of *dictamnus root*, which he himself observed, of sometimes causing a *viscid mucous discharge from the vagina*,⁵ was the very same property which enabled him to cure with this root a leucorrhœa of long standing.⁶

Stoerck should, moreover, not have been astonished that he was able to cure a general, chronic, humid, eroding, scabious eruption with *clematis*,⁷ having himself ascertained⁸ that this plant has the power of producing a *scabious papular eruption over the whole body*.^{9*}

If, as Murray asserts,¹⁰ *euphrasia* cures lippitudo and a certain form of ophthalmia, how could it otherwise do so but by the faculty it possesses of exciting a kind of *inflammation in the eyes*, as was remarked by Lobelius?¹¹

¹ *De Medicin. Officin.*, lib. 1, cap. 36.

² *Diss. de Mannâ*, § 16.

³ Murray, loc. cit., ii, p. 507.

⁴ *Ephem. Nat. Cur.*, cent. x, obs. 76.

⁵ *Lib. de Flamm. Jovis*, Vienna, 1769, cap. 2. [The 1st edition has "leucorrhœa of viscid mucus mixed with streaks of blood," probably an aggravation of an existing leucorrhœa.]

⁶ *Ibid.*, cap. 9.

⁷ *Ibid.*, cap. 13.

⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 33.

⁹ [The eruption that appeared under *clematis* was in a syphilitic patient.]

¹⁰ *Appar. Medic.*, ii, p. 221, 2nd edit.

¹¹ *Stirp. Adversar.*, p. 219. [The first and second editions add the names

* The following passage occurs only in the 1st edition:

"Because the mere application of *euphorbia* juice to the abdomen caused *œdematous swelling of the whole body*, as Scopoli observed, a great number of physicians and common people were able to cure a kind of dropsy with *euphorbia*, as Hermann and Boecler assert."

According to J. H. Lange,¹ *nutmeg* has been found very efficacious in hysterical fainting fits; certainly for no other natural reason than the homœopathic one, that when given in strong doses to a person in health it is capable of producing, as stated by J. Schmid² and Cullen,³ *suspension of the senses and general insensibility*.*

The old practice of applying *rose water* externally in inflammations of the eye looks like a tacit acknowledgment that there exists in the leaves of the rose some curative power for such affections. This is owing to its homœopathic power of exciting a species of *ophthalmia* in healthy persons, an effect experienced by Echterius,⁴ Ledilius,⁵ and Rau.⁶

If, as asserted by Pet. Rossi,⁷ Van Mons,⁸ J. Monti,⁹ Sybel,¹⁰ and others, the *rhus toxicodendron* and *radicans*† have the faculty of producing *vesicles which gradually cover the entire body*, a man of sense easily perceives how this plant is capable of curing homœopathically various kinds of herpetic eruptions, as testified by Dufresnoy and Van

of Bonnet (*Mercur. Compil.*, 13) and Sim. Paulli (*Quadripart. botan.*, Class iii). The observation of Lobelius was an aggravation only.]

¹ *Domest. Brunsvic.*, p. 135.

² *Misc. Nat. Cur.*, dec. 2, ann. ii, obs. 20.

³ *Materia Medica*, ii, p. 233. [Cullen's patient was alternately somnolent and delirious.]

⁴ In Adami, *Vita Medic.*, p. 72. [Echterius only mentions "sternutatio."]

⁵ *Misc. Nat. Curios.*, dec. 2, ann. ii, obs. 140.

⁶ Rau, *Ueber den Werth des homœop. Heilverfahrens*, p. 73.

⁷ *Observ. de Nonnullis Plantis, quæ pro venenatis habentur*, Pisis, 1767.

⁸ In Dufresnoy, *Des propriétés du Rhus radicans*, p. 206. [In Dufresnoy's work on *rhus*, which, by the way, has only ninety-six pages, Van Mons is not mentioned as an observer of the effects of the plant, or as having cured any cases, but only appears as an apothecary who communicated to Dufresnoy some observations of practitioners of the cure of cases of "dartres" and paralysis by *rhus*, at Dufresnoy's suggestion. Twenty cases of paralysis of the lower extremities cured by *rhus* are related by Dufresnoy in this work.]

⁹ *Acta Instit. Bonon. Sc. et Art.*, iii, 165.

¹⁰ In *Med. Annalen*, 1811, July.

* The first three editions add this paragraph:

"Boecler and Linné assert that the *Rhamnus frangula* given internally causes a kind of dropsy. The reason of this is obvious: Schwenkfeld saw a kind of dropsy produced by the application of the inner bark of this shrub." No references to these authors are given in either of these editions.

† The 1st edition mentions *R. radicans* and *toxicodendron* separately.

Mons. What could have enabled this plant (as observed by Alderson¹) to cure a paralysis of the lower extremities attended with mental weakness unless it was its obvious power to cause *complete prostration of the muscular powers, with mental aberration* taking the form of fear of impending death, as witnessed by Zadig?²

The *woody nightshade*, according to Carrère,³ has cured the most violent diseases caused by a chill, which could result from no other cause but that this herb, in cold and damp weather, is extremely apt to produce various *affections like those which arise from a chill*, as Carrère himself has observed,⁴ and likewise Starcke.⁵ Fritze⁶ saw *dulcamara* produce *convulsions*, and De Haen⁷ witnessed *convulsions attended with delirium*; on the other hand, the latter cured convulsions attended with delirium with small doses of *dulcamara*.⁸ It is useless to seek in the domain of speculation the reason why *dulcamara* is so efficacious in a species of *eczema* or *herpes*, as witnessed by Carrère,⁹ Fouquet¹⁰ and Poupart;¹¹ since it is revealed to us by nature, which requires homœopathy in order to effect a safe cure, viz., that *dulcamara* can of itself produce a kind of *eczema*. Carrère saw the use of this plant excite an *herpetic eruption* which

¹ In *Samml. aus Abh. f. pr. Aerzte*, xviii, 1. [Darwin (*Zoonomie*, ii, i, p. 73²) is also cited as an authority for this remedial action of *rhus* in the first two editions.]

² In *Hufeland's Journal der prakt. Heilk.*, v, p. 3.

³ Carrère (and Starcke), *Abhandl. über die Eigenschaften des Nachtschattens oder Bitterssüses*, Jena, 1786, pp. 20—23.

⁴ *Ibid.*

⁵ In Carrère, *ibid.*, pp. 140, 249.

⁶ *Annalen des klinischen Instituts*, iii, p. 45.

⁷ *Ratio Medendi*, tom. iv, p. 228.

⁸ *Ibid.*, where he says, "Dulco-amaræ stipites majori dosi convulsiones et deliria excitant, moderata vero spasmos, convulsionesque solvunt." How near was De Haen to the discovery of the therapeutic law most in conformity to nature! [In 1st edition there is no mention of convulsions, but, on the other hand, *dulcamara* is credited with causing and curing roughness and fissures of the tongue, on the authority of Haller and Vicat, and leucorrhœa, testified to by Rahn, Carrère, and Durande.]

⁹ *Ratio Medendi*, tom. iv, p. 92.

¹⁰ In Razoux, *Tables nosologiques*, p. 275.

¹¹ *Traité des Dartres*, Paris, 1782, pp. 184, 192.

covered the *entire body* for a fortnight;¹ on another occasion it produced eczema on the *hands*,² and in yet another case its eruption attacked the *labia pudendi*.³

Rucker⁴ saw the *black nightshade* produce *swelling of the entire body*. This is the reason that Gatacker⁵ and Cirillo⁶ succeeded in curing with its aid (homœopathically) a species of dropsy.

Boerhaave,⁷ Sydenham⁸ and Radcliffe⁹ cured another species of dropsy with *elder*, because as Haller¹⁰ informs us, even its external application causes an *œdematous swelling*.

De Haen,¹¹ Sarcone¹² and Pringle¹³ rendered due homage to truth and experience by declaring openly that they cured pleurisy with *squill*, a root which, on account of its excessive acrid properties, ought to be forbidden in a disease of this nature, where, according to the received method, only sedative, relaxing and cooling remedies are admissible. The disease in question subsided, nevertheless, under the influence of *squill*, in conformity with the homœopathic law of nature, for J. C. Wagner¹⁴ saw *pleurisy* and *inflammation of the lungs* produced by the action of the plant.^{15*}

¹ *Traité des Dartres*, Paris, 1782, p. 96.

² *Ibid.*, p. 149.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 164.

⁴ *Commerc. Liter. Noric.*, 1731, p. 372.

⁵ *Versuche und Bemerk. der Edinb. Gessellschaft*, Altenburg, 1762, vii, pp. 95, 98.

⁶ *Consulti Medichi*, tom. iii, Naples, 1738, 4.

⁷ *Historia Plantarum*, pt. 1, p. 207.

⁸ *Opera*, p. 496. [Syd. Soc. edit., vol. ii, p. 176. Not by any specific action, since if it do not excite vomiting or purging, or either or both only sparingly, it is useless.]

⁹ In Haller, *Arzneimittellehre*, p. 349.

¹⁰ In Vicat, *Plantes vénéneuses*, p. 125.

¹¹ *Ratio Medendi*, pt. 1, p. 13.

¹² *History of Diseases in Naples*, vol. i, p. 175.

¹³ *Obs. on the Diseases of the Army*, ed. 7, § 143.

¹⁴ *Observationes Clinicæ*, Lubeck, 1737.

¹⁵ [Wagner says "peripneumonia."]

* The following paragraphs appear in the first edition only:

"According to Gaterau's observation the use of *laxus* caused violent

A great many practitioners, D. Crüger, Ray, Kellner, Kaaw Boerhaave and others,¹ have observed that *thorn-apple* (*stramonium*) excites *singular delusions* and *convulsions*. It is precisely this faculty that enabled physicians to cure with its aid *dæmonia*² (extraordinary delusions attended with spasmodic movements of the limbs) and other convulsions, as was done by Sidren³ and Wedenberg.⁴ If in the hands of Sidren³ it cured two cases of chorea, one of which had been occasioned by fright and the other by mercurial vapour, it was because it possessed the faculty of exciting similar *involuntary movements of the limbs*, as observed by Kaaw Boerhaave and Lobstein.⁵ Numerous observations,⁶ and among others those made by P. Schenk, have shown us that it can destroy *recollection* and *memory* in a very short time; it can also, according to the testimony of Sauvages and Schinz, cure

¹ C. Crüger, in *Misc. Nat. Cur.*, dec. 3, ann. ii, obs. 88; Boerhaave, *Impetum Faciens*, Leyden, 1745, p. 282; Kellner, in the *Bresl. Samml.*, 1727.

² *Veckoskrift for Läkare*, iv, p. 40, *et seq.*

³ *Diss. de Stramonii usu in Malis Convulsivis*, Upsala, 1773.

⁴ *Diss. de Stramonii usu in Morb. convuls.*, Upsala, 1773.

⁵ *Diss. Morborum Casus*, spec. i, Upsala, 1785.

⁶ The references will be found in the *Mat. Med. Pura.*, vol. ii, Engl. edit.

cough, and on that account only Perry (*Journ. de Méd.*, 1790) was able to cure cough with it.

"The power of *oil of turpentine* (according to Stedman) to cause *strangury*, a kind of *dropsy*, and *pains in the kidney*, endowed this ethereal oil with the homœopathic remedial property of curing some kinds of dropsy and sciatica, as asserted by Home, Herz, Thilenius, Cheyne and others.

"*Chinese tea* is actually nothing but a medicinal substance. We find in the *Nov. Act. N. C.* and in Lettsom *contractive spasm of the stomach* produced by tea; the latter also mentions a *pressive gastralgia* caused by it, which sufficiently accounts for the cure of *cardialgia* in pregnant women by Buchan. According to numerous observations (by Geoffroy, Tode and James, in Lettsom's work) it has not infrequently caused *convulsions* and *epilepsy*, and owing to this property it allays the *convulsions* in measles and smallpox (*Eph. N. C.*, dec. 3, ann. i, obs. 1618); it is also a capital homœopathic remedy for the *exhaustion* caused by over-exertion (Lettsom) only because of its power to cause *general debility*, which was observed by Lettsom, Whytt and Murray; and its property, observed by Lettsom, to cause *drowsiness* enables the Chinese to cure the *somnolence in diseases* (Herrmann)."

weakness of memory. On the same principle, Schmalz¹ succeeded in curing with the aid of this plant a case of melancholy alternating with mania, because, according to A. Costa,² it has the inherent power of exciting similar *alternating mental and emotional aberrations*.

Percival, Stahl, Quarin,³ and other physicians, have observed that *cinchona bark* occasions *pressive pain in the stomach*. Others (Morton, Friborg, Bauer and Quarin) have seen this substance produce *vomiting* and *diarrhœa*; others (D. Crüger and Morton), *syncope*; others, *great debility*; others (Thompson, Richard, Stahl and C. E. Fischer), *a kind of jaundice*; others (Quarin and Fischer), *bitterness of the mouth*; and yet others, *tension of the abdomen*. And it is precisely when all these symptoms or morbid states occur in intermittent fevers that Torti and Cleghorn recommend the use of *chincona* alone.* the advantageous effects of this bark in cases of exhaustion, indigestion and loss of appetite after acute fevers (particularly when these have been treated by venesection and debilitating evacuants), depend on the faculty which it possesses of *depressing excessively the vital powers, producing bodily and mental exhaustion, indigestion and loss of appetite*, as observed by Cleghorn, Friborg, Crüger, Romberg, Stahl,† Thomson⁴ and others.

How would it have been possible to stop various sorts of hæmorrhage with *ipécacuanha*, as effected by Baglivi, Barbeyrac, Gianella, Dalberg, Bergius and others, if this medicine did not of itself possess the faculty of producing hæmorrhages?—as Murray, Scott and Geoffroy⁵ have witnessed.‡ How could it be so effica-

¹ *Chir. und Medic. Vorfälle*, Leipzig, 1784, p. 178.

² In P. Schenck, lib. i, obs. 139.

³ Quoted in my *Mat. Med. Pur.* [Engl. edit.], i.

⁴ *Vide* my *Mat. Med. Pur.*, i.

⁵ *Ibid.*

* Recommended by these two last authors in spite of, not because of these complications.

† Cleghorn, Friborg, Romberg, Stahl, from its use in agues.

‡ The first edition has the following: "Piso, Huck, and Meyer. and many

cious in asthma, and particularly in spasmodic asthma, as it is described to have been by Akenside,¹ Meyer,² Bang,³ Stoll,⁴ Fouquet,⁵ and Ranoë,⁶ if it did not of itself produce (without causing any evacuation) *asthma* in general and *spasmodic asthma* in particular, as Murray,⁷ Geoffroy⁸ and W. Scott⁹ have observed it to do? Could any clearer hints be given that medicines ought to be applied to the cure of diseases according to the morbid effects which they produce?

It would be impossible to conceive how *ignatia* could be so efficacious in a certain kind of convulsions, as we are assured it is by Hermann,¹⁰ Valentine,¹¹ and an anonymous writer,¹² if it did not possess the power of exciting similar *convulsions*, as witnessed by Bergius,¹³ Camelli,¹⁴ and Durius.¹⁵

Persons who have been injured by a *blow* or a *contusion* feel pains in the side, a desire to vomit, spasmodic lancinating and burning pains in the hypochondria, accompanied by anxiety, tremors and involuntary starts when waking and in sleep, similar to those produced by an electric shock, formication in the injured parts, &c. As *arnica montana* produces similar symptoms, according to the observations of Meza, Vicat, Crichton, Collin, Aaskow, Stoll and J. C. Lange,¹⁶ it may be easily conceived that this plant will cure the effects of a blow, fall or bruise,

¹ *Medic. Transact.*, i, No. 7, p. 39.

² *Diss. de Ipecac. refracta dosi usu*, p. 34.

³ *Praxis Medica*, p. 346.

⁴ *Praelectiones*, p. 221.

⁵ *Journal de Médecine*, Tom. 62, p. 137.

⁶ In *Act. Reg. Soc. Med. Hafn.*, ii, p. 163; iii, p. 361.

⁷ *Medic. Pract. Bibl.*, p. 237.

⁸ *Traite de la matière médicale*, ii, p. 157.

⁹ In *Med. Comment. of Edinb.*, iv, p. 74.

¹⁰ *Cynosura Mat. Med.*, ii, p. 231.

¹¹ *Hist. Simplic. Reform.*, p. 194, §4.

¹² In *Act. Berol.*, dec. ii, vol. x, p. 12.

¹³ *Materia Medica*, p. 150.

¹⁴ *Philos. Trans.*, vol. xxi, No. 250.

¹⁵ *Miscell. Nat. Cur.*, dec. iii, ann. 9, 10.

¹⁶ Vide *Materia Medica Pura*, i.

other physicians vouch for the power of *ipecacuanha* to allay diarrhoea. But how could it do this unless it had the power to cause purging (Murray)?”

as is familiar to the experience of a host of physicians and even of whole nations for centuries past.

Among the effects which *belladonna* excites when administered to persons in sound health, are symptoms which, taken collectively, present a picture greatly resembling that species of *hydrophobia* and *rabies canina* which Mayerne,¹ Münch,² Buchholz³ and Neimike⁴ really cured in a perfect manner (homœopathically) with this plant.⁵ The *futile attempt to sleep, the embarrassed respiration, the anxious burning thirst for liquids which when presented to the patient he rejects with violence; the flushed countenance, fixed and sparkling eyes* (as observed from *belladonna* by F. C. Grimm), *the suffocation caused by drinking with inordinate thirst* (according to E. Camerarius and Sauter); *the general inability to swallow anything* (as affirmed by May, Lottinger, Sicelius, Buchave, D'Hermon, Manetti, Vicat and Cullen); *the desire to bite those around him alternating with terror* (as seen by Sauter, Dumoulin, Buchave and Mardorf); *the inclination to spit all around him* (according to Sauter); *and to run away* (as we are informed by Dumoulin, E. Gmelin and Buc'hoz); and *the continual moving about of the body* (as witnessed by Boucher, E. Gmelin, and Sauter).⁶ *Belladonna* has also cured different kinds of mania and melancholy, as reported by Evers, Schmucker, Schmalz, the two Münch and

¹ *Praxeos in Morbis Internis Syntagma Alterum*, Vienna, 1697, p. 136.

² *Beobachtungen bei angewendeter Belladonne bei den Menschen*, Stendal, 1789.

³ *Heilsame Wirkungen der Belladonne in ausgebrochener Wuth*, Erfurt, 1785.

⁴ In J. H. Münch's *Beobachtungen*, Th. i, p. 74.

⁵ If *belladonna* has frequently failed in cases of developed rabies, we ought to remember that it can only cure the disease by similarity of action, consequently it ought to be administered, like all homœopathic remedies, only in the smallest possible doses (as is shown in the *Organon*, §§ 275—283). But it was generally administered in monstrously large doses, so that the patients *necessarily* died, not of the disease, but of the remedy. However, it is probable that there is more than one degree or species of hydrophobia and rabies, and consequently the most suitable homœopathic remedy is sometimes *hyoscyamus*, and sometimes *stramonium*, according to the symptoms.

⁶ *Vide my Materia Medica Pura*, art. *Belladonna*.

others, because it possesses the faculty of producing peculiar kinds of *insanity*; such *belladonna mental diseases* are recorded by Rau, Grimm, Hasenest, Mardorf, Hoyer, Dillenius and others.¹ Henning,² after vainly endeavouring, during three months, to cure a case of amaurosis with coloured spots before the eyes by a variety of medicines, at length arbitrarily adopted the idea that this malady might perhaps be occasioned by gout (which the patient had never had); and upon this supposition he was, by chance as it were, induced to prescribe *belladonna*,³ which effected a speedy cure without any inconvenience. He would undoubtedly have made choice of this medicine at first had he known that it was not possible to perform a certain and permanent cure but by the aid of a remedy which produces symptoms similar to those of the disease itself; and that, according to this infallible law of nature, *belladonna* could not fail to cure this case homœopathically, since, as Sauter⁴ and Buchholz⁵ witnessed, it excites a species of *amaurosis with coloured spots before the eyes*.

*Henbane** has cured convulsions which strongly resembled and, indeed, were taken for epilepsy, as witnessed by Mayerne,⁶ Stoerck, Collin and others. This it did because it excites *convulsions very similar to those of epilepsy*, as recorded in the writings of E. Camerarius, C. Seliger, Hünerwolf, A. Hamilton, Planchon, A. Costa and

¹ Referred to in my *Materia Medica Pura*, loc. cit.

² In *Hufeland's Journal*, xxv, 4, pp. 70—74.

³ Mere conjecture alone has led physicians to rank *belladonna* among the remedies for gout. The disease which can, with propriety, be denominated gout never will nor can be cured by *belladonna*.

⁴ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xi.

⁵ *Ibid.*, vol. i, p. 252.

⁶ *Prax. Med.*, p. 23.

* The first edition has:

"The sleeplessness usually kept up by anxiety mentioned by some observers (Blom, Planchon) as occurring on the commencement of the action of *henbane*, is obviously the sole reason for its great faculty for causing sleep in similar idiopathic *agrypnias*, in which palliative hypnotic action, according to Stoerck, it is greatly superior to *opium*."

others.^{1*} Fothergill,² Stoerck, Hellwig and Ofterdinger have used *hyoscyamus* with success in certain kinds of mental derangement. But many more physicians might have used it successfully in such affections had they confined it to the cure of that species of mental alienation which *hyoscyamus* is capable of producing in its primary action, viz., a kind of *stupid mental derangement*, that Van Helmont, Wedel, J. G. Gmelin, La Serre, Hünervolf, A. Hamilton, Kiernander, J. Stedmann, Tozzetti, J. Faber and Wendt saw produced by this plant.³ From the effects of *hyoscyamus* observed by the last-named authors a picture of hysteria of considerable severity may be constructed; and a very similar one would be cured by this plant, as we find in J. A. P. Gessner, Stoerck and in the *Act. Nat. Cur.*⁴ Schenkbecher⁵ would never have succeeded in curing a vertigo of twenty years' standing with *henbane* if this plant were not endowed by nature with the power of producing so universally and in such intensity a *similar vertigo*, as attested by Hünervolf, Blom, Navier, Planchon, Sloane, Stedmann, Greding, Wepfer, Vicat, and Bernigau.⁶ A man, affected with jealous mania, was for a long time uselessly teased with other drugs by Mayer Abramson,⁷ when, intending to give a soporific, he accidentally administered *hyoscyamus*, which naturally effected a speedy cure. Had he known that this plant excites *jealousy* and *mania* in the healthy⁸ and had he been acquainted with homœopathy (the only natural rule of therapeutics), he would have

¹ See *Materia Medica Pura*, vol. i.

² *Memoirs of Med. Soc. of London*, i, pp. 310, 314.

³ See *Materia Medica Pura*, i, 670—3.

⁴ IV, obs. 8.

⁵ *Von der Kinkina Schierling, Bilsenkraut, &c.*, Riga, 1769, App., p. 162.

⁶ Vide *Materia Medica Pura*, vol. i.

⁷ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xix, 2, p. 60.

⁸ Vide *Materia Medica Pura*, vol. i [“Jealousy” is only given by Hahnemann himself].

* The first edition has here:

“Greding saw a spasmodic cough produced by this plant, and this should teach us that it is a powerful remedy for similar cough, as indeed Friccus, Rosenstein, Dubb and Stoerck found it to be.”

been able to select *hyoscyamus* from the very commencement with perfect confidence, and thus have avoided tormenting the patient with drugs which, not being homœopathic, could do no good in such a case. The mixture of medicines which was employed with the greatest success by Hecker¹ in a case of *spasmodic closure of the eyelids*, would have proved ineffectual if some happy chance had not included *hyoscyamus*, which, according to Wepfer,² excites a similar affection in healthy persons. Neither did Withering³ succeed in curing a spasmodic constriction of the pharynx, with inability to swallow, until he administered *hyoscyamus* which has a peculiar power of causing a *spasmodic constriction of the throat with inability to swallow*, an effect which Tozzetti, Hamilton, Bernigau, Sauvages and Hünnerwolf⁴ undoubtedly saw it produce in a high degree.*

How could *camphor* produce such extraordinary salutary effects as the veracious Huxham⁵ says it does, in the so-called low nervous fevers, where the temperature of the body is lowered, the sensibility diminished and the vital powers greatly depressed, if in its primary action upon the body *camphor* were not able to produce a *state precisely similar*, as observed by W. Alexander, Cullen and F. Hoffman?⁶†

Strong *wine* in small doses cures, homœopathically,

¹ *Hufeland's Journal*, i, p. 354.

² *De Cicuta aquatica*, Basil, 1716, p. 320. [Wepfer's observation is "inability to open the eyelids."]

³ *Edinb. Med. Comment.*, dec. 2, vol. vi, p. 263.

⁴ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. i.

⁵ *Opera*, t. i, p. 172; t. ii, p. 84.

⁶ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. i.

* The first edition has this paragraph:

"The pains in limbs and joints which A. Richard (in *P. Schenck*) experienced from *aconite* were of the same kind as those cured by many physicians mentioned by Murray by means of the plant; so that the homœopathic cause of its remedial power is evident "

† The first edition adds:

"The sensitiveness of the organism amounting to the most intense pain combined with heat in influenza, is quickly removed by *camphor*, but only *palliatively*, hence its doses must be always increased and repeated in order that it shall gain the mastery over this acute disease."

fevers of a purely *inflammatory* character, as C. Crivellati,¹ H. Augenius,² A. Mundella³ and two anonymous writers⁴ observed.* Asclepiades⁵ cured an *inflammation of the brain* with a *small quantity of wine*. A case of feverish delirium like senseless intoxication, with stertorous breathing, similar to the drunken state caused by wine, was cured by Rademacher⁶ in a single night by *wine*. Can anyone fail to recognise here the power of an analogous medicinal irritation (*similia similibus*)?

A strong infusion of *tea* produces *anxiety* and *palpitation of the heart* in persons who are not in the habit of drinking it; on the other hand, if taken in small quantities, it is an excellent remedy for such symptoms when produced by other causes, as testified by G. L. Rau.⁷

A condition of convulsions without consciousness resembling the death agony, alternating with attacks of spasmodic and jerky, sometimes also sobbing and stertorous respiration, with icy coldness of the face and body, lividity of the feet and hands and feebleness of the pulse (precisely resembling the symptoms of *opium* observed by Schweikert and others),⁸ was at first treated unsuccessfully by Stütz⁹ with potash, but afterwards cured in a speedy, perfect, and permanent manner by *opium*. In this instance, who can fail to recognise homœopathic treatment unwittingly employed? According to Vicat, J. C. Grimm and others,¹⁰ *opium* produces an *extreme and almost irresistible tendency to sleep, accompanied by profuse perspiration and*

¹ *Trattato dell' uso e modo di dare il vino nelle febri acute*, Rome, 1600.

² *Epist.*, t. ii, lib. 2, ep. 8

³ *Epist.*, xiv, Basil., 1538.

⁴ *Eph Nat. Cur.*, dec. ii, ann. 2, obs. 53; *Gazette de Santé*, 1738.

⁵ *Cœl. Aurelianus*, *Acut.*, lib. i, c. 16.

⁶ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xvi, i, p. 92.

⁷ *Ueber den Werth des homöopathischen Heilv.*, Heidelberg, 1824, p. 75.

[This paragraph appears only in the fourth edition.]

⁸ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. ii.

⁹ In *Hufeland's Journal*, x, 4.

¹⁰ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. ii.

* The first edition says:

"Strong wine often, as Murray testifies, allays a troublesome *heat* of the body and the excessive excitement of the pulse—obviously homœopathically!"

delirium. This was the reason why Osthoff,¹ was afraid to administer it in an epidemic fever which exhibited *similar symptoms*, for the system he pursued prohibited the use of it under such circumstances. (O wretched system!)* It was only after having employed in vain all the known remedies and seeing death imminent he resolved to try it at all hazards, and behold! it was always efficacious, as it *must*, in conformity with the eternal law of homœopathy. J. Lind² also avowed "that *opium* removes the head troubles and the burning sensation in the skin and difficulty of perspiring during the pyrexia; the head becomes free, the burning febrile heat disappears, the skin becomes soft and its surface bathed in a profuse perspiration." But Lind was not aware that the salutary effect of *opium* is (contrary to the axioms of the medical schools) owing to the circumstance of its producing very similar morbid symptoms in the healthy. There have, nevertheless, been physicians here and there across whose minds this truth passed like a flash of lightning without ever giving birth to a suspicion of the homœopathic law of nature. For example, Alston³ says that *opium* is a remedy that excites heat, notwithstanding which it certainly diminishes heat where it already exists. De la Guérène⁴ administered *opium* in a case of fever attended with violent headache, tension and hardness of the pulse, dryness and roughness of the skin, burning heat, and hence difficult and debilitating perspirations, constantly interrupted by the extreme restlessness of the patient. He was successful with this remedy because *opium* possesses the faculty of creating an *exactly similar feverish state* in healthy persons, of which he knew nothing, though it is stated by many observers.^{5†}

¹ In *Salzburger Med. Chirurg. Zeitung*, 1805, iii, p. 110.

² *Versuch über die Krankheiten denen die Europäer in heissen Klimaten unterworfen sind*, Riga and Leipzig, 1773.

³ In *Edinb. Essays*, v, pt. 1, art. 12.

⁴ In Römer's *Annalen der Arzneimittellehre*, 1, ii, p. 6.

⁵ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. ii.

* This interjection appears first in the second edition.

† The observations of Lind, Alston, De la Guérène, Wirthenson, Sydenham, Marcus, De Meza, De Haen, Tralles, Lentilius, Wedel, Bell, Heister, Richter, Bohn and F. Hoffmann appear first in the second edition.

In a fever where the patients were speechless, eyes open, limbs stiff, pulse small and intermittent, respiration laboured, snoring and stertorous, and deep somnolence (all of which are symptoms *perfectly similar* to those which *opium* excites, according to the report of Delacroix, Rademacher, Crumpe, Pyl, Vicat, Sauvages and many others,)¹ this was the only substance which C. L. Hoffmann² saw produce any good effects, *which was quite natural* and it was *homœopathic*. Wirthenson,³ Sydenham⁴ and Marcus⁵ have in like manner cured lethargic fevers with *opium*. A case of lethargy which De Meza⁶ cured would yield only to this substance, which, in such cases, acts homœopathically, since it produces *lethargy* itself. C. C. Matthäi,⁷ in an obstinate case of nervous disease, where the principal symptoms were insensibility and numbness of the arms, legs and belly, after having for a long time treated it with inappropriate, that is to say, non-homœopathic remedies, at length effected a cure by *opium*, which, according to Stütz, J. Young and others,⁸ causes *similar states* in an intense degree, consequently, as every one must perceive, only cures homœopathically. The cure of a case of lethargy, of several days' duration, which Hufeland performed by the use of *opium*,⁹ by what other law could this have been effected, than by the homœopathic, which has remained unacknowledged till the present time? In a case of epilepsy where the fits occurred only during sleep, De Haen discovered that it was not a natural sleep, but a lethargic stupor with stertorous respiration, precisely similar to that which *opium* produces in healthy persons; it was only by means of *opium* that he transformed it into a healthy sleep, while at

¹ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. ii.

² *Von Scharbock, Lustseuche, &c.*, Münster, 1787, p. 295.

³ *Opii vires fibras cordis delibitare, &c.*, Münster, 1775.

⁴ *Opera*, p. 654.

⁵ *Magazin für Therapie*, 1, i, p. 7.

⁶ *Act. reg. soc. med. Hafn.*, iii, p. 202.

⁷ In Struve's *Triumph der Heilk.*, iii.

⁸ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. ii.

⁹ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xii, 1.

the same time he delivered the patient of his epilepsy.¹ How is it possible that *opium*, which, as every one knows, of all vegetable substances is the one which in its primary action (in small doses) produces the most severe and obstinate *constipation* should be one of the most efficient remedies in cases of constipation of the most dangerous character, were it not by virtue of the homœopathic therapeutic law so long unrecognised—that is to say, if nature had not ordained that medicines should overcome and cure natural diseases by their peculiar power of producing similar affections? *Opium*, whose primary action in obstructing the evacuation of, and constipating the bowels, was discovered by Tralles² to be the only cure for ileus, after he had treated the patient ineffectually with purgatives and other unsuitable remedies. Lentilius³ and G. W. Wedel,⁴ Wirthenson, Bell, Heister and Richter,⁵ have also confirmed the efficacy of *opium* in such cases, even when administered alone. The honest Bohn⁶ was likewise convinced by experience that *opiates* were the *only* remedies that would evacuate the contents of the bowels in the colic called *miserere*; and the celebrated F. Hoffmann,⁷ in the most dangerous cases of this nature, placed his sole reliance on *opium* combined in the anodyne liquor called after his name. Can all the theories contained in the two hundred thousand medical books which cumber the earth furnish us with a rational explanation of this and so many other similar facts, seeing that they know nothing about the homœopathic law of cure? Have their doctrines guided us to this law of nature that obtains in every real, speedy and permanent cure, viz. that we should employ for the cure of diseases medicines which show a

¹ *Ratio Medendi*, v, p. 126.

² *Opii usus et abusus*, sect. ii, p. 260.

³ *Eph. Nat. Cur.*, dec. 3, ann. i, app, p. 131.

⁴ *Opiologia*, p. 120.

⁵ *Anfangsgründe der Wundarzneikunde*, v, § 328; *Chronische Krankheiten*, Berlin, 1816, ii, p. 220.

⁶ *De Officio Medici*.

⁷ *Medicin. rat. system.*, t. iv, p. ii, p. 297. [Hoffmann's *Liquor anodynus* is composed of one part of ether to four parts of alcohol.]

similarity of effects when tested on healthy human beings?

Rave¹ and Wedekind² cured serious uterine hæmorrhages with *savine*, which, as every loose wench knows, causes *uterine hæmorrhage*, and consequently abortion, in healthy women. Could anyone, in this case, fail to perceive the therapeutic rule of similarity, to wit, homœopathy?

In that species of spasmodic asthma called after Millar, how could *musk* cure almost specifically if it did not of itself produce *fits of spasmodic suffocating constriction of the chest without cough*,* as observed by F. Hoffmann!³

Could the *cow-pox* protect us from smallpox otherwise than homœopathically? Without mentioning any other traits of close resemblance existing between these two maladies they have this in common: they generally appear but once during the course of a person's life; they leave behind similar deep cicatrices; they both occasion tumefaction of the axillary glands, fevers that are analogous, an inflamed areola round each pock, and even ophthalmia and convulsions.† The *cow-pox* would on its first appearance even destroy the smallpox infection, therefore it would cure this malady when already present if the smallpox were not stronger than it. To produce this effect, then, it only wants that excess of power which, according to the law of nature, ought to accompany the homœopathic resemblance in order to effect a cure (§ 158). We can, therefore, only employ this homœopathic remedy previous to the appearance of the stronger smallpox. When so employed it excites a disease very similar (homœopathic) to the smallpox; after it has run its course, as the human body can as a

¹ *Beobachtungen und Schlüsse*, ii, p. 7.

² In *Hufeland's Journal*, x, i, p. 77, and in his *Aufsätzen*, p. 278.

³ *Med. Ration. System.*, iii, p. 92.

* Millar's asthma (laryngismus stridulus) is not a constriction of the chest. Stapf's symptom in the *Mat. Med. Pura* is more like it: "In the larynx, sensation like sulphur vapour, with constriction of the windpipe."

† This paragraph ends here in first edition.

rule only be attacked once in its life with a disease of this nature (cow-pox or smallpox), it is henceforward protected for life against cow- or smallpox.¹

It is well known that *retention of urine with strangury* is one of the most common and troublesome symptoms *cantharides* produces, as has been more than sufficiently testified to by J. Camerarius, Baccius, Van Hilden, Forest, J. Lanzoni, Van der Wiel and Werlhoff.² *Cantharides*, cautiously administered internally, ought consequently to be a very salutary homœopathic remedy in similar cases of painful dysuria. And this is in reality the case. For without enumerating all the Greek physicians who, instead of our *cantharides*, made use of *meloë cichorii*, Fabricius ab Aquapendente, Capo di Vacca, Riedlin, Th. Bartholin,³ Young,⁴ Smith,⁵ Raymond,⁶ De Meza,⁷ Brisbane,⁸ and others, performed perfect cures of very painful ischuria that was not dependent upon any mechanical obstacle, with *cantharides*. Huxham saw this remedy produce the best effects in such cases; he praises it highly, and would willingly have made use of it had not the traditional precepts of the old school of medicine (which, deeming itself wiser than nature and experience, prescribes in such cases sedative and relaxing remedies) prevented him, contrary to his own conviction, from using a remedy which, in such cases, is specific, *i. e.*, homœopathic.⁹ In cases of recent inflammatory gonor-

¹ This mode of homœopathic cure *in antecessum* (which is called precavation and prophylaxis) also appears possible in some other cases. For example, by carrying powdered sulphur in our clothes we are preserved from infection by the itch of wool-workers; and by taking the smallest possible dose of *belladonna* we are protected from the (now rare) smooth scarlet fever of Sydenham, Withering and Plencitz when it prevails epidemically in the neighbourhood.

² See my *Fragmenta de viribus medicamentorum posilivis*, Leipzig, 1805, i, p. 83.

³ *Epist.*, 4, p. 345.

⁴ *Phil. Trans.*, No. 280.

⁵ *Medic. Communications*, ii, p. 505.

⁶ In *Auserlesene Abhandl. für pract. Aerzte*, iii, p. 460.

⁷ *Act. reg. soc. med. Hafn.*, ii, p. 302.

⁸ *Auserlesene Fälle*, Altenburg, 1777.

⁹ *Opera*, edit. Reichel, t. ii, p. 124. [In the first edition it is said to

rhœa, where Sachs von Lewenheim, Hannæus, Bartholin, Lister, Mead and particularly Werlhoff administered *cantharides* in very small doses with perfect success, this substance manifestly removed the most urgent symptoms of the commencing disease¹ by virtue of the faculty it possesses (according to the testimony of almost every observer) of exciting *painful ischuria*, *scalding urine*, *inflammation of the urethra* (Wendt), and even when merely applied externally, a species of *inflammatory gonorrhœa* (Wichmann).²

The administration of *sulphur* internally very often occasions, in persons of a sensitive disposition, *tenesmus*, sometimes attended with *vomiting* and *griping*, as attested by Walther.³ It is by virtue of this property which *sulphur* possesses that physicians have been able⁴ to cure with it dysentery and hæmorrhoidal tenesmus, as observed by Werlhoff,⁵ and, according to Rave,⁶ hæmorrhoidal colics. It is well known that the Tœplitz waters, like all other warm *sulphurous* mineral waters, often cause a so-called

cause and cure *sciatica* on the authority of Van Hilden, Hollerius, Riedlin, Boerhaave, Tralles, Tissot, Medicus, Tode and others.]

¹ I say "the most urgent symptoms of the commencing disease" because the subsequent treatment demands other considerations; for, although there may have been cases of gonorrhœa so slight as to disappear very soon of themselves and almost without any assistance whatever, still there are others of a grave nature, especially that which has become so common since the time of the French campaigns, which might be termed *condylomatous gonorrhœa*, and which is communicated by coitus like the chancrous venereal disease, although it is of a very different nature. [The first edition has not this note. In the second edition we have further information about the syctic disease: "The condylomata seldom come alone without any discharge from the genitals, more frequently with preputial or urethral blennorrhœa, especially when these are suppressed by injections. It is a product of an infection of the whole organism and can only be cured by internal remedies, but never by mercury. Besides the genitals the condylomata affect the anus, the axilla, the hairy scalp and especially the buccal cavity and the lips." This passage is omitted in the later edition.]

² *Auswahl aus den Nürnberger gelehrten Unterhaltungen*, i, p. 249, note.

³ *Progr. de Sulphure et Marte*, Lips., 1743, p. 5.

⁴ *Medic. National-Zeitung*, 1798, p. 153.

⁵ *Observat. de Febribus*, p. 3, § 6.

⁶ In *Hufeland's Journal*, vii, 2, p. 168.

bath-rash which strongly resembles the *itch of wool workers*. It is precisely by means of this homœopathic power that these baths remove various kinds of psoric eruptions. Can there be anything more *suffocating* than *sulphurous fumes*? And Bucquet¹ found the fumes of *burning sulphur* to be the best means of reanimating persons in a state of asphyxia produced by other causes.

From the writings of Beddoes and others we learn that the English physicians found *nitric acid* of great utility in salivation and ulceration of the mouth occasioned by the use of mercury. This acid could never have proved useful in such cases if it did not of itself excite *salivation* and *ulceration of the fauces*. To produce these effects, it is not necessary to administer it by the mouth, merely bathing the surface of the body with it suffices, as Scott² and Blair³ observed. The same effects have also been observed from its internal administration, by Aloyn,⁴ Luke,⁵ J. Ferriar⁶ and G. Kellie.⁷

Fritze⁸ saw a species of *tetanus* produced by a bath containing *caustic potash*, and Alex. von Humboldt⁹ by the application of a solution of *salt of tartar* (which is a sort of semi-caustic *potash*) was able to increase the irritability of the muscles to such a degree as to excite *tetanic convulsions*. Could the curative power which *caustic potash* exercises in that kind of tetanus, in which Stütz* and others have found it so useful, be accounted for in a more simple or rational manner than by the homœopathic similarity of its effects?

Arsenic, whose effects are so powerful upon the human

¹ *Edinb. Med. Comment.*, ix.

² In *Hufeland's Journal*, iv, p. 353.

³ *Neueste Erfahrungen*, Glogau, 1801.

⁴ In the *Mémoires de la Soc. Méd. d'émulation*, i, p. 195.

⁵ In Beddoes.

⁶ In the *Sammlung auserles. Abhandl. für pract. Aerzte*, xix, 2.

⁷ *Ibid.*, xix, 1, p. 116.

⁸ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xii, 1, p. 116.

⁹ *Versuch über die gereizte Muskel- und Nervenfaser*, Posen and Berlin, 1797.

* Stütz used opium in conjunction with it.

health that we cannot decide whether it is more horrible in the hands of the rash than admirable in those of the prudent,—could never have effected so many remarkable cures of cancers in the face, as witnessed by numerous physicians, among whom I will only cite Fallopius,¹ Bernhardt² and Roennow,³ if this metallic oxide did not possess the homœopathic power of producing in healthy persons, *very painful tubercles very difficult to cure*, as witnessed by Amatus Lusitanus;⁴ deep burrowing *malignant ulcerations*, according to the testimony of Heinrich⁵ and Knapc;⁶ and *cancerous ulcers*, as recorded by Heinze.⁷ The ancients would not have been so unanimous in their praise of the arsenical, so-called magnetic plaster of Angelus Sala⁸ in plague buboes and carbuncles, if *arsenic* did not, according to the observations of Degner⁹ and Knapc,¹⁰ give rise to inflammatory swellings which *quickly became gangrenous* and to *black pocks*, as observed by Verzascha¹¹ and Pfann.¹² And whence does it derive its curative power, demonstrated so many thousands of times, in certain forms of intermittent fever (though it was not employed with due caution) and highly extolled for centuries, first by Nicholas Myrepsus and subsequently by Slevogt, Molitor, Jacobi, J. C. Bernhardt, Jüngken, Fauve, Brera, Darwin, May, Jackson and Fowler, if it were not based on the power of *arsenic* to cause a *peculiar fever*, as almost every observer of the deleterious effects of this substance has distinctly

¹ *De ulceribus et tumoribus*, lib. 2, Venice, 1563.

² In the *Journal de Médecine, Chirurg. et Pharm.*, lvii, March, 1782.

³ *Konigl. vetensk. acad. Handl. f. a.* 1776.

⁴ *Obs. et cur.*, cent ii, cur. 34.

⁵ *Act. nat. cur.*, ii, obs. 10.

⁶ *Annalen der Staatsarzneikunde*, i, 1.

⁷ Vide an article by Ebers in *Hufeland's Journal* for September, 1813, p. 48.

⁸ *Anatom. Vitrioli*, tr. ii in *Opera med. chym.*, Frankfort, 1647, pp. 381, 463.

⁹ *Act. nat. cur.*, vi.

¹⁰ *Annalen der Staatsarzneikunde*, loc. cit.

¹¹ *Obs. med. cent.*, Basel, 1677, obs. 66.

¹² *Samml. merkwürd. Fälle*, Nürnberg, 1750, pp. 119, 130.

remarked, especially Amatus Lusitanus, Degner, Buchholz, Heun and Knape?¹ We may confidently believe E. Alexander,² when he tells us that *arsenic* is a sovereign remedy in some kinds of angina pectoris since Otto Tachenius, Guilbert, Preussius, Thilenius and Pyl have seen it give rise to *oppression of the respiration*, Greiselius³ to a *dyspnœa almost amounting to suffocation*; and Majault⁴ in particular, saw it produce *sudden attacks of asthma excited by walking, attended with great depression of the vital powers*.

The convulsions caused by *copper*, and, according to Tondi, Ramsay, Fabas, Pyl and Cosmier, by the ingestion of things containing *copper*; the reiterated *attacks of epilepsy* which J. Lazerme⁵ saw result from swallowing a copper coin, and which Pfündel⁶ saw produced by copper, sufficiently explain to the reflecting physician how *copper* has been able to cure a kind of chorea, as reported by R. Willan,⁷ Walcker,⁸ Thuessink,⁹ and Delarive,¹⁰ and epilepsy, of which Batty, Baumes, Bierling, Boerhaave, Causland, Cullen, Duncan, Feuerstein, Helvetius, Lieb, Magennis, C. F. Michaelis, Reil, Russell, Stisser, Thilenius, Weissmann, Weizenbreyer, Withers and others have recorded so many striking cures by *preparations of copper*.

If Poterius, Wepfer, Wedel, F. Hoffmann, R. A. Vogel, Thierry and Albrecht have cured a species of phthisis, hectic fever, chronic catarrh and humid asthma with *tin*, it is because this metal possesses the faculty of producing a

¹ See *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. i.

² *Med. Comm. of Edinb.*, dec. ii, t. i, p. 85.

³ *Misc. Nat. Cur.*, dec. i, ann. 2, p. 149. [Tachenius and Greiselius from inhalation of the sublimated metallic acid.]

⁴ In the *Sammlung auserles. Abhandl. für Aerzte*, vii, 1. [Majault's words are, "Much lassitude and oppression of the breath in walking."]

⁵ *De morbis internis capitis*, Amsterdam, 1748, p. 253.

⁶ In *Hufeland's Journal*, ii, p. 264; and according to the testimony of Burdach, in his *System d. Arzneien*, i, Leip., 1807, p. 284.

⁷ *Sammlung auserles. Abhandl.*, xii, p. 62.

⁸ *Ibid.*, xi, 3, p. 672.

⁹ *Waarnemingen*, No. 18.

¹⁰ In Kühn's *Phys. Med. Journal*, January, 1800, p. 58.

species of *phthisis*, as G. E. Stahl¹ observed. And how could it cure *pains of the stomach*, as Geischläger says it does, if it were not capable of exciting a similar malady? Geischläger himself,² and Stahl³ before him, have proved that it does possess this power.*

The evil effects of *lead*, which produces the most *obstinate constipation* and even *ileus* (as Thunberg, Wilson, Luzuriaga and others observed), do they not also give us to understand that this metal possesses a corresponding curative power? Ought not *lead*, as surely as every other medicine in the world, by virtue of its disease-causing power, to subdue and cure in a permanent manner (homœopathically) similar natural diseases? Certainly it ought! Angelus Sala⁴ cured a species of *ileus*, and J. Agricola⁵ another dangerous constipation by administering this metal internally. The *saturnine pills* with which many physicians (Chirac, Van Helmont, Naudeau, Pererius, Rivinus, Sydenham, Zacutus Lusitanus, Bloch and others) cured the *iliac passion* and other obstinate constipations, did not operate merely in a mechanical manner by reason of their weight (for, if such had been the cause of their efficacy, gold, whose weight is greater than that of lead, would have been preferable), but the pills acted specially as a saturnine internal remedy and cured homœopathically. If Otto Tachenius and Saxtorph† formerly cured obstinate hypochondriacal sufferings with the aid of *lead*, we ought to bear in mind that this metal tends of itself to cause hypochondriacal

¹ *Mat. Med.*, cap. vi, p. 83.

² In *Hufeland's Journal*, January, 1800, p. 58.

³ *Mat. Med.*, loc. cit.

⁴ *Opera*, p. 213.

⁵ *Comment. in J. Poppii Chym. Med.*, Lips., 1638, p. 223.

* The first edition has the following:

"Amelung's cure of a kind of ulcerative pulmonary disease by the internal employment of *lead* points to the tendency of this metal to cause a kind of consumption when applied externally, which Boerhaave observed."

† In the first edition Ettmüller is given as the authority in place of Saxtorph.

ailments, as may be seen in the description Lazuriaga gives of its ill effects.¹

We ought not to be surprised that Marcus² speedily cured an inflammatory swelling of the tongue and of the pharynx with a remedy (*mercury*) which, according to the daily experience of many physicians, has a specific tendency to produce *inflammation and tumefaction of the interior of the mouth*, phenomena to which it gives rise when merely applied to the surface of the body in the form of ointment or plaster, as witnessed by Degner,³ Friese,⁴ Alberti,⁵ Engel⁶ and others. The *weakening of the intellectual faculties* (Swediaur⁷), *imbecility* (Degner⁸) and *mental alienation*, which Larry⁹ observed from the use of *mercury*, joined to the almost specific faculty which this metal is known to possess of exciting *salivation*, explain how W. Perfect¹⁰ was enabled, by the use of *mercury*, to cure in a permanent manner a case of melancholia alternating with increased secretion of saliva. How was it preparations of *mercury* proved so successful in the hands of Seelig,¹¹ in the treatment of cynanche tonsillaris accompanied with purpura; in those of Hamilton,¹² Hoffmann,¹³ Marcus,¹⁴ Rush,¹⁵ Colden,¹⁶ Bailey and Michaelis,¹⁷ in the treatment of other bad forms of sore throat? Evidently because this metal is capable of producing a species of sore throat of the worst descrip-

¹ *Recueil périod. de littérature*, i, p. 20.

² *Magazin*, ii, 2.

³ *Act. Nat. Cur.*, vi, App.

⁴ *Geschichte und Versuche einer chirurg. Gesellschaft*, Copenhagen, 1774.

⁵ *Jurisprudentia Medica*, v, p. 600.

⁶ *Specimina Medica*, Berlin, 1781, p. 99.

⁷ *Traité des Malad. vénér.*, ii, p. 368.

⁸ *Loc. cit.*

⁹ *Mémoires et Observations in the Description de l' Egypte*, tom. i.

¹⁰ *Annalen einer Anstalt für Wahnsinnige*, Hanover, 1804.

¹¹ In *Hufeland's Journal*, xvi, i, p. 24.

¹² *Edinb. Med. Comment.*, ix, i, p. 8.

¹³ *Medic. Wochenblatt*, 1787, No. 1.

¹⁴ *Magazin für Specielle Therapie*, ii, p. 334.

¹⁵ *Medic. Observ. and Inquir.*, No. 6.

¹⁶ *Medic. Observ. and Inquir.*, i, No. 19, p. 211.

¹⁷ In *Richter's Chirurg. Biblioth.*, v, pp. 737—739.

tion.¹ It was certainly by homœopathic means that Sauter,² cured an ulcerous inflammation of the mouth accompanied with aphthæ and flow of fœtid saliva, when he prescribed a solution of corrosive sublimate as a gargle, and that Bloch³ removed aphthæ by the use of mercury, since besides other *ulcerations of the mouth* this substance particularly produce a species of *buccal aphthæ*, as we are informed by Schlegel⁴ and Th. Acrey?⁵ Hecker⁶ used various medicinal compounds successfully in a case of caries occurring after smallpox. Fortunately all these mixtures contained *mercury*, to which it may be imagined that this malady will yield (homœopathically), because *mercury* is one of the few medicinal agents which can cause *caries*, as proved by the many excessive mercurial courses used in the treatment of venereal and other diseases, such as those detailed by G. P. Michaelis.⁷ This metal, the prolonged use of which is so dangerous on account of its tendency to cause *caries*, exercises notwithstanding a very salutary homœopathic influence in the caries which follows mechanical injuries of the bones, some very remarkable cases of which have been recorded by J. Schegel,⁸ Jördens,⁹ and J. M. Müller.¹⁰ The cure

¹ Physicians have likewise endeavoured to cure the *croup* by means of *mercury*; but they generally failed in the attempt, because this metal cannot produce, in the mucous membrane of the windpipe, a change similar to that particular modification which is present in this disease.* *Hepar sulphuris calcareum*, which excites cough by impeding respiration, but still better, as I found, the tincture of *burnt sponge* (v. *Mat. Med. Pura*, ii, 85, 143), act more homœopathically in their special effects, and are consequently much more efficacious, particularly when administered in the *smallest doses*.

² In *Hufeland's Journal*, xii, 2.

³ *Medic. Bemerkungen*, p. 161.

⁴ In *Hufeland's Journal*, vii, 4.

⁵ *Lond Med. Journ.*, 1788.

⁶ In *Hufeland's Journal*, i, p. 362.

⁷ *Ibid.*, June, 1809, vi, p. 57.

⁸ *Ibid.*, v, pp. 605, 610.

⁹ *Ibid.*, x, 2.

¹⁰ *Obs. Med. Chirur.*, ii, Case 10.

* In the first three editions *mercury* is credited with the power of producing and curing membranous croup.

of non-venereal caries of another kind, which was likewise effected by means of *mercury* by J. F. W. Neu¹ and J. D. Metzger,² furnishes a fresh proof of the homœopathic curative virtue of this metal.

In perusing the works which have been published on the subject of medical *electricity* it is surprising to see what analogy exists between the morbid symptoms sometimes produced by this agent and the natural diseases which it has cured homœopathically in a durable manner.* Innumerable are the authors who have observed that *acceleration of the pulse* is among the primary effects of positive electricity; Sauvages,³ Delas⁴ and Barillon⁵ have seen *febrile paroxysms* excited by *electricity*. The faculty it has of *producing fever* is the reason why Gardini,⁶ Wilkinson,⁷ Syme⁸ and Wesley⁹ were able to cure a kind of tertian fever with it alone, and why Zetzel¹⁰ and Willermoz¹¹ could cure quartan fevers. It is also known that *electricity* occasions a contraction of the muscles which resembles a *convulsive movement*. De Sans¹² was even enabled by it to excite *continued convulsions* in the

¹ *Diss. Med. Pract.*, Goettingæ, 1776.

² *Adversaria*, pt. 2, sect. 4.

³ In Bertholon de St. Lazare, *Medicinische Electricität*, von Kühn, Leip., 1788, pt. 1, pp. 239, 240.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 232.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 233.

⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 232.

⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 251.

⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 250.

⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 249.

¹⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 52.

¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 250.

¹² *Ibid.*, p. 274.

* The first edition has the following:

"I say nothing here about the cures which *electricity*, as an oppositely acting medicine* sometimes effected in *recent* cases of loss of sensation—apoplexy, paralysis and amaurosis—in robust persons, because, like other palliatives, in the nature of things, it is *never* able to do this in this opposite manner in old chronic paralysis and amaurosis. I only speak of its homœopathic action.

"* It is only in the secondary action of very violent and monstrous electrical shocks that there are observed traces of paralysis of the limbs, loss of sensation, and paralysis of the nerves of hearing and sight."

arm of a young girl as often as he pleased. It is by virtue of this power of causing convulsive movements which electricity possesses that De Sans¹ and Franklin² applied it successfully in morbid convulsions, and that Theden³ cured with its aid a girl, ten years of age, who had lost her speech and partially the use of her left arm by lightning, and yet was subject to a constant involuntary movement of the arms and legs, and a continued spasmodic contraction of the fingers of the left hand.* *Electricity* likewise produces a kind of *sciatica*, as observed by Jallobert⁴ and another;⁵ it has also cured this affection by similarity of effect (homœopathically), as confirmed by Hiortberg, Lovet, Arrigoni, Daboueix, Manduyt, Syme and Wesley. Several physicians have cured a species of ophthalmia by electricity, that is to say, by means of the power which it possesses of exciting similar *inflammations of the eyes*, as observed by Patrick Dickson⁶ and Bertholon.⁷ Finally, it has in the hands of Fushel cured varices; and it owes this sanative virtue to the faculty which Jallobert⁸ ascribes to it of producing *varicose tumors*.‡

¹ In Bertholon de St. Lazare, *Medicinische Electricität*, von Kühn, Leip., 1788, pt. 1, p. 274.

² *Recueil sur l'electr. médic.*, ii, p. 386.

³ *Neue Bemerkungen und Erfahrungen*, iii.

⁴ *Expériences et Observations sur l'electricité*.

⁵ *Philos. Trans.*, vol. lxiii.

⁶ Bertholon. loc. cit., p. 466.

⁷ *Ibid.*, ii, p. 296

⁸ Loc. cit.

* The first edition does not give this observation of Theden, but instead it gives the following:

“Hamilton and De Haen saw electricity produce rheumatic pains, and such pains have been homœopathically and permanently cured in innumerable instances, as testified to by a great number of physicians and naturalists.”

† The first edition has—

“Buisson saw an induration of the mammary glands removed by lightning, and Manduyt cured indurated cervical glands with *electricity*; he could not have done this if this agent were not able to cause swelling of the cervical glands as observed by De Haen.”

‡ The first edition has the following:

“The *galvanic metallic current* which possesses the power (as Ritter, Bischoff and Geiger assert) of shortening the muscles (the positive pole acts on the extensor, the negative on the flexor muscles) was able to cure easily and completely in a few days a case of aphasia of thirteen years’

Albers relates that a warm bath of 100° Fahr. greatly reduced the burning heat of an acute fever with a pulse of 130 per minute, and that it brought the pulse down to 110. Löffler found hot fomentations very useful in encephalitis occasioned by isolation or the action on the head of the heat of stoves,¹ and Callisen² found compresses of hot water on the head the most efficacious of all remedies in inflammation of the brain.*

¹ In *Hufeland's Journal*, iii, p. 690.

² *Act. soc. med.*, Hafn., iv, p. 419.

standing (*Huf. Journ.*, xxiv) which was caused by a stiffness of the tongue. The dose employed was a small one (a single pair of plates) for the cure was homœopathic. The intolerable burning pricking pain which, as is well known, *galvanism* produces, after the circuit is closed, in every sensitive part of our body, explains why a kind of tic douloureux could be cured by a physician by means of the voltaic pile."

* Hahnemann's first homœopathic essay, "On a New Principle, &c.," published in 1796 (*Lesser Writings*, 295), contains twenty-four of these examples of homœopathic treatment in the old school, viz., verat., tabac., agar., millef., nux m., rhus, dulc., sol. n., samb., scilla, stram., chin., ipec., ignat., arnica, bell., hyos., camph., op., dig., ars., val., plumb., merc., besides twenty-nine others not given above, viz., con., æth., cic., cocc., paris, coff., nux vom., viola, olean., ner. antidys., rhod., led., tax., acon., hell., anem. prat., geum, prun. p., lauroc., amygd., dros., æsc., phytol., ulmus, cann., croc., lol. tem., sabad., rheum. His observations on these drugs are well worth attention; even those common to the two works are often differently treated. Unfortunately the earlier work contains no, or very few, references to the sources whence the observations are derived.

To p. 40:

In the fourth edition this paragraph has the following ending:

"If we deduct these, we find that among hundreds of cases treated by these inappropriate methods a single one, by the grace of a kind Providence, was cured rapidly and permanently."

To p. 43:

This long note about isopathy is only found in the fifth edition.

To p. 45:

This illustration occurs also in the *Med. of Exp.*, p. 518, note, and again p. 526.

To p. 46:

In the *Med. of Exp.* (p. 524) this passage is quoted more fully.

To p. 46:

This passage is also given in the *Med. of Exp.*, p. 525.

M.E.	1st.	2nd and 3rd.	4th.	5th.	M.E.	1st.	2nd and 3rd.	4th.	5th.	
		66	{ 50	54		74	101	88	95	
			{ 51	55		75	102	89	96	
		67	52	56		76	103	90	97	
		68	53	57		77	104	91	98	
		69	54	58		78	105	92	99	
		70	55	59		79	106	93	100	
		71	56	60		80	107	94	101	
		72	57	61		81	108*	95	102	
		73	58	62				96	103	
		74	59	63		82	109	97	104	
		75	60	64		83	110	98	105	
		76	61	65		84	111	99	106	
		77	62	66		85	112	100	107	
		78	63	67		86	113	101	108	
		n.to78n.to68	n.to67			87	114	102	109	
						88	115	103	110	
		79	64	68		89	116	104	111	
		80	65	69		90				
		81	66	70		91	{ 117	105	112	
		82	67	71		92	{ 118	106	113	
		83				93	{ 119	107	114	
			68	72		94	120	108	115	
			69	73		95	121	109	116	
				74		n. to 95	122	110	117	
				75		96	123	111	118	
				76		97	124	112	119	
				77		98				
			70	77			125	113	120	
			71	78		99	126	114	121	
			72	79		100	127	115	122	
			73	80		101	128	116	123	
			74	81		102	129	117	124	
						104	130	118	125	
						105	131	119	126	
						103	132	120		
									127	
									128	
						106	{ 133	121		
							{ 134	122		
									129	
			75	82		107				
			84			110	135	123		
			85			108	136	124	130	
			86			514	137	125	131	
			87			to	138	126	132	
			88			516	139	127	133	
			89	76	83		140	128	134	
			90	77	84		141	129	135	
			91	78	85		142	130	136	
			92	79	86					
			93	80	87		111			
			94	81	88					
			95	82	89		112			
			96	83	90		113			
			97	84	91		114			
			98	85	92		115	{ 144	132	138
			99	86	93		116	{ 145	133	139
			100	87	94		117	{ 146	134	140
							118			141

* The third edition has an additional paragraph marked § 108b, identical with § 96 of the fourth and § 103 of the fifth edition.

M.E.	1st.	2nd and 3rd.	4th.	5th.	M.E.	1st.	2nd and 3rd.	4th.	5th.
514		148			159	194	182	185	
to			n. to 135	n. to 141	160	195	183	186	
516	119	149	136	142		196	184	187	
con.	120	150	137	143		197	185	188	
	121	151	138	144	161	198	186	189	
	122					199	187	190	
	123 } 124 }	152	139	145		200	188	191	
	125 } 126 }				162	201	189	192	
		153	140	146	163	202	190	193	
		154	141	147	164	203			
		155	142	148			191	194	
516		156	143	149			192	195	
to		157	144	150	165	204	193	196	
519	127	158	145	151	166	205	194	197	
	128	159	146	152	167	206			
	129	160	147	153	168	207			
	130	161	148	154	169	208	195	198	
	131	162	149	155	170	209			
n. to 131		163	150	156	171	210	196	199	
		164	151	157	172	211	197	200	
539	132	165	152	158		212	198	201	
		166	153	159	173	213	199	202	
		167*	154	160	174	214			
		168	155	161	175	215			
	133	169	156	162	176	216			
	134	170	157	163	177	217			
	135	171	158	164	178	218	200	203	
	136	172	159	165	179	219	201	204	
	137	173	160	166	180	220	202	205	
	138	174	161	167	181	221	203	206	
	139	175	162	168	182	222	204	207	
	140	176	163	169	183	223	205	208	
	141	177	164		184	224	206	209	
	142	178	165			225			
	143	179	166	170	185	226			
	144		167	171	186	227			
			168			228			
	145	180			187	229	207	210	
	146	181	169	172	188	230	208	211	
	147	182	170	173	189	231	209	212	
	148	183	171	174	190	232	210	213	
	149	184	172	175	191	233	211	214	
	150	185	173	176	192	234	212	215	
	151	186	174	177	193	235	213	216	
536	152	187	175	178	194	236	214	217	
	153	188	176	179	195	237	215	218	
	154	189	177	180		238	216	219	
	155	190	178	181		239	217	220	
	156	191	179	182			218	221	
	157	192	180	183			219	222	
	158	193	181	184	196	240	220	223	
					197	241	221	224	
							222	225	

* The third edition has another paragraph numbered § 167*b* identical with § 155 of the fourth and § 161 of the fifth edition.

† The numeration is here incorrect in both editions, neither having any §§ 216, 217 or 218.

M.E.	1st.	2nd	and 3rd.	4th.	5th.	M.E.	1st.	2nd	and 3rd.	4th.	5th.
198	242			223	226		222	282		258	258
				224	227		223	283		259	259
n. to 198	{ 243			{ 225	228	541	{ 224	284		260	260
	{ 244			{ 226	229		{ 225	285		261	261
	245			227	230		226	286		262	262
	246			228	231		227	287		263	263
199				229	232		228	288		264	264
	247	n. to 229	n. to 232				229	289		265	265
								290		266	266
	248						230	291		267	267
	249						231	292		268	268
	250						232	293		269	269
	251			230	233	530					270
				231	234						271
	252 }			232	235	n. to 232	{ 294				
	253 }					233	{ 295				
	254			233	236		{ 296				
	255			234	237	534	{ 234	297	270	272	
	256			235	238	to	{ 235	298	271	273	
	257			236	239	536	{ 236	299	272	274	
				237	240		{ 237	300	273	275	
					241		{ 238				
					242		{ 239				
					243		{ 240	301	274	276	
					244		{ 241	302	275	277	
					245		{ 242	303	276	278	
	200	258 }		240	245		{ 243				
	201	259 }					{ 244	304	277	279	
	202	260 }		241			{ 245				
		261 }		242			{ 246	305	278	280	
525	203	262 }		243	{ 246		{ 247	306	279	281	
to	204	263 }		244	{ 247		{ 248	307	280	282	
531	205	264 }		245	{ 248			308	281	283	
537	206	265 }		246							
538	207	266 }		247							
	208	267 }		248	249						
	209	268 }		249	250						
	210	269 }		250							
	211	270 }			251						
				n. to 250	251						
	212	271 }						309	282	284	
	213	272 }						310	283	285	
	214	273 }		251				311	284	286	
	215	274 }		252	252			312	285	287	
	216	275 }		253	253			313	286	288	
	217	276 }		254	254		530	314	287	289	
	218	{ 277		255	255		to	{ 254	313	286	288
		{ 278		256	256		532	{ 255	314	287	289
	219	279 }						{ 256	315	288	290
	220	280 }						{ 257	316	289	291
	221	281 }		257	257			{ 258	317	290	292
								{ 259	318*		
										291	293
										292	294

*The third edition has two additional paragraphs about *mesmerism* identical with the last two of the later editions.

To title:

The first edition only has a second title for the aphorisms. It is as follows:

“Organon of the Rational System of Medicine according to Homœopathic Rules.”

To § 1:

In the “Medicine of Experience” (*Lesser Writings*, p. 501) the sentence corresponding to this runs thus:

“Medicine is a science of experience, its object is to eradicate diseases by means of remedies.”

In the first edition this aphorism stands thus:

“§ 1. The physician has no higher object than to make sick men well,—to cure, as it is termed.”

The note to it appears first in the second edition.

To § 2:

The first edition only adds in parenthesis “(*rational system of medicine*).”

To § 3:

This aphorism is an expansion of this sentence in the “*Med. of Experience*” (*L. W.*, 501):

“The knowledge of diseases, the knowledge of remedies and the knowledge of their employment constitute the healing art.”

To § 4:

In the first four editions two paragraphs come in here. The following is the final shape they assumed in the fourth edition:

“§ 5. It may be conceded that every disease is dependent on *an alteration in the interior of the human organism*. But this alteration is only *guessed at* by the understanding in a dim and illusory manner from what the morbid symptoms reveal concerning it (and there are no other data for it in non-surgical diseases); *but the exact nature of this inner invisible alteration cannot be ascertained in any reliable manner*.

“§ 6. The invisible morbid alteration in the interior and the alteration in the health perceptible to our senses (totality of the symptoms) together constitute to the eye of curative Omnipotence what we term disease; but the totality of the symptoms is the only side of the disease turned towards the practitioner, this alone is it that is perceptible to him and is the chief thing that he can know

respecting the disease, and that he needs to know to help him to cure it."¹

¹ Note in first edition:

"Therefore I know not how that morbid alteration in diseases that takes place in the interior of the body could have been considered as something extra-essential to the disease and existing independently, as a *cause of the disease*, as its *inner, proximate, first cause (prima causa)*. A thing or a state requires a proximate cause *only in order to be produced*; but once it is there it needs no longer *for its existence* an exciting, a first or a proximate cause.

"Thus, then, the disease, once it is produced, continues independently of its proximate producing cause, and needs the latter no longer for its maintenance. How then can its removal be held to be essential to the cure of the disease? It is impossible that a *prima causa* of its flight should adhere to the flying bullet, and the alteration we can observe in it is only an altered kind of existence—an altered state; and it would be more than ridiculous to assert that we cannot radically remove this state, that we cannot bring the bullet to rest unless we first investigate the *prima causa* of its flight, and then remove this metaphysically ascertained *prima causa*—or remove the alterations produced in the inner essence of the bullet, on which its flight depends.

"Nothing of the sort! A single impulse of equal power opposed to the exact direction of the bullet's flight brings it instantly to rest, without any metaphysical, impossible investigation into the inner essence of the state of the bullet in its flight.

"We only need to know accurately the symptoms of the flight of the bullet—that is to say, the force and the direction of its motion—in order to set against this state an opposing counter-force of equal power, and thus bring it instantly to rest.

"This (be it said in passing) is at the same time an example of the way in which other alterations of the abnormal states of *physical* things may be naturally effected—namely, by their exact opposites. Thus boiling water is rapidly reduced to a moderate temperature by the addition of a certain quantity of snow,—thus an acid loses its acidity by opposing to it an alkali, and becomes a neutral salt—the over-stretched strives to contract itself, the compressed to expand itself—the too dry absorbs moisture from the air, &c.; and thus most of the alterations of the abnormal states of physical things are effected by nature by means of their opposites.

"But the vital organism of animals is subject to very different laws for the removal of its morbidly altered state; here the law of opposites, suitable for the alteration of non-vital physical nature, is of no avail."

This note is repeated with variations in the second and third editions:

"Therefore I know not how it was possible that the idea could ever be entertained, that what was to be cured in diseases must be sought for and found in the hidden and unknowable interior, while it was boastfully and absurdly pretended that, without bestowing any attention to the symptoms, this alteration in the invisible interior could be ascertained, rectified by medicines, and that this alone could be called radical and rational treatment.

“Is not, then, that which is cognizable by the senses in diseases identical with what is incapable of being ascertained in the interior? Is not the latter only the unattainable unknowable side, whilst the former is the obvious side, perceptible with certainty to the sound senses, presented to us by nature as the sole object for cure of *the same disease*? Who can contend for the contrary? Does it not, therefore, look like insanity to hold that the object to be cured is the unascertainable, invisible, inner condition of the disease, the so-called *prima causa morbi*, while the side of the disease plainly perceptible by our senses, the palpable symptoms that present themselves to us, are to be rejected and contemned for curing purposes?

“It no doubt appears to be very learned, but it is nothing but the most ludicrous bombast and stupidity conceivable. It would not be a bit more unreasonable if, in order to dry a piece of wet paper, we thought we could not do this effectually unless we first set about an investigation and consideration of the inner nature of the humidity of water, and sought a remedy for the moisture based upon our profound speculation. O no! Expose the paper to the air until you see the signs of the wetness of the paper cognizable by the senses disappear, until it no longer feels wet, has ceased to be transparent, has regained its stiffness, and has lost the weight communicated to it by the water; then your object is attained. Or do you perhaps believe that the paper thus becomes perfectly dry would have been dried in a more thorough and scientific manner by that impossible and ridiculous method of a *priori* investigation of the *prima causa* of humidity? Blockhead!

“But others understand by *prima causa* of the disease, which the eminent speculative portion of the medical world imagine must be the sole object of cure, *some such inner, first or proximate cause* attached to the disease in the hidden interior, and which is the *cause of its production and persistence*, consequently must be removed if the disease is to be radically cured. If this is what is preferably to be understood by the term—for it seems as if the medical school did not exactly know what is to be understood by its *prima causa morbi*—this is an equally stupid idea, an unthinkable thing, for its originating cause does not remain attached to either a physical or dynamic living phenomenon, as an actual thing; and it would be absurd to attempt to annihilate the phenomena by the removal of the originating cause which is no longer connected with it, which no longer exists.”

Here follows the same argument that appears in the first four paragraphs of the note in the first edition given above. The note of the second and third editions concludes here, the following being added in parenthesis:

“For the abnormal state of physical things is removed by its contrary, but the morbid state of organisms endowed with life is brought into regular order by an alteration effected by means of an artificial force capable of producing a similar (homœopathic) state.”

This note is abbreviated in the fourth edition to what we now see it in the fifth as note to § 6.

To § 5:

This aphorism appears first in the fourth edition.

To § 6:

The first edition contains the following, omitted from the latter editions:

"§ 7. There must be a *healing principle* present in medicines; reason feels it must be so. But *its essential nature is not ascertainable by us in any way*—only its phenomena and effects can be ascertained by experience."

To § 7:

This allusion to the "vital force" occurs first in the fifth edition.

To § 7 (2nd ref.):

§§ 9 and 10 of the first edition are combined in this aphorism with some variations and additions in all later editions.

To § 8, note:

A translation of Hufeland's *Homöopathie* will be found in the *Brit. Journ. of Hom.*, xvi, 179.

In the first edition this note is preceded by another, which runs as follows:

"All exact experience teaches that a disease of any importance requiring to be treated, almost never consists of one single symptom, and a single serious symptom is almost never met with alone. Almost always there are several noticeable morbid phenomena and deviations from the normal state present in the patient, which all together constitute the unity of the entire morbid condition, though at first sight some of them may seem to have no relation to one another. A single slight symptom is not a disease requiring medical aid."

To § 9:

§§ 9 to 16 expound the doctrine of the "vital force," which appears in the fifth edition. In place of these the first edition has the following:

"§ 12. The invisible morbid alteration in the interior and the complex of symptoms observable from without are consequently reciprocally and necessarily determined by one another; both together constitute the disease in its entirety—that is to say, such a unity that the latter stands and falls with the former, that they must exist and disappear simultaneously, so that whatever is able to produce the groups of perceptible symptoms must likewise have caused the thereto appertaining inner morbid change (inseparable from the external morbid appearance)—otherwise the manifestation of the symptoms were impossible—and consequently whatever removes the totality of the observable morbid alteration in the interior of the organism, because the disappearance of the former without the removal of the latter is not conceivable."

Then follows the note which in the fifth edition is transposed to § 17.

The second, third, and fourth editions reproduce this aphorism, with insignificant variations.

In the last edition, throughout the work "vital force" is often substituted for the words "organism," "body," "state of health" (*Organism, Körper, Befinden*) of the previous editions, and the disparaging adjectives applied to the vital force in the earlier editions are generally omitted in the last.

To § 17:

In the first edition the following note is appended to this aphorism:

"It is by the misuse of the desire implanted for nobler purposes in the human mind to attain the infinite that have arisen those rash incursions into the domain of the impossible, those ingenious speculations respecting the essential nature of the medicinal powers of drugs, vitality, the internal invisible operations of the organism in health, and the alteration of the hidden interior which causes disease—that is to say, respecting the inner nature and essence of disease, falsely called the 'internal proximate cause.'

"But this remained a mere play of fancy and wit (physiogenic and pathogenic poetry) because the fixed data necessary for obtaining a metaphysical knowledge of the processes that go on in the interior of the living organism are, and will for ever be, wanting. From the proximate we can pass by degrees to the other data, until we come to the innermost primeval one, upon which the Creator of man implanted the conditioning of the disease in the holy place of that concealed workshop. All that mankind has ascertained about animal magnetism, galvanism, electricity, attraction and repulsion, earth's magnetism, caloric, gas and other chemical and physical phenomena, is not of the slightest use in enabling us to give a comprehensive, distinct, and fruitful explanation of even the *meanest* function in the healthy or diseased living organism. What innumerable unknown forces and their laws may there be in operation in the functions of the living organs of which we can form no conception, and for ascertaining which we should require many more senses than we have, and these endowed with infinite delicacy! All these requisites for abstract investigations, all these fixed data and media are withheld from mortal man, and it shows a misconception of human capabilities and of what is required in the business of curing, when the physician insists that the investigation of such things is necessary, the knowledge of which is as unnecessary as he is created incapable of ascertaining them.

"As many profound thinkers as there were who devoted themselves to this attempt to penetrate into the secrets of nature, so many baseless hypotheses full of contradictions arose. All history teaches this, and so does the sound judgment of the best instructed minds. If only they had been of the slightest use to practical medicine; if these speculations had only been able to reveal the true remedy for the slightest disease, we might tolerate them! So thought the honest and wise Sydenham:—'Quantulacumque in hoc scientiæ genere accessio, etsi nihil magnificentius quam odontalgïæ aut clavorum pedibus innascentium curationem edoceat, longe maximi faciendæ est, præ inani subtilium speculationum pompa,—quæ fortasse medico ad abigendos morbos non magis ex usu futura est, quam architecto ad construendas ædes musicæ artis peritia.'

"But what do we see! All imaginable theories about the functions and the inner form and component parts of the living brain in healthy and diseased states, all those innumerable speculations respecting the nature of inflammations, all the hypotheses respecting the nature of water and caloric,

were never able, as long as the world has existed, to guess or indicate the specific remedy for the phrenitis caused by sunstroke! Löffler discovered it accidentally in the aspersion of the skin with hot water, and the rational (homœopathic) system of medicine knows, by means of its simple maxims, how to find this and other specific remedies easily and quickly, without metaphysical elaboration, and without having to *wait for the accident* for perhaps a thousand years or more."

To § 20:

§§ 20—24 are an expansion of §§ 16—18 of the first edition in all later editions.

To § 22:

In the second and third editions only (§ 17) the following note occurs here:

"The tincture of an ounce of good cinchona bark mixed with a couple of pounds of water, and drunk in the course of one day, will certainly produce a cinchona fever of several days' duration; and a tepid foot-bath of a solution of arsenic will develop an arsenical fever of at least a fortnight's duration as certainly as a residence in a marshy atmosphere in autumn excites an intermittent fever such as is endemic in such localities. A girdle of mercurial plaster (as was employed in old times) causes *mercurial salivation* quicker than wearing the shirt of an itch-infected person produces the itch. A strong infusion of elder flowers or a few belladonna berries are as surely pathogenetic forces as inoculated variolous matter, or an adder's bite, or a great fright."

This note forms § 32 of the first edition, with the following addition:

"And each of these influences can, because it is a disease-force, as soon as it is opposed to a similar disease already present in the body for the purpose of expelling it, become for the same reason a counter-disease-force, a remedy; so that all that we term medicine is nought but a pathogenetic force, and all true remedies are only forces which are able to produce artificially a similar counter-disease in the organism, and thereby to remove and annihilate the similar natural disease."

To § 25:

In the first edition the corresponding aphorism is differently worded:

"§ 19. Now, as experience shows incontestably and indubitably with regard to *every* medicine and *every* disease, that all medicines cure without exception the diseases with symptoms analogous to their own, radically and permanently, we are justified in maintaining that the remedial power of medicines depends on their symptoms corresponding to those of the disease, or in other words: every medicine which can show among the morbid phenomena it produces in the healthy human body the most of the symptoms manifest in a given disease, can cure the disease in the most rapid, radical, and permanent manner."

To § 26:

The corresponding aphorism in the first edition differs considerably from this. It runs:

“§ 20. This eternal universal law of nature, that every disease is annihilated and cured by an artificial disease similar to it which the appropriate remedy has a tendency to produce, depends on the maxim: That only one single disease can exist in the body, hence one disease must absolutely yield to another.”

This is followed by another aphorism that is omitted from other editions:

“§ 21. The organism receives from every disease a peculiar derangement; it either cannot accept another derangement from a new disease on account of its nature being bound down to invariable laws of unity, or at least not without letting the first morbid derangement depart; if the new morbid derangement is unable to dislodge the older one, and is forced too long upon the organism, the two conjoin to form a single (third) disease, which is termed a complicated disease. This maxim is based on the following facts.”

Then follows an aphorism which substantially resembles § 40 of the fifth edition.

To § 26, note:

In the second and third editions these few words constitute an aphorism, to which is attached a note from “In like manner” (in this note) to the end of the note. The fourth edition has the same arrangement as the fifth.

To § 27:

§§ 27—35 appear first in the second edition.

To § 28:

The attempt of an explanation of the process by which the homœopathic remedy effects a cure is first made in the second edition, and differs considerably from that given in the last editions.

There is no aphorism in the second and third editions corresponding to § 29 of the last two editions; and in place of § 30 we have the following aphorisms:

“§ 24. The living human organism allows itself to be deranged in its health and made ill much more easily and strongly by medicines than by natural diseases. This can be easily proved.

“§ 25. For, 1st, diseases are cured by medicines, which would not be possible unless the latter were more powerful. 2nd. The following is to be taken into considera-

tion: various pathogenic causes act on us daily and hourly, but they are unable to upset our equilibrium and to make the healthy ill; the activity of the life-preserving power within us tends to resist the most of them;¹ and as a rule the individual remains sound. It is only when these external noxæ are increased to a violent intensity and attack us when we expose ourselves too much to them that we are made ill, but only seriously so when our organism has a particularly attackable weak side (disposition) which renders it more liable to be affected by the (simple or complex) morbid cause present, and to be altered and deranged in its health.

¹ In considering the action of morbid agents I exclude the great specific miasms such as typhus fever, the plague of the Levant, the yellow fever of America, and other infectious diseases, smallpox, measles, the smooth scarlet fever, the purpura miliaris, the venereal chancrous disease, the ordinary and the condylomatous gonorrhœa, the itch of wool-workers, &c., which do certainly possess the power of infecting human beings almost unconditionally. I say *almost*, for even among them there are not a few exceptions."

To § 29:

This aphorism appears first in the fourth edition.

To § 29 note:

Some years later, Hahnemann thought fit to offer a different explanation of the mode in which the homœopathic remedy effects the cure of a disease, which would have probably been the one he would have adopted had he lived to publish another edition of this work, and which I think it right to insert in this place, not because the truth of the grand therapeutic rule we owe to his genius can be at all affected by the validity of his explanation of it, but in order that the reader may have the very latest ideas of the illustrious founder of homœopathy on the subject.

"It is undeniable," says he, "that our vital force is unable, without the assistance of true remedies, discovered by human intelligence, to combat even inconsiderable disease of rapid course (if even it do not succumb to them), and to re-establish a sort of health, without sacrificing a portion (often a large portion) of the fluid and solid parts of the organism in what is called a crisis, as I have elsewhere shown. How it actually does this will remain for ever unknown to us, so much, however, is certain, that it cannot overcome even these diseases in a direct manner, nor without such sacrifice. The chronic diseases of miasmatic origin it cannot cure by itself, even with such losses, and restore real health. But equally certain is it that when by the true (homœopathic) healing art, guided by human wisdom, it is put in a position to overpower and to subdue (to cure) diseases by which it is attacked, as well those of an acute as those of a chronic miasmatic character, directly and without such sacrifices, without loss to the organism or danger to life, it is always the vital force that conquers, just as the native

army which drives the enemy out of the country must be called the conqueror, although it was assisted by foreign auxiliary troops. It is the organic vital force of our bodies which itself cures natural diseases of all kinds, in a direct manner and without such sacrifices, whenever, by means of the proper (homœopathic) medicines, it is placed in a position to conquer, which, indeed, it never could do without the auxiliary force, without this aid; for our organic vital force, by itself, only suffices to preserve the vital operations in good order as long as the individual is not morbidly deranged by the inimical influence of pathogenic forces.

"*By itself* it is not a match for the latter; it opposes them with a power scarcely equal to that which the inimical influence exerts upon it, and that with various indications of suffering on its own part (which we term symptoms of disease), but by its own power it could never overcome the chronic-disease enemy, as it cannot conquer even acute diseases without considerable loss of portions of the organism, if it remain without assistance from without by means of real remedial aid, to furnish which the Preserver of human life has commissioned the intelligent physician.

"*With a scarcely equal* opposing power, I repeat, the vital force advances against the hostile disease, and yet no enemy can be overcome except by a superior power.

"The homœopathic medicine alone can supply the diseased vital principle with this superior power.

"Of itself, the principle that animates us, this vital force, merely organic, only designed for maintaining undisturbed health, opposes to the advancing hostile disease only a weak resistance and, as the disease progresses and increases in intensity, a greater resistance, but (at best) one that is only equal to the hostile attack, in delicate patients not even equal, often only weaker; for offering an overpowering, an innocuous opposition, it is not capable, not intended, not created.

"But if, by means of the action upon it of homœopathic medicines, we physicians can represent and oppose to this instinctive vital force its enemy the disease, as it were increased, however little increased—and if in this manner we magnify to the perception of the vital principle the picture of its enemy the disease, by homœopathic medicines that produce an imitation of the original disease of illusive resemblance to it, we thereby, by degrees, cause and compel this instinctive vital force gradually to increase its energy, and to go on always increasing it more and more, until at length it becomes much stronger than the original disease was, so that it can again become the autocrat in its own organism, can again take the reins and direct the organism on the way to health, whilst in the meantime the apparent increase of the disease produced by the homœopathic medicines disappears spontaneously, whereon we, witnessing the re-established preponderance of the vital power, that is to say, the re-established health, cease to administer these remedies.

"Incredibly great are the resources of the spirit-like vital principle imparted to man by the infinitely benevolent Creator, if we physicians did but know how to keep it right in days of health by a properly regulated wholesome regimen, and in diseases to summon it forth and stimulate it up to the proper mark by pure homœopathic treatment." (*Die chronischen Krankheiten*, second edition, pt. iv, p. 4; Düsseldorf, 1838.)

To § 31:

The aphorism corresponding to this in the second and third editions is differently worded:

“§ 26. If the psychical and physical inimical forces of nature which are termed morbidic noxæ possessed an unconditional power of morbidly deranging man's health, as they are universally distributed they would leave nobody sound; every one must be ill, and we should have no idea of health. But as, on the whole, diseases are only exceptional states in man's health, and as a concourse of so many and varied circumstances and conditions both on the side of the disease-forces and on that of the human beings to be morbidly deranged are required before a disease can be produced by its exciting causes, it follows that man is so little liable to be affected by these noxæ that they can *never* make him ill *quite unconditionally*, and that the human organism is only capable of being deranged by them into disease when it has a particular disposition to be so affected.”

To § 38:

This aphorism is an epitome of three aphorisms in the first edition (§§ 24, 25, 27). The substance of this aphorism, together with the illustrations given, will be found in the *Med. of Exp.*, pp. 510, 511.

To § 39:

There is nothing corresponding to this in the first edition.

To § 43:

Similar views to those expressed in §§ 43—46 will be found in the *Med. of Exp.*, pp. 510, 511.

§§ 28—30 of the first edition are in all the later editions expanded into these four aphorisms.

To § 46, note:

The examples here alluded to are the following (second edition, § 41):

“A symptom peculiar to the *itch disease* when it has lasted long is *asthma*, which not infrequently shows itself from time to time when the exanthem is present, but most frequently breaks out in a terrible, spasmodic and dangerous manner when the eruption has been driven off by external remedies before the internal itch disease has been cured, which thereupon manifests its internal symptoms. Hence a man who had suffered for thirty years from a spasmodic asthma which often threatened suffocation, was at once homœopathically cured when he got the itch, which, as before said, can cause this symptom in striking similarity, as Bonifax¹ testifies. And many more cases of violent spasmodic asthma have been cured by the itch.* All the maladies which occur after the suppression of the eruption from the skin, after what is

termed driving back the itch (the writings of many observers abound in such cases), are original symptoms proper to the itch disease, which remain latent as long as this disease draws off to the skin in the form of an eruption and thus silences its internal affection, but they return as soon as this derivative channel is stopped by the topical drying up of the itch-exanthem. Thus a large number of writers record the outbreak of *phthisis pulmonalis* as occurring so immediately and rapidly after the suppression of the itch-eruption by external remedies, that we must undoubtedly recognize its previous existence as being only hitherto kept in abeyance by the eruption.³ Since, then, a kind of pulmonary phthisis is contained among the symptoms of the itch disease, we cannot be surprised that its inoculation has succeeded in curing homœopathically already-existing phthisis, as Mr. Fr. May⁴ and the author in the *Eph. Nat. Cur.*⁵ relate.

¹ In *Recueil d' Observ. de Médecine*, par Hautesierck, Paris, 1672, Tom. ii.

² In *Eph. Nat. Cur.* Dec. iii, ann. 5, 6, Obs. 117.—Bang, *Auswahl aus dem Tagebl. d. k. Krankenhauses*, 1785, Mai.—Muzell, *Beob. Samml.*, ii, pp. 32—36. [An abstract of this case is given in the *Chron. Krank.*, i, 23, note. It is as follows:—"A man aged between thirty and forty had had long ago the itch, which was driven off by inunctions; from which time he gradually became more and more asthmatic. At length his breathing became very short and difficult, even when he did not move, and he had constantly a whistling sound, but little cough. He was given a clyster of a drachm of squill and a powder containing three grains of squill for internal use. But he made a mistake and drank the drachm of squill. He became dangerously ill, with indescribable nausea and retching. But soon afterwards the itch reappeared on hands, feet, and all over the body in great quantity, whereupon the asthma was at once removed."]

³ *E. g.* Unzer, *Arzt*, ccc, p. 508. [This case is given in the *Chr. Krank.*, i, 28, note 27:—"A candidate for the ministry, apparently robust, was to preach next day, and for this reason he wished to get rid of an itch of long standing; so in the morning he rubbed himself over with itch ointment, and after a few hours of suffering from anxiety, dyspnœa and tenesmus, immediately after his dinner, he died. *Post-mortem* examination showed the whole lung filled with liquid pus."]

⁴ *Vermischte Schriften*, Manh., 1786.

⁵ Dec. ii, ann. 2, Obs. 146."

To § 47:

Instead of §§ 47—50 the first edition has the following:

"§ 31. On this law of human nature, taught us by experience, that diseases are annihilated and cured only by similar diseases, is founded the great homœopathic therapeutic law that a disease can only be annihilated and cured by a medicine which has a tendency to produce a similar disease—for the effects of medicine are nothing but artificial diseases."

§ 32 is identical with the note given in the reference to § 22.

“§ 33. When, in accordance with the rules of the rational medical doctrine, we have found a medicine thoroughly adapted to the disease under treatment, and employ it as a remedy, by such an artificial disease-force a new disease (counter-disease) is, as it were, inoculated and, so to speak, forced upon the already diseased organism; but, it must be confessed, this is a counter-disease possessing great advantages over all natural counter-diseases.

“§ 34. The invisible influences by which the ordinary diseases of humanity are usually produced are all too little known, are all too little at our command to enable us to produce by them diseases at our will, and use them as remedies against many diseases of longer standing, so that we can restore health when necessary.

“§ 35. Even the miasms that might be inoculated for the removal of some diseases are too few to enable us to make even a limited use of them as remedies.

“§ 36. Were we even able to produce many natural diseases artificially and at will, these are either not sufficiently analogous to the diseases to be cured, therefore not helpful, or they are themselves of long duration, and when they have actually conquered the older affection they are apt to persist for a considerable time in the body, seldom go off spontaneously, and generally require the aid of art to compel them to disappear.¹

¹ Inoculated itch, for example, by means of which some chronic diseases have occasionally been cured.

“§ 37. Infinitely more easily, more surely and with much larger choice, can we employ for the purpose of curing those pathogenic forces, usually termed medicines; the counter-disease they excite (which is to remove the natural disease we are called to treat) we can give in suitable strength and duration, for we can regulate the size and weight of their doses; and as every medicine differs from all others and has a wide sphere of action, we have in the large number of medicinal substances an innumerable quantity of artificial diseases to hand, which we can with accurate selection oppose to the diseases and infirmities of human beings, and we are thus able to remove and

extinguish natural disease quickly and surely by means of a very similar artificial counter-disease."

To § 52:

In the second and third editions seventeen paragraphs, from § 48 to § 64 inclusive, come in here, which are not in the fourth or fifth editions. Hahnemann evidently thought the matter was more appropriate for the Introduction, where we accordingly find it substantially.

To § 53:

In the fourth edition only this is preceded by the following:

"§ 48. The true, mild, permanent cure of human diseases is easily discovered by observing what takes place in nature, so that, on the one hand, we may avoid every process by which the unreasoning, merely animal vital force endeavours (as it always does), after the manner of allopathy, to attack the body in the least diseased parts and to set up a disease dissimilar to the original one. In this way it can never cure, but always aggravates chronic diseases; acute diseases of moderate intensity it laboriously removes with a great expenditure of suffering and loss, whilst it rarely fails to bring to a fatal termination severe and dangerous acute fevers by its misdirected energy.¹ On the other hand, we should imitate those rare salutary cures (§§ 38—41*) where another disease-force similar to the original malady comes on and rapidly removes, annihilates, and cures the original affection.

¹ For our innate vital force can only act according to the organic constitution of our body, not by reasoning."

To § 54:

§§ 54, 55 are an expansion, with slight difference of arrangement of § 66 of second and third editions.

To § 56:

In the second and third editions this aphorism terminates here.

To § 56, note:

This note on *Isopathy*, like the former one on the subject at p. 42, occurs first in the fifth edition.

In the *Chron. Krankh.*, 2nd edit., at the end of the first part, we find the following remarks on *Isopathy*:

"The antipsoric medicines treated of in the following volumes contain no so-called *isopathic* remedies, because their pure effects, even those of the potentized itch-miasm (*psorin*), are a long way from being sufficiently

* §§ 43—46 of the last edition.

proved to enable us to make a sure homœopathic use of them. I say *homœopathic*, for the prepared itch-matter does not remain *idem*, even if given to the patient from whom it was taken, because, if it is to him good, it can only do so in a potentized state, seeing that crude itch-matter, which he has in him already, being an *idem*, has no action on him. The preparation that develops its power (potentization) changes and modifies it, just as gold-leaf, after being potentized, is no longer crude (leaf) gold without action on the human body, but at every stage of its potentization is more and more modified and altered.

"Potentized and modified in this way, the itch-matter (*psorin*) for administration is no longer *idem* with the crude original itch-matter, but only a *simillimum*. For between *idem* and *simillimum* there is, for those who can reflect, nothing intermediate; or, in other words, between *idem* and *simile* only *simillimum* can exist. *Isopathic* and *æquale* are misleading terms, which, if they can mean anything trustworthy, can only mean *simillimum* because they are not *idem* (ταυτον)."

To § 60, note:

Hufeland's pamphlet on *Homœopathy* is translated in the *Brit. Journ. of Hom.*, vol. xvi.

To § 69:

The paragraphs from § 56 to § 69 treat of the antipathic or palliative method of treatment. In the first edition this method was criticised at the end of the *Organon*, in §§ 260 to 271. It may interest the reader to see how the subject was there handled:

"§ 260. Among the other causes which gave rise to the large doses in ordinary practice, the palliative employment of medicines is especially prominent.¹

¹ The complete opposition of the palliative to the homœopathic method consists, among other things, in this, that in the former the largest possible, in the latter the smallest possible doses are required.

"§ 261. In the *palliative employment* of medicines, which is directly contrary to the homœopathic method, it was attempted to remove by means of some symptoms medicines were known to possess, the exactly opposite symptoms of the disease.

"§ 262. But as in this case the medicine excites in the organism nothing similar to the existing diseased state (as takes place in the homœopathic method), but its exact opposite, so we observe in such palliative treatment not only not the slightest trace of preliminary (apparent) aggravation of the disease, as we do in the homœopathic treatment (§ 132*) but, on the contrary, an almost instantaneous apparent amelioration of it. In the first hour after

* § 157 of fifth edition.

taking the palliative the patient feels himself most relieved, which is *never* the case after taking the homœopathic remedy.

“ § 263. Whereas in the homœopathic method the *whole morbid state* is overpowered, extinguished and *annihilated* in the organism by the very similar artificial counter-disease-force of the specific remedy in a short time (only not in the first hour, but gradually more so from hour to hour), in the palliative method—whose maxim is *contraria contrariis curentur*—*one single symptom* of the disease under treatment is quickly merely *alleviated* by the exactly contrary symptom proper to the medicine; perhaps because the opposites neutralise one another dynamically (but only temporarily) by a sort of mutual amalgamation, and in this way *lose their influence upon the organism as long as the action of the opposed medicinal symptom lasts*.

“ § 264. The original malady seems at the very beginning of the palliative treatment to vanish, but it is not removed, not extinguished; as soon as the oppositely acting tendency of the palliative ceases to act and has exhausted its action, which takes place in a few hours or days, it returns not merely in the same degree but even in increased intensity by the accession of the after-effect tendency (secondary symptoms) of the palliative, which (as the opposite of the primary effect) is very similar to the original disease symptom, and thus, as an addition to it, seriously and permanently aggravates it.

“ § 265. Quite contrary to the homœopathic curative process, the patient feels the most relief during the first hour of the use of a palliative medicine, less in the second hour, still less in the third, and so on, until when the opposite primary action has expired, the tendency of the secondary action comes into play, and then the patient becomes worse than before he took the palliative.¹

¹ As a new disease invading the system where there is one already present acts precisely like a medicine and we can make use of such a disease when this new one symptomatically resembles the older one, as a perfect homœopathic

remedy, and by means of it annihilate and extinguish the older diseases §§ 28—30, 36*), so also diseases may be improperly used as palliatives, as has actually been done. Thus Leroy, who did not know this difference and its significance (*Heilkunde für Mütter*, p. 383), imagined he could remove the indurated glands all over the body of a scrofulous child by inoculation of smallpox. When the smallpox broke out all the indurated glands immediately disappeared; but six weeks afterwards—the palliative suspension of the old affection did not last longer—all the glandular indurations reappeared, as was quite natural, because the indurated glands that come on after smallpox do not belong to its primary action, *i. e.*, not to its acute stage, but to its after-disease (secondary action), consequently cannot homœopathically cure, remove and annihilate glandular indurations already present in the body.

“§ 226. Now in order to renew the illusory amelioration, it is necessary to increase the strength of the palliative every time, often to administer considerably stronger doses, because every dose has to cover not only the symptoms of the disease itself, but also the aggravation of the disease caused by the secondary symptoms of the previous dose.¹

¹ A striking example of this will be found in J. H. Schulze's *Diss. qua corporis humani momentanearum alterationem specimina quædam expenduntur*. Halæ, 1741, § 28.

“§ 267. Unless the dose of the palliative is increased, the (temporary) amelioration is always smaller, at length unobservable and *nil*, and there then ensues an increased aggravation of the disease.

“§ 268. Every medicine (antagonistic and opposite in its action to the chief symptom of the disease) that only relieves in always increasing doses is a palliative.¹

¹ The irrational character of the palliative method of treatment is self-evident, for the patient does not want an illusory *temporary relief followed by an aggravation of the malady*, but a radical cure; and it is also fallacious, because by means of it we combat only a single symptom, often only the twentieth part of the whole disease; in short, this is symptomatic and therefore not remedial treatment. And yet it was fortunate that so little was known of the symptoms proper to the medicines, otherwise too frequent misuse of them might have been made for the purpose of combating opposite states. Treatment of this sort was consequently very limited. For habitual disposition to drowsiness coffee was given; for diarrhœa, even of a chronic character, the primary constipating power of opium was employed, its action in causing stupefying comatose sleep was used for chronic wakefulness, and the stupor and insensibility this substance produces in the whole sensorium was utilised for all conceivable sorts of pain; with irritating purgative

* The corresponding paragraphs in fifth edition are §§ 43—46.

medicines and laxative salts, which cause frequent evacuations of the bowels, the tendency to constipation was sought to be removed; by heating spices and spirituous liquors a deficiency of blood-heat and so-called weakness of stomach were endeavoured to be remedied; chronic stuffed nose by sternutatory remedies; inflammation by cooling things; heat of the system by blood-letting; the bladder when nearly completely paralysed, even in chronic cases, was sought to be roused to activity by cantharides, which has such a powerfully irritating action on the urinary system; old paralyses of various kinds were treated with electricity and galvanism, which in their primary action set the muscles in movement, &c. But how rarely health, how frequently increase of disease and even something worse, ensued from this treatment experience—often too late—showed.

“ §§ 269, 270 are substantially the same as the note to § 67 in the fifth edition.”

“ § 271. In this case none of the ill consequences of the ordinary one-sided palliation of a single disease-symptom ensue; a perfect cure without collateral troubles or after-pains results, but in such a way that the symptoms, which are in this case (palliatively) combated by the opposite symptoms of the medicine, do not disappear until the medicine has completely exhausted its action.”

The last clause of the note to § 67 of the fifth edition is an epitome of this aphorism.

To § 72.

In place of §§ 72—82 we find in the first edition the following:

“ § 39.* With respect to the first point, the enormous variety and number of diseases may mislead one to believe that one cannot retain in the memory and keep in view their stupendous diversity, and hence cannot cure them if one is unable to get a comprehensive view of the whole, and cannot arrange them in a few classes of small dimensions so as to be able to treat them medicinally on general principles in each individual class formed by some common relationships and resemblances among numerous and varied individual cases of disease, as though they were a single disease, whereby their treatment would be facilitated.

“ § 40. But diseases, ailments and dyscrasias are such infinitely varied phenomena that a useful classification of them is impossible, even should such a forced arrangement

* The corresponding paragraph of the second and third editions varies considerably from this. It is given below, p. 267.

of them into separate classes appear essential for purposes of cure.¹

"I will not dwell upon the systematic classification of diseases hitherto in vogue (almost every pathological work has its own peculiar one). Were any one of the multitude of them of any real use, it would undoubtedly have achieved and retained universal approval, because truth is omnipotent.

"§ 41. The division into general and local diseases seems to have been the favourite.

"§ 42. But the human organism in its living state is a complete whole, a unity. Every sensation, every manifestation of power, every affinity of the component parts of one part is intimately associated with the sensations, the functions and the affinities of the component parts of all other parts. No part can suffer without all other parts sympathising and simultaneously undergoing more or less change.

"§ 43. This vital unity does not permit a disease to remain only local, completely and absolutely local in our body, as long as the malady misnamed local is on a part not entirely separate from the rest of the body. The rest of the body always sympathises more or less, and expresses this sympathy by some symptom or other. Every powerful medicine, even when applied to a quite distant part or given internally, effects a change-making impression on this apparently local affection also, and the remedy specifically suitable for the general disease (of which the local affection is always only a part, only a symptom) generally cures the local affection, though it may be in quite a distant part and apparently isolated.

"§ 44. A second highly esteemed division of diseases into febrile and afebrile is equally unsatisfactory. There is no agreement as to what characteristic signs and symptoms should and can be included in the definition of fever, and what should be excluded; and among the large number of theories and definitions of fever there is none that does not include symptoms which are more or less found in diseases held to be of the most afebrile character. The most febrile pass by insensible degrees into the most afebrile, so that a sharp separation of the

two is only pathological, but not in conformity with nature.

“ § 45. Of itself the *nomenclature* or *classification* of the innumerable varieties of diseases, even were it possible to be tolerably correct and complete, would, for the *physician* as a *natural historian*, be of the same use as the classification of other natural phenomena and natural objects is in general natural history,—that is to say, it would facilitate his *historical view* by furnishing him with a tabular arrangement; but for the *physician as medical practitioner* it is of *no use at all*, for the true healing art cannot rest contented with the bare one-sided similarity of several individual diseases among one another that suffices for the combination into genera and species, but must have the most complete view of every individual case of disease before it can select an accurately suitable remedy,—that is to say, before it can deserve the name of a *well-grounded* and *rational* healing art.

“ § 46. Nature has no nomenclature or classification of diseases. She produces *single* diseases, and demands that the true healing artist shall treat individually in his fellow-creatures not the systematic combination constituting a disease genus (a kind of confounding different diseases together), but each particular disease by itself; but she forbids the therapeutic treatment of composite groups of diseases constructed by imaginative man instead of individual diseases (*which she has wisely created as separate entities*), thus crippling the divine work of healing.*

“ § 47. If the rationality of the medical doctrine is manifest at all it is especially in this, that it discards all systematic and other prejudices, never if possible acts without good grounds, never, if possible, neglects any measures that may render the treatment appropriate, and concerns itself as much as possible with what can be ascertained; thus the attention to the varieties and differences of diseases (as also of medicine)—that is to say, the careful investigation of the individual phenomena of

* Here in a note the quotation from Huxham given in a note to § 81 of the fifth edition.

every disease, and of the peculiar mode of action of every single medicine, will characterise the rational, thorough physician.

“§ 48. As every epidemic of disease in the world (with the exception of those few which have a fixed unalterable miasm) differs from every other, and even every single case of epidemic and sporadic disease, and in especial every case of disease not belonging to such collective diseases differs from every other, the rational physician will judge every malady coming under his care just as it is according to its individual differentia. When he has investigated its peculiarities and all its phenomena and symptoms (for that is why they are there, in order that they should be attended to), he will treat it according to its individuality, *i. e.* according to the group of symptoms it displays, with a remedy individually adapted to it. By acting in this honest, unprejudiced and rational manner, he will show the difference between himself and every other physician who does not deign to investigate the case of disease thoroughly, but generalises it in an off-hand way to suit his own convenience, labels it with one of his systematic names, and invents a treatment to correspond.

“§ 49. Some diseases which are caused by a peculiar contagium (a peculiar miasm of tolerably fixed character), *e. g.* the plague of the Levant, smallpox, measles, true smooth scarlet fever, the venereal disease, the itch of workers in wool, also canine rabies, whooping-cough, plica polonica, &c., seem to be so fixed in their character and course, that whenever they are met with they can always be recognized as old acquaintances by their persistent features. Consequently we can give to each of them a peculiar name, and endeavour to lay down some fixed method of treatment suitable as a rule for each of them.

“§ 50. It may be that there are some other diseases which we cannot yet prove to be owing to a miasm, as also diseases attached to certain localities and occurring under certain climatic conditions, besides those diseases that are epidemic here and there, such as autumnal marsh

ague, yellow fever, sea-scurvy, pian, yaws, sibbens, pellagra, &c., likewise a few diseases produced either by some single uniform cause or by a concurrence of several determinate causes, which admit of being classified together to a certain degree (*e. g.* gout, perhaps also membranous croup and Millar's asthma). Such affections equally deserve to have their special names, because the group of symptoms remains on the whole tolerably the same in each, and therefore they are capable of a peculiar fixed mode of treatment.

“§ 51. But it is different with a number of other diseases, which probably arise from the concurrence of several pathogenic causes not combining in the same way for the production of the malady, hence they often differ from one another in several important symptoms, and so do not admit of being all treated with the same remedies. To these belong the very different sorts of epilepsy, catalepsy, tetanus, chorea, pleurisy, phthisis, diabetes, sore throat, prosopalgia, dysentery and other names given by the schools to morbid states often essentially diverse, and only resembling one another by a few symptoms they have in common, in order that, by regarding them as identical, an identical mode of treatment might be laid down for them. But the very dissimilar results experience showed to follow this plan is a sufficient refutation of this supposed identity. They may serve as collective names, but not as special names of supposed identical morbid states; for if they are so regarded they lead to a uniform empirical medicinal treatment, to the detriment of the patients.¹

¹ Thus, for instance, there are numerous varieties of diabetes, *i. e.* several diseases essentially differing from one another, but mixed up together under this single name, which to the casual observer apparently resemble one another in one or more symptoms, but are erroneously considered as one and the same disease. More careful examination of the separate cases shows that in almost every one there are symptoms differing very much from or not present in the other cases, and that even the urine, to which the inventors of this name attached such importance, as though it were a great discovery, often varied much in its character; some specimens went rapidly into vinous and acetous fermentation, others merely became mouldy, &c. If one kind of diabetes was curable by ammonium sulphate, many other kinds were not benefited by

this remedy. Alum seemed to be the best medicine for a few cases, whereas in others neither alum nor ammonium sulphate did good. Can these be the same disease, where they vary so much in their collective symptoms, and require such different modes of treatment? All these manifold morbid states may certainly be called *kinds of diabetes*, but not simply *diabetes*, so as to convey the false impression that they are all one simple identical disease. Anyone who has once cured a *faceache* with mercurial ointment will certainly meet with three or four cases, all of which he will call by the same name, in none of which is this ointment of any use. If each of these names only indicated diseases which were always the same, then the want of success of the treatment with the same remedy which once proved useful would be quite impossible. They must all yield to the same treatment if they were all the same disease. But as they do not do so, this clearly shows that in spite of their having the same name they are essentially different diseases, only no pains were taken to investigate their differentiating symptoms. These manifold morbid states may certainly be called *kinds of faceache*, but not merely *faceache*, for it is not always one and the same disease. And so it is with the other diseases mentioned and with other disease-names of the like sort.

“§ 52. And so with regard to other diseases, the names are still more inappropriate, and the empirical treatment they lead to is still more dangerous, when they comprise a still greater diversity of morbid states which bear a distant resemblance to one another by *hardly a couple* of similar symptoms, whilst the greater number of their other phenomena and characteristics differ widely from one another. The vague names of ague, jaundice, dropsy, consumption, whites, piles, rheumatism, apoplexy, spasms, palsy, melancholia, mania, &c., may be instanced.¹

¹ “What countless numbers of so-called agues are there not, differing vastly from one another, having in common at the most the phenomena of chills and heat and something of an intermittent type, but not always even that! On closer investigation of their other symptoms we find that almost every one of these different disease kinds is a disease *sui generis*. With what right can we call the many very dissimilar diseases which have no relation to one another in their other symptoms, and only resemble one another in the single phenomenon *yellowness of skin*, which is owing to derangement of the biliary excretion of very various kinds, by the name of *jaundice*, which presupposes identity? So also in many very different diseases, among many other symptoms, there is *œdema*: but who would, on account of this single symptom, which is certainly very conspicuous but not on that account always the most important—often, indeed, not at all important—assert that all these very various diseases were one single disease called *dropsy*, thereby leaving unnoticed the other highly significant symptoms which differentiate these diseases from one another? And so of other examples.

“§ 53. How could one with a semblance of rationality

include under general names those very dissimilar morbid states which have often only one single symptom in common, and thereby justify their identical medicinal treatment? And if they are not to have the same medicinal treatment—which they could not have without detriment to the patient—what is the use of the identical names implying the need of the same treatment? Misleading, useless and injurious as these names are, they ought not to influence the treatment of a rational physician, who knows that he has to judge of and to cure diseases not according to the vague nominal similarity of a single symptom, but according to the whole array of all the symptoms of the individual state of each single patient, whose sufferings it is his duty to investigate accurately, but not to make hypothetical guesses about them.

“§ 54. Even those diseases which *during every epidemic* may be spread by an infectious matter—the vast number of putrid, bilious, nervous (hospital, jail, camp), and other spreading fevers—vary very much at each time of their occurrence in their manifestations and course. Every fresh epidemic of them, *e. g.* of putrid fever, because every epidemic is caused by a different miasm, shows itself even in some of its most striking symptoms to be so unlike all previous epidemics of the same name that we should be running counter to the principles of logic were we to give to this very different malady the old name or one that has already been employed, and, misled by the misused name, were to employ the same medicinal treatment as for former epidemics of the same designation.

“§ 55. We can only regard as similar for curative purposes the cases belonging to each of such epidemic or sporadic affections, which in this respect may be termed a *collective disease*, and treat them similarly, with due regard to the greater or smaller variations in each particular case.

“§ 56. Every epidemic comprehends in itself a number of very similar individual cases of disease; but epidemics differ very much one with another, and cannot be designated by a similar or the same name, nor indiscriminately treated with the same medicine.

“ § 57. These epidemics, admitting of no fixed, special name, which at every fresh appearance among the people occur in altered form and with an altered group of symptoms and manifestations, are, as *collective diseases*, most appropriately consigned to the large class of all other diseases, infirmities and dyscrasias of the human body due to a concurrence of dissimilar causes and forces, which vary in number, strength and kind—influences of extremely complex nature, whence arise those infinitely various diseases from which the great race of mankind on the globe suffers and always has suffered.

“ § 58. All things that are in any degree operative (their number is inconceivable) can influence and cause changes in our organism, which stands in connection and conflict with all parts of the universe, and everything causes a different change, because it differs from every other thing.

“ § 59. What diversity, I may say what infinite diversity, must there not be among diseases, which are indeed the effects of the action of these innumerable, often highly inimical forces, when several of the latter, together or in varied succession, quality and strength, exert their influence on our bodies, seeing that the latter differ so much from one another in many external and internal properties and peculiarities, and the conditions of life are so diversified that no human individual exactly resembles another in any imaginable respect!¹

¹ “ Some of these influences that predispose to or produce disease are, *e.g.*, the countless numbers of more or less hurtful emanations from organic and inorganic substances; the many diversely irritating kinds of gas which cause alterations and injuries to our nerves in the atmosphere, in our workshops and dwellings, or which stream out against us from water, earth, animals and plants; deficiency of indispensable food for our vitality, of pure open air; excess or deficiency of sunlight or of electricity; varieties of atmospheric pressure, of humidity or dryness of the air; the still unknown properties and bad effects of lofty mountain localities and those of low situations and deep valleys; the peculiarities of the climate and situation in extensive plains, in deserts destitute of plants and water, on the sea-coast, in marshy, hilly and wooded districts, or in localities exposed to various winds; the influence of very changeable or too long continuance of the same weather; the influence of storms and various meteorological circumstances; excessive heat or coldness of the air, deficient or excessive artificial heat of

our clothes or rooms; constriction of the limbs or body by articles of dress; excessive coldness and heat of our food and drink; hunger, thirst or surfeits of victuals and drinks, and the injurious medicinal health-deranging powers they possess (wine, spirits, beer adulterated with more or less harmful herbs, drinking-water polluted with foreign substances, coffee, tea, indigenous or exotic spices, and food, sauces, liqueurs, chocolate, cakes seasoned with them; the unknown injurious character of some plants and animals used as food) or acquire by negligent preparation, spoiling, substitution or adulteration (*e. g.* ill-fermented or only half-baked bread, underdone meat and vegetables, or putrid or mouldy food, victuals and drinks prepared or kept in metal vessels, made-up, poisoned wines, vinegar adulterated with corrosive substances, the flesh of diseased animals, flour mixed with gypsum or sand, grain mixed with hurtful seeds; dangerous plants mixed with or substituted for table vegetables from malice, ignorance, or poverty); want of cleanliness of the body, clothes or dwellings; injurious substances that have got into the food for want of cleanliness or carelessness; inhaling noxious vapours in sick rooms, in mines, stamping mills, roasting and smelting-houses; the dust laden with various hurtful substances that come out of the stuffs made in our factories; the neglect of many of the police arrangements for securing the weal of the community; excessive exertion of our bodily powers, inordinate active or passive exercise, over-exertion of certain parts of the body or of the organs of sense, various unnatural postures and positions incident to occupations and trades; deficient employment of certain parts or over-indulgence in laziness; irregularity in the periods of rest (long midday siesta), of meals, of work; excess or deficiency of night sleep; over-exertion of the mental faculties generally or compulsory intellectual work of a disagreeable nature, or such as excites or wearies certain faculties of the mind; violent mental emotions, anger, fright, vexation, or debilitating passions excited by reading lascivious books, by injudicious education, by indulgence, by conversation; abuse of sexual functions; qualms of conscience, fear, grief, &c.

“ § 60. Hence the unspeakable number of dissimilar corporeal and mental affections, which differ so much among one another that, strictly speaking, *each of them has perhaps existed only once in the world*, and that, with the exception of those few diseases with an unalterable miasm (§ 49) and probably some few others (§ 50), every epidemic or sporadic collective disease, and, besides these, every other case of disease we meet with must be regarded and treated as a nameless, individual disease, which never had occurred before as in this case, in this person, and under this condition, and can never occur again in the world exactly the same.

“ § 61. As Nature herself produces diseases of such various kinds, so there can be no rational healing art without strict attention to the nature of each case of disease for

the purpose of treatment, without the physician regarding each disease coming under his care as peculiar and unique, which it undoubtedly is. There will then be an end to all that empirical generalising which is so nearly allied to presumptuous speculation and arbitrary substitution."

The second and third editions have, in place of § 72 of the last edition, the following:

"§ 83. With respect to the first point we may unhesitatingly pass over the unfortunate attempts hitherto made by the medical schools to formulate conceptions of the diseases in conformity with which a fixed method of treatment (therapeia) might be laid down beforehand for all diseases that may be expected to occur in nature. We need not dwell on the fact that it has hitherto been sought to bring diseases—those infinitely diverse deviations of man's health from the healthy state—under a limited number of names,¹ and furnish them with cut and dry descriptions (respecting which no two pathological works are agreed) in order that an easily learned mode of treatment might be laid down in the manuals of therapeutics for every form of disease thus artificially determined. We may refrain from dwelling on the circumstance that general pathology described morbid states (that seemed to occur frequently in diseases) as separate entities and as invariably the same, in order when thus torn from the rest of the disease to be able to treat them all round scientifically according to one general therapeutic method, whatever disease they belonged to. This artificial arrangement and naming of a certain number of kinds of disease, as also this unnatural separation of certain parts from the diseases, are so palpably inventions of arbitrary speculation for therapeutic purposes, that they deserve no consideration in this system of medicine where every disease is, for curative purposes, regarded as an individuality, just as it is in the infinite diversity of nature.

¹ "This much is certain, that the name of a disease does not in the least help us to cure it (supposing it were possible to give diseases fixed names, which, however, is as impossible as it would be to give a special name to every single cloud, which shall never again appear of precisely the same form and colour),

for every curative indication for this or that disease consists solely in the investigation of its exact individual character, *i. e.* in ascertaining the signs, sufferings, symptoms and alterations of the health peculiar to every case of disease in contrast with the former healthy state, in order that we may be able to select for the totality of the symptoms a suitable analogue of artificial medicinal disease, *i. e.* a homœopathically acting remedy.”

(Here follows a paragraph nearly identical with § 49 of the first edition.)

“But here also we see how easily names of diseases may be misused, and through the name a quite false thing may be substituted, if we fail to discriminate the diseases according to the whole extent of their phenomena. Thus in the year 1801 (*Cure and Prevention of the Scarlet Fever*,* Gotha) I announced a prophylactic and remedy for the old, smooth, erythema like scarlet fever described by Sydenham, Withering and Plencitz, an epidemic which I had witnessed in Lower Saxony a year before, the third year of my professional life. At this time when my pamphlet appeared, a new exanthematous disease, originally endemic in Holland, the purpura miliaris,† had penetrated through Hesse and Thuringia into Saxony, the exanthem of which consists of a dark red miliary rash crowded together in large patches. In the locality in which it appeared, a few months previously, a mild epidemic of true scarlet fever had prevailed. The new disease then came in epidemic form, but with fatal violence, as all new eruptive diseases which have never been in the locality previously are wont to do. In the previous epidemic of scarlet fever the physicians had administered to children they wished to protect the prophylactic and remedy (a small dose of *belladonna*) discovered by me, and it had always proved successful in protecting from the true scarlet fever (as the communications at the time in the *Allgemeiner Anzeiger der Deutschen* testify). But as the physicians regarded the subsequent purpura miliaris as a kind of scarlet fever (though it is quite different from the smooth, lobster-red scarlet fever in all its symptoms) and treated it in the same way, and also gave *belladonna* as a prophylactic for it, they naturally met with no success, and they clamoured about the usefulness of the prophylactic when, on the contrary, complaint should have been made about the stupidity of their confounding two such different diseases and calling them by the same name. After the first epidemic of this purpura miliaris the old smooth scarlet fever of Sydenham seldom appeared, and then only here and there. When complaints were made of deaths from scarlet fever, it was the disease falsely so denominated, it was in fact the purpura miliaris, which since its first epidemic invasion only appeared sporadically. On my return to Saxony I saw and treated this new fever, and lost no time in publicly apprising the physicians that they had confounded both diseases under one and the same name. But all in vain. They continued mistakenly to call this dark-coloured purpura miliaris by the name of *scarlet fever*, from which it is widely different in every respect (which many of them had never previously seen, as it only appears once every eight, ten or twelve years), and to treat it as such; hence

* A translation of this work will be found in Hahnemann's *Lesser Writings*.

† I am unable to say what this disease was exactly. Of course it was neither a purpura nor a miliary fever, though Hahnemann calls it *Purpur-friesel*, *i. e.* purple miliary. Possibly it was a severe epidemic of measles.

the great mortality from it. Later (in the *Allg. Anz. d. Deutschen*, 1808, No. 160) I endeavoured to point out the great difference of the symptoms of the two diseases, and I mentioned that whilst the old, rarely now seen scarlet fever required for its prevention and cure *belladonna* only, the purpura miliaris could only be cured by *aconite* in the smallest dose. But it was several years before the physicians of the old school acknowledged the correctness of my instruction and began to abandon this confounding of names and things, and to give up the routine treatment of the old, true scarlet fever laid down in their books, with purgatives, calomel, elder-flower tea and heated beds, and to make up their minds to cure those affected with purpura miliaris with aconite—and all because they had erroneously persisted in giving the improper designation of scarlet fever to purpura miliaris. Thus this false name and the empirical treatment based upon it proved fatal to many thousands of children.

“Other diseases are not of such unvarying character as to justify us in treating them alike on account of their name. It is well known that the so-called *yellow fever* varies very much in different years and different localities, and yet it is called by the same name and treated accordingly in an identical manner.

“How often are children suffering from dyspnoea and hoarse cough declared to be affected with *membranous croup*, and tortured to death with leeches, bloodletting, fly-blisters, mercurial inunctions, large doses of calomel, senega, violent emetics, &c., solely owing to the erroneous name!

“How different from one another, according to the books, are not *membranous croup* and *Millar's asthma*! And yet C. E. Fischer (*Hufeland's Journal*, July, 1813) shows that the first varies very much in character, and he and Autenrieth (*Versuche üb. die pr. Heilk.*, I, i, p. 5) allege that both these diseases are very closely related and tend to pass into one another, thereby demonstrating that they should be treated, not according to the name, but in conformity with the symptoms they present.”

The remainder of this note is a slightly altered rendering of §§ 51—54 of the first edition given above, and is almost identical with the second note to § 81 of the fifth edition.

To § 74:

This, and the two following paragraphs which speak of medicinal diseases, are first met with in the fifth edition.

To § 81, note:

The substance of this note (which first appears in this form in the fourth edition, and along with a great deal of additional matter in the second and third editions) is given in §§ 51—56 of the first edition.

Hahnemann gives the passage from Sydenham in rather mutilated form. It is restored here to its original state. Subjoined is Dr. Latham's translation:

“Nothing, in my opinion, strikes the mind that contemplates the whole and open domain of medicine with greater wonder than the well-known varied and inconsistent character of those diseases which we call epidemic. It is not so much that they reflect and depend upon different conditions of climate in one and the same year, as that they represent different and dissimilar conditions of different and dissimilar years. The evident diversity of diseases is probably shown, both by the difference in the peculiarities of their symptoms and the variety of treatment that they require. From all

which it is perfectly clear that, although the disorders in question may, to a certain degree, both in their external characters and in several symptoms common to many of them, appear to the careless observer to coincide, they are in reality, if we attend closely, of wholly different characters, as little like one another as coin and counters."

To § 83:

In §§ 83—99 the directions how to proceed in the examination of the patient are very similar to, in many parts identical with, the rules laid down for this purpose in the *Med. of Exp.* (pp. 506—510).

To § 103:

This section occurs first in the third edition, intercalated between § 108 and § 109.

To § 109, note 1:

This note is only found in the fifth edition.

To § 112:

Instead of this and the two following paragraphs we find in the first edition the following:

"§ 90. Still one finds, in those older descriptions of the often dangerous effects of medicines swallowed in such enormous doses, states which showed themselves not at first, but towards the end of such sad events, and which were of an exactly opposite nature to the first state.

"§ 91. Such *secondary accidents* I myself observed pretty frequently at first, but not so often as in those records, because I did not employ such enormously large doses. The smaller the doses I subsequently used for trials of this sort, the more rarely did these accidents occur, whereas even with the smaller doses the *primary symptoms* presented themselves in as great numbers and with equal certainty when I bestowed great attention during the observation and eschewed everything which might interfere with the purity of the trial.

"§ 92. The circumstance that the subsequent symptoms, which may be termed *negative* or *secondary*, appear most frequently after very large doses, and that they are all the rarer the smaller the dose used in the trials is, shows that the secondary symptoms are only a kind of *after-disease* which, in the case of large doses, occurs after the cessation of the *positive* or *primary* symptoms; a kind of opposite state—in accordance with the usual processes in life, in which all seems to go on in alternating states.¹

"¹ As sadness usually succeeds to great hilarity—constipation to diarrhœa, diarrhœa to constipation, wakefulness to somnolence, heat to chilliness and *vice versâ*.

"§ 93. After every powerful medicine there is manifested a considerable number of symptoms of various kinds, a whole series of accidents and morbid phenomena, which are all primary symptoms provided the dose was not too violent. The *chief effects* of the medicines, as artificial pathogenic forces, are these more frequent primary symptoms.

To § 117:

In the first edition the idiosyncrasies are alluded to in the following note: "Idiosyncrasies are often only those rare but pure striking effects of medicines on persons who, although in good health, are yet peculiarly sensitive to the action of these particular substances. Thus some species of sumach when touched cause certain cutaneous eruptions in a few persons, and eating crayfish causes a kind of erysipelas and urticaria in a few persons (though both these substances possess the *tendency to produce these phenomena* under all circumstances); and so some horses and cows are suddenly killed by eating yew-leaves, while others are but little affected by them."

To § 117, note:

Wesselhoeft says this fact is erroneously given by Hahnemann; that it was her father, the Emperor Alexius, whom M. Porphyrogenita restored, in presence of her sisters Eudoxia and Anna Comnena. The latter mentions in her history that her father had been deadly sick for some time, that he had fainted a second time and in such a way that, unless help could be given, it seemed as if he would have died from this attack. (*B. J. of Hom.*, ii, 223.)

To § 119:

In the first edition we read the following:

"§ 98. Substances belonging to the animal and vegetable kingdoms are most medicinal in their crude state.¹

"¹ Those plants and animals which are used as aliments have the advantage over the others of possessing a larger proportion of nutritious parts, and differ from the latter in this, that their medicinal powers in the raw state are either not so intense, or, when they are intense, are destroyed by drying (as in the case of arum and peony root), by expression of the injurious juices (as in cassava), by fermentation (sour gherkins), by smoking and by the action of heat (in roasting, frying, baking, boiling), or are rendered harmless by the addition of salt, sugar, and especially vinegar (in sauces and salads). Even the most medicinal plants lose their medicinal power partially or completely by such operations. The juice of the heroic plants is often reduced to an impotent, pitch-like mass by the heat applied in the ordinary preparation of an extract. The expressed juice of the most poisonous plants in their fresh state (for if piled up when green they sweat, as it is termed, and the greater part of their medicinal power is lost by fermentation) if allowed

to stand for a single day in a moderately warm place, passes into full fermentation and loses much of its medicinal power; but if it stands for two or three days the acetous fermentation is complete, and all its specific medicinal power is *gone*: the sediment is then quite harmless, resembling wheat starch."

To § 124:

The second and third editions have this in addition:

"As the tinctures are mixed with a large quantity of water before being taken, the small amount of such very diluted alcohol in the mixture cannot be regarded as a foreign irritant."

To § 126:

The previous editions had the following (the fourth edition, as being the fullest, is quoted):

"§ 120. The person who makes the trial, and who to do this properly must be intelligent, willing and healthy, takes for this purpose, in the morning fasting, such a dose of the medicine to be proved as is usually prescribed for diseases in ordinary practice. The best way to take it is in solution, mixed with about ten parts of not quite cold water.

"§ 121. If in the course of a few hours this dose causes no, or only very slight alterations of the health, the prover (the medicine should be tested in both males and females) takes a larger, according to circumstances a doubly large dose, preferably mixed and shaken up also with ten parts of not quite cold water.

"§ 122. If the first dose at first seems to act strongly, but after a few hours its activity declines, the second stronger dose must be taken the following morning fasting, and if this does not act as we would wish, then a stronger, according to circumstances a quadruple dose given on the third morning, will display its action.

"§ 123. All persons are not affected equally strongly by a medicine. On the contrary, there is a great diversity on this point, so that sometimes an apparently delicate person may be hardly at all acted on by a moderate dose of a reputed strong medicine, but may be violently affected by many much weaker medicines. And, on the other hand, there are very strong persons, who exhibit very consider-

able morbid symptoms from an apparently mild medicine, and but slight symptoms from stronger ones. As we cannot tell this beforehand, it is advisable to commence in every case with a small dose of the medicine, and, where it is judged necessary, to increase the dose (doubling it each time), either the same day after a few hours, or from day to day."

To § 127:

This aphorism is expressed parenthetically in the three previous editions; see above, § 121 of fourth edition.

To § 128:

§§ 128 and 129 first appear in the fifth edition. They are somewhat in variance with what goes before, and introduce the novelty of making provings with globules of the 30th dilution. It will be noticed that Hahnemann in § 128 calls "high dilutions" what he had previously been accustomed to call "low dilutions."

To § 129:

The first edition has the following:

"§ 107. This repetition will, however, seldom be necessary if the prover and the physician are both equally observant. We are much surer of obtaining a pure result, especially as regards the succession of the symptoms, if the trial is made on the prover with a single dose, and only after some weeks a second dose of the *same* medicine; or still better, after the lapse of a considerable time, with a single dose of *another* medicine."

"§ 110. All the symptoms belonging to a medicine do not appear in one prover, nor yet do all appear at once or on the same day, but some in one person, others in another, yet so that perhaps in a fourth or tenth prover some or many of the symptoms which had been noticed in the second or sixth or seventh prover may appear; nor will they all appear precisely at the same hour."

To § 132:

The previous editions add "or several times a day."

To § 141, note:

In the second and third editions this note forms § 148.

To § 143, note:

This note is only in the fifth edition. It seems to be directed against the multitude of symptoms contributed to the *Materia Medica* of Hartlaub and Trinks by Dr. Nenning, which were obtained in the manner here represented. Here is what Hahnemann says about Nenning's contributions in the *Chr. Arank.*, ii, p. 35:

"Drs. Hartlaub and Trinks indicate by these two letters [Ng.] merely (a real anonymity,) a man who furnished the greatest number of medicinal proving symptoms for their *Annals*, which are often given in very careless, prolix and unprecise terms. I could only select from them what is fit for use, and only with this proviso, that in these observations he has acted as an honest, discreet man. But it is almost unpardonable that in this most important and serious business (the indispensable pillar of our system), which demands great discretion, acuteness of senses, a fine gift of observation, and stringent criticisms of one's own sensations and perceptions, together with correct choice of expressions, the homœopathic public should be asked to give unconditional credit to an unknown individual, only designated by two letters, 'Ng.'"

Hahnemann has another dig at Nenning in the preface to *Magn. carb.* (*Chr. Kr.*, iv, 135): "The symptoms indicated by this sign, 'Hb. u. Tr.,' are from the *Reine Arzneimittellehre* of Drs Hartlaub and Trinks, but not marked by the letters of the original prover; but they quite bear the stamp of the ever-ready symptom-manufactory of Ng."

Perhaps Hahnemann was unduly prejudiced against Nenning's provings, which seem to have been conducted conscientiously, though not in strict accordance with Hahnemann's method (see *Brit. Journ. of Hom.*, xxxv, p. 106).

To § 145:

In first and second editions "*Vielheit*" (multitude) altered in third and subsequent editions to "*Wahrheit* (truth) *von Symptomen*."

In the fourth edition the corresponding aphorism terminates at "composite remedies."

In the first edition, after "permanent manner" the paragraph runs thus:—"On account of the limited choice of still incomplete remedies, by which, however, incredibly more and better cures are made than by the ordinary method, or by all irrational, paralogous, non-homœopathic methods."

In the second and third editions the parallel passage runs thus:—"On account of the still limited choice of the sometimes incomplete remedies, whereby, however, *infinitely* more, *infinitely* surer and safer cures are made than by all the general and special therapeutics of the ordinary medical art, with its unknown composite remedies and its allopathic and antipathic modes of treatment directed against imaginary objects of cure instead of against the true morbid state."

To § 146:

This is preceded in the first edition only by the two following paragraphs:

"§ 124. The group of symptoms obtained from the action of a medicine proved on the healthy body we find to offer the greatest resemblance to the complex of symptoms presented by a given natural disease will, *must*, be the most suitable counter-disease for expelling and extinguishing that natural disease; the most appropriate specific remedy is found in this medicine.

"§ 125. If the counter-disease agent (the medicine)

selected is perfectly suitable according to similarity of symptoms, *i. e.*, homœopathic, and if it be properly administered, then the natural disease we have to combat, be it ever so intense, be it encumbered with ever so many symptoms, if it be not of long standing, goes off unobservedly in a few hours—if it be of no longer standing, in a few days, and we scarcely are aware of the pathogenetic symptoms of the medicine, *i. e.* of the artificial counter-disease; there ensues, in rapid unnoticed transition, nothing but health, the natural and the artificial disease are quickly mutually extinguished, without perceptible reaction, quite gently—a real dynamic annihilation.”

To § 149, note:

This note is only in the fifth edition.

To § 156:

In the first edition this aphorism appears as a note to the previous aphorism.

To § 157:

This and the three following aphorisms constitute only one in the first edition.

To § 161:

This aphorism is not in the first and second editions. In the third edition it appears as a supplementary paragraph between §§ 167 and 168.

To § 170:

In previous editions this forms two paragraphs.

To § 171:

This paragraph appears first in the fourth edition. The previous editions have instead the following:

(1st edition:) “ § 145. It is only in some cases of old chronic diseases not subject to any important change, which present certain fixed fundamental symptoms, that sometimes almost equal homœopathically suitable remedies may be employed alternately with advantage; as long as the supply of medicines proved as to their pure effects on healthy persons does not offer any perfect counter-disease agent,* in whose array of symptoms the group of phenomena of the chronic malady is completely or almost completely represented, in which case it would give satisfaction and cure it rapidly and perfectly without disturbance.”

* In the second and third editions “ more perfect and most perfect homœopathic medicine.”

The second and third editions have the following note:

"Only in complicated disease, *e.g.* when, in addition to the venereal chancro-disease, the condylomatous or mayhap the psoric disease dwells in the body, it is impossible to complete the cure with a single medicine. Here each appropriate homœopathic (specific) remedy for one and the other disease must be employed alternately; for the first-mentioned complication the best mercurial preparation in alternation with the best preparation of sulphur until both are cured."

To § 183, note:

This note appears first in the second edition.

To § 187:

In place of this and the four following paragraphs the first edition has the following:

"§ 161. As no so-called local malady, arising from internal causes and persisting on a particular spot, can be thought of as produced without the consent of the whole of the rest of the health, and without the participation of all other sensitive and irritable parts, and of all vital organs of the body; so, how salutary changes and even most perfect cures of apparently isolated local maladies on the most distant parts of the skin can be effected by a small quantity of homœopathically adapted medicine put on the tongue or introduced into the stomach is only possible and explicable by the ever watchful sensitiveness to medicinal forces inherent in all parts of the living body, only by this susceptibility for medicinal stimuli distributed through the whole organism."

To § 189:

In the three previous editions this clause is differently worded:

"It could not make its appearance at all without the consent of the whole of the rest of the health, and without the participation of all the rest of the sensitive and irritable parts of all the living organs of the whole body."

To § 194:

This and the next paragraph appear first in the fourth edition. The first three editions have the following paragraph, omitted in the later editions:

(1st edition:) "§ 164. This is so true, that every local remedy used alone and only externally, if it restores *health* (as it seldom does), is unable to do this unless it has at the same time a homœopathic curative influence on the internal morbid state, and it would have cured equally well had it been employed internally only and not at all externally.¹

¹ "Thus some eczemas are superficially driven off by the external application of cantharides, and other exanthemata by the application of mercurial

preparations; but they are not cured in such wise that general health follows, unless these external remedies were capable at the same time of removing the internal morbid state inseparable from the local affection, and unless by their topical application they had affected the whole organism with their curative power."

The second and third editions have a different note:

"The merely external remedies, however, act, as has been said, very seldom in such a salutary and perfect manner, and only under certain conditions difficult to be combined, which are something like the following: The remedy used externally must also be the only one that is useful when administered internally, the homœopathic remedy for the whole morbid state, applied in the most powerful form, in the most penetrating mode of application on a large surface of the skin in its most sensitive parts, or on places deprived of the epidermis. And yet, with all these united advantages, it is not clear if the radical cure of the whole, consequently also of the internal malady, will be or is perfectly attained by the external employment of the medicine. We can only be certain that such is the case if a long period of good health follows its use. It is therefore a dangerous risk to cover a large surface of the skin, still more if divested of its cuticle, with a very powerful medicine, for in that case we shall not be able properly to regulate and moderate the dose penetrating to the inner life, not to speak of the danger that the possibly unhomœopathic remedy may only burn away or dry up the disease, or may merely drive it off from the external parts in some other way, thus making the unremoved disease thereafter all the worse and still more difficult to cure. Thus it is easy to understand the advantages of the *only internal* treatment of a disease attended by a local morbid process by means of an internal homœopathic remedy in suitable dose, for then the simultaneous cure of the local affection affords the surest proof of the complete eradication of the whole disease."

To § 196:

The first edition has "It seems."

To § 196 (2nd ref.):

Instead of the remainder of the paragraph as we find it here, the first edition has—

"Seeing that the local malady generally strives to isolate itself, though it cannot do so completely in the living body, and that medicines are observed to produce a more rapid effect on the seat of their application than on more remote parts.¹

¹The injection of cherry-laurel water into the anus of animals shows its spasmodic action first in the lower extremities, later in the upper parts, and on the upper parts first when it is introduced into the stomach."

To § 198:

In the first three editions the substance of this paragraph is distributed through four sections, which need not be reproduced here, as they contain nothing of importance.

To § 201:

No paragraph corresponding to this is found in the first edition.

To § 201, note:

The last clause of this note, from "but," is found in the fifth edition only.

To § 203:

The treatment of local diseases, with which §§ 185—203 are occupied, take up many more paragraphs in the first three editions, but as the views expressed in them are not of much importance, and are generally superseded by those of the latter editions, there is no need to reproduce them. Some of the notes appended are, however, interesting. This is how they stand in the third edition:

"§ 214. In some chronic diseases this waking up of the rest of the symptoms after the removal of the local malady occurs so gradually that the aggravation is only observed after a considerable time.¹

"¹ The most striking example of this is furnished by the venereal disease. When the chancre becomes visibly developed several days after infection, this proves that the whole body was already entirely syphilitic before it appeared, otherwise it could not have occurred. Soon after infection and even before the chancre appears as a small vesicle, soon changing into a little open ulcer, with itching, pricking sensations, sensitive persons experience distinct signs of general indisposition, which (though less felt by some) are indications of the disease spreading through the whole organism. And even when the general symptoms are less apparent, the complete infection of the whole organism before the outbreak of the chancre is incontestably proved by this, that even excision of the chancre on its very first appearance does not diminish, let alone destroy, the total disease (Petit, in Fabre, *Lettres, supplément à son traité des maladies vénériennes*, Paris, 1786); the other venereal symptoms, the syphilis, breaks out sooner or later, proving that the chancre was not an independent local malady—as, indeed, there are few,—but only a distinct sign of the venereal disease already domiciled in the whole body.

"As long as the chancre remains on its seat, it remains the chief symptom, representing the greater part of the internal general venereal disease, and, as long as it is left undisturbed, it hinders more or less by its presence the other troublesome symptoms from breaking out. If not interfered with it abides, growing larger as time goes on, on the same spot if not driven off by local remedies, to the end of his life, even in the most robust individuals, demonstrating thereby the importance of the internal disease. If it had not an independent great internal disease for its foundation, of which it is the chief representative symptom, how easily would an ulcer, so small at first, be cured by the natural power!

"But were we to act as the ordinary physician does, and burn the chancre away, or apply to this local symptom a remedy that destroys and removes it locally, or the black oxyde of mercury, this local symptom representing the internal venereal disease would generally be annihilated on the spot, but to the great injury of the patient.

"The general condition not only remains just as syphilitic as when the chancre was present, but the internal general venereal disease, which, as its nature is, goes on gradually but constantly increasing, now replaces the

loss of the chancre (this chief symptom, which hitherto drew off, as it were, and mitigated the intensity of the internal malady) by developing the other hitherto latent symptoms, and by the production of new accidents which are much worse than the dispelled chancre. The sufferings incident to the general disease now burst forth soon (inguinal buboes) or late (often only after many months) as ulceration of the tonsils, eruption of papules or spots, flat, painless, smooth, round, cutaneous ulcers, rough growths on the uvula or on the alæ nasi; or show themselves as constant tickling cough with purulent expectoration, stiffness of the joints, nocturnally painful swellings of periosteum and bones, &c.

“All these symptoms of syphilis, which increase as time goes on, are however, never so distinct, persistent and constant as the driven-off chancre was. They readily disappear *for a time* by the administration of mercury internally, but either return occasionally or give place to other venereal symptoms of one kind or another; in short, we are never sure of the cure and complete eradication of the general disease. If after the local destruction of the chancre we give too little of the medicine or non-remedial preparations of it, the disease will not be annihilated, but will in time break out again. But if we give the mercurial remedy for a long time, in order to bring a large quantity gradually into the system (for quickly repeated, large doses of the ordinary acrid irritating preparations would, as is well known, destroy the strength too rapidly), we shall not attain our end, and in consequence of the unstable character of these symptoms we shall never know *if* and *when* the disease has been eradicated.

“But by the long-continued employment of such a powerful artificial morbid agent as mercury is (especially when the syphilis is complicated with the psoric dyscrasia) an insidious mercurial disease (compounded of the other symptoms, not of those homœopathically suited to the venereal disease)* is added to the old malady, and the two unite to form that sad combination commonly called *masked venereal disease*, which cannot be cured, either by mercury alone or by hepar sulphuris alone.†

“On the other hand, if the important local symptom, the chancre—the most permanent, unchanging of all venereal phenomena—remain undisturbed during the internal treatment, and be not treated topically, it heals up perfectly of itself‡ during the appropriate *only internal* use of the most powerfully antisyphilitic mercurial preparation, but never before the entire disease is as perfectly annihilated and cured by the internal remedy. If the chancre is healed and the part covered with sound skin *by internal treatment only*, so also is the entire disease eradicated, without the slightest doubt.§

“Of a similar character are the diseases which make their appearance after the enucleation of old steatomatous tumours, as Brüninghausen and

* These two parenthetical observations are not in the first edition.

† In the last clause the first edition has no “alone,” but adds, “but is aggravated by the one and the other.”

‡ The first edition says here that if the chancre is only partially burnt off, condylomata make their appearance in the place.

§ Almost the same views regarding syphilis are expressed by Hahnemann in his work on *Venereal Diseases* (1789, v. *Lesser Writings*), and in the first part of his *Chronic Diseases*.

especially Richter (*Anfangsgr. d. Wundarz.*, Gött., 1787, i Th., pp. 302—308) observed. The latter saw the removal of encysted tumours followed sometimes by the growth of fresh ones, sometimes by suppuration, paralysis and caries of bones. So also the diseases that *always* lie at the root of old ulcers of the legs, and when this serious local symptom is suppressed by a desiccating topical remedy, a general malady often dangerous to life develops itself. And so it is with an immense number of other diseases whose local symptoms should be cured only by treating the entire disease with internal homœopathic remedies, without the employment of any external remedy, if we desire to act in a radical manner and consonant with nature, namely, by the internal administration only of a medicinal agent corresponding in exact similarity to the totality of the symptoms, which, by the complete annihilation of the entire disease, naturally cures at the same time also its chief symptom, the so-called local malady. (It is sometimes advantageous to support the place where the old injury has been healed with mechanical and physical appliances, and to raise the tone of the weakened parts, *e. g.* by cold foot-baths, circular bandages, &c. The contact of a powerful mesmeriser seems to aid greatly in removing the remainder of a severe local malady—*vide* below, § 293.”

Another note runs as follows:

“The often very acute lamentable consequences of the merely local annihilation of many, especially old cases of scabies, ringworm, chronic tetter, ulcers of the legs, &c., many hundreds of instances of which are recorded in medical works, show clearly how great and important is the internal dyscrasia to which these local symptoms owe their origin when it is deprived of the local symptom that diverts upon itself the danger of the rest of the symptoms (by mercurial lead or zinc preparations sprinkled over them or applied in ointments) without our having previously cured the internal disease. Then the rest of the symptoms formerly latent (only perhaps occasionally appearing on the partial suppression of the local malady, *e. g.* by a chill), not easily detected except by a very acute observer, often appear *suddenly* in their true original form and intensity; spasmodic pains in the abdomen, bowels, womb, bladder, hitherto only rarely occurring, increase to a kind of painful hysteria—mental weakness, heretofore only occasionally noticed, increases to imbecility and insanity—a slight cough and rare attacks of dyspnoea break out into suffocating pulmonary ulceration or galloping consumption—a slight swelling of the feet passes rapidly into general dropsy—a rarely occurring weakness of sight and hardness of hearing turn, almost before we are aware of it, into amaurosis and deafness—a giddiness of infrequent occurrence changes to apoplexy—that is to say, these morbid states appear now in the form and intensity they originally possessed when they no longer have the local affection that mitigated their severity.

“Shallow-minded people who can only think of spiritual things as material, to be grasped with the hands as it were, and moved like a machine, imagine that the serious diseases following the destruction of the local affection are a recession of the morbid matter, or an absorption of it by the lymphatic vessels, whereby the disease *now first* develops and evolves itself in the interior. No! The internal disease was already there while the local symptom was still going on in the external parts (as an occasional outbreak of a moderate character, whereby the local malady was proportionally diminished,

shows), but it was restrained from bursting forth violently and dangerously. 'An apparently robust candidate for the ministry, who had to preach the following day, and on that account wished to get rid of a long-standing itch, rubbed himself all over with itch ointment, and he was seized with anxiety, dyspnoea and tenesmus immediately after a meal, and died in a few hours. The post-mortem examination showed the whole lung filled with liquid pus,' which could not possibly have been produced in these few hours, but must have been there previously, but hitherto kept subdued and rendered innocuous by the local symptom (the eruption spread over the skin). See *Unzer's Arzt*, ccc St., p. 508.

"On the other hand, the great persistency, often also the extreme painfulness of the local symptom, which frequently torments the patient for many years and grows bigger and becomes worse (*e. g.* the old leg ulcers of aged persons), shows how terrible and frightful the internal disease must be, for which it serves as a derivative alleviating substitute on the least dangerous part of the organism, the external parts, and is the cause of the frequent occurrence of rapid death, soon after the destruction of the local affection, under the treatment of the practitioners of ordinary medicine (*e. g.* by drying up ulcers of the legs by means of oxyde of zinc).

"Are the often dangerous acute or chronic diseases that appear after the removal of plica polonica anything else than the plica disease previously present, although hitherto latent, and seldom manifesting itself during the continuance of the local symptom? The former were only fully aroused when they were deprived of the palliative silences of the internal general disease, the vicarious local symptom, the plica polonica, that matting together of the hair changed from its roots into a sensitive abnormal organ. The same general disease precedes the outbreak of the plica, it becomes milder when the latter develops itself and transfers all its intensity and dangerous character to this local symptom. But however long it may be kept in abeyance by the undisturbed presence of this vicarious abnormal organ (the patients feel tolerably well as long as the plica is let alone) the internal disease wakes from the latent state, in which it has hitherto existed, with great violence, when robbed of this chief symptom that has served as its substitute to a great extent, when the plica closely attached to the head is cut off.

"How stupid, how criminal, is not, therefore, the procedure of ordinary physicians who regard the external malady as not belonging to, and as separated from, the rest of the body, as merely a disease of this particular part, and labour to remove from view this external affection by external remedies only, without curing the important internal disease from which it originates!"

In the following note the topical application of certain remedies is sanctioned:

"Different diseases demand different rules of treatment. For example, it is improper and unjustifiable to apply topical remedies to chancres, old or recent, which often have a great tendency to yield quickly to local remedies; and it is equally bad for the patient's future state to apply so-called discutient or desiccating remedies to venereal buboes and inguinal ulcers; it is only by the internal administration of the best mercurial preparation that the entire disease can be cured so thoroughly that, without the aid of external

remedies, the chancre and the inguinal ulcer are perfectly cured both together. It is not necessary in the case of old or recent scabies to employ sulphur externally in addition to giving the best antipsoric remedy internally.

“But in some kinds of not very extensive facial cancers arsenic has long been employed externally, sometimes with apparently good effect. This corrosive metallic oxyde laid on in substance sets up a severe local inflammation, whereby the ulcerated surface is destroyed. If the organism possesses much vital power it often quickly repairs the destroyed part by a good cicatrix. If now the internal general dyscrasia which lies at the root of the facial ulcer is cured at the same time by the internal administration of the appropriate homœopathic medicine (for otherwise the patient will remain ill and ailing in some other way there ensues a perfect cure of the entire disease, as in this case the arsenic gave the needful help to the local symptom.*

“The condylomatous disease which was so extensively spread during the French wars from 1809 to 1814, but has become rarer the last five or six years, is a product of the infection of the whole organism, chiefly by sexual intercourse. It usually causes a bad sort of urethral or preputial gonorrhœa; there sprout up growths most frequently behind the corona glandis, thereafter on the other parts of the genitals. These often exude moisture and bleed readily. They are oftenest found when the gonorrhœa is stopped by external desiccating things and by injections. But they sometimes, though more rarely, come on alone after infection, without previous gonorrhœa, in the form of dry warts on those parts. These growths are the local symptom of disease, just as the chancre is that of the syphilitic malady. These two diseases have nothing in common with one another in their essential nature, and yet the condylomatous disease, because it too is caused by infection during coition, was held to be identical with the venereal chancrous disease, and it was treated fruitlessly and injuriously by mercury; but as this metal was of no use, but rather aggravated the disease, recourse was had to the destruction of the condylomata by the most powerful means, by caustics, cautery, cutting and ligature, and then the disease was said to be cured. But no real amelioration was caused by this one-sided destruction of the local symptom—on the contrary, everything was made worse; the internal condylomatous dyscrasia burst out in aggravated form. Then either the fig-warts, as often happens, appear in greater numbers on the genitals, or they come out on the anus, in the axillæ, on the neck, on the scalp, but especially in the buccal cavity and on the lips, or there occur other serious affectious of the body (shortening of the tendons, &c.). But if we employ against this peculiar miasmatic dyscrasia the juice of *thuja*, which I first discovered to be homœopathic in this disease (v. *Mat. Med. Pura*, vol. ii, Engl. edit.) in a very small dose of the decillion-fold dilution internally, and as soon as this internal remedy has caused considerable amelioration, bathe the condylomata externally with the undiluted juice of *thuja*, we shall attain our object with all the greater certainty of perfect external and internal cure, for the mild juice of this homœopathic remedy applied simultaneously to the local symptom assists and completes the internal treatment, and we thereby avoid all improper local destruction of the fig-warts. This is almost the only chronic miasmatic

* Compare this with the note to § 205 of the fifth edit.

disease with local symptom, which when it has attained a great height permits of the employment of the homœopathic remedy also externally."*

To § 210:

The first edition makes two paragraphs of this. The mention of psora in this paragraph occurs first in the fourth edition.

To § 221:

This and the two following paragraphs appear first in the fourth edition.

To § 222, note:

This note first appears in the fifth edition.

To § 228:

This and the following paragraph constitute but one paragraph in the second and third editions. With the two following paragraphs they are merely subdivisions of a note to § 198 of the first edition very slightly altered.

To § 231:

This and § 232 appear first in the second edition.

To § 232:

§§ 232—244 have nothing corresponding to them in the first edition. The following only is to be found there:

"§ 199. All other diseases require for their cure no special directions. They all come under the eternal law of homœopathy, to which there is no exception."

To § 232, note:

From "when the new state" in this note to the end constitutes § 247 of the second and third editions, and is followed by three other paragraphs that are omitted from the fourth and fifth editions. They are—

"§ 248. In the treatment of these untypical alternating diseases our chief efforts should be directed to select, if possible, a remedy which corresponds to all these alternating states, contains almost all of them in its pathogenesis, and this remedy will then specifically and rapidly extinguish the entire disease at once.

"§ 249. Where, however, the morbid alternating states are, from their nature, perfectly opposite to one another (where, for example, periods of dull quiet melancholia alternate with periods of hilarious, wanton madness), in such a case the selected remedy can seldom correspond homœopathically to both states; for if its action is homœopathically suitable for the one state, it can only serve in a palliative (antipathic) manner for the opposite alternating state of the disease. But this is no

* The same combined internal and external treatment of sycosis is recommended in the last edition of the *Chronic Diseases*.

hindrance to the complete cure, as little as in disease that remains always the same (v. note to § 67, fifth edition), where the most characteristic and chief symptoms are covered by the remedy homœopathically, but the rest only antipathically (palliatively). Nevertheless perfect health ensues in both cases, especially when the medicine corresponds in similarity of symptoms (homœopathically) to the stronger of the two opposite alternating states (they always differ in strength); in that case the palliative action of the same remedy more than suffices for the opposite state.

“ § 250. In such cases the most appropriate dose of the carefully selected remedy is most suitably administered immediately after the cessation of the stronger alternating state,—that is to say, immediately at the commencement of that period of the disease to which the medicine only corresponds antipathically (palliatively). Seldom will a second dose of the same remedy be required, because the medicine, if it was suitable, will have removed the entire disease even before the expiry of its period of action; and if it was not suitable, it must not be continued longer, nor any further dose be administered, but some other medicine as suitable as possible for the altered morbid state then presenting itself (§ 167, fifth edition).”

To § 234:

This paragraph appears first in the fourth edition.

To § 235:

§§ 252, 253 of the second and third editions are here condensed into one.

To § 240:

This paragraph appears first in the fourth edition.

To § 241:

This and § 242 occur first in the fifth edition.

To § 243:

This and the next paragraph occur first in the fourth edition.

To § 245:

This forms two paragraphs in the first three editions.

To § 246:

§§ 226—248 take the place of §§ 202—207 of the first, §§ 260—266 of second and third, and §§ 241—247 of the fourth edition, for the reasons stated in note to § 246 of the fifth edition. Subjoined are these superseded paragraphs as they appear in the fourth edition, which differs here but slightly from the first. It will be seen from them how completely Hahnemann changed his practice in regard to the repetition of the medicine.

“§ 241. It is the more necessary to bear this in mind because we do not know with certainty the *precise* limits of the duration of the action on the healthy body of any medicine even when taken in large doses, still less in the small doses used in homœopathy in such diverse diseases¹ and in patients with such different constitutions.

¹ “From the most acute to the most chronic—because the duration of the action of a homœopathic dose of medicine conforms to the duration of the action of the disease in every case; hence in the most acute it is exhausted in a few hours, whereas the very same dose will need several weeks to complete its action in the most chronic diseases.

In the third edition the note runs as follows:

“Some medicines have almost exhausted their action, even in large doses, in twenty-four hours. This is the shortest duration of action of vegetable medicines known to me, and is met with in very few: (The duration of the action of cherry-laurel water and the naphthas may perhaps be shorter.) Other medicines complete their action only in several days, a few indeed in several weeks. The very small medicinal doses used in the homœopathic system naturally act for a shorter and much shorter time than the larger and largest. But this can only be ascertained from the effect observed in every particular case, but never hypothetically determined.*

“§ 242. As long, therefore, as the progressive improvement continues from the medicine last administered, so long we can take for granted does the duration of the action of the helpful medicine, in this case at least, continue, and hence all repetition of any dose of medicine is forbidden.

“§ 243. Besides this, if the medicine acted in a proper homœopathic manner, the improved state remains observable even after the expiry of its action. The good work is not immediately interrupted if no second dose of medicine is administered several hours—in chronic diseases even several days—after the cessation of the action of the former medicine. The part of the disease that has already been annihilated cannot be renewed, and the improvement will still be plainly noticeable for a considerable time, even without any fresh dose of medicine.

“§ 244. If the improvement from the first dose of the homœopathically appropriate medicine will not eventuate in health (which is seldom the case in acute diseases) a

* the last two clauses are not in the first edition.

period of pause in the improvement—usually the limit of the duration of action of the dose of medicine previously administered—will ensue, before the appearance of which it would be not only useless and irrational, but even improper and hurtful to give another dose of medicine.

“§ 245. Even one dose of the same medicine which has up to now proved so beneficial, *if repeated before the improvement has begun to stand still in every direction*, will—like an untimely interference—only aggravate the state: for the very first dose of the best selected medicine will, after the expiry of its period of action appropriate to the nature of the disease, have affected all the good, all the desired changes—the degree of health attainable by it up till now—the medicine is capable of at this time, and a fresh dose of it will alter this good state, consequently must make it worse by the production of its other unhomœopathic symptoms.—that is to say, create an unhomœopathic medicinal disease mixed up with the rest of the symptoms of the disease, consequently a kind of complicated and aggravated disease. In one word, we disturb the amelioration affected and still to be expected from the first dose, if we give a second dose of the same originally well-chosen remedy before the expiry of the period of action of the first; at all events, we thereby delay the recovery.

“§ 246. If this improvement, which has up till now only gone forward but not yet attained to perfect cure, now comes to a stop, we shall then, on careful investigation of what remains of the improved disease, discover some though perhaps small alteration in the group of symptoms, for which a fresh dose of the hitherto efficacious medicine is no longer homœopathically suitable, but some other will be more appropriate for these remaining symptoms.

“§ 247. If, then, the first dose of the best selected medicine has not been able to effect a perfect restoration of the health in the time during which its action lasts—which it can do in most cases of recently developed, new diseases—there is evidently nothing better to be done for the remaining though improved morbid state than to

administer a dose of another medicine most homœopathically adapted for the remainder of the symptoms.”

To § 246, note:

* This note, with the exception of the last paragraph, forms part of the preface by Hahnemann to Bönninghausen's *Rep. der Antipsor. Arz.*, 1833. The remainder of the preface is in the note to § 288. It is only found in the fifth edition.

Hahnemann's latest practice with respect to the administration and repetition of the medicine, is thus described in the preface to the third part of the second edition of his work on *Chronic Diseases*, published in 1837:

“Since I last addressed the public on the subject of our system of medicine, I have had opportunities of making observations, among other things, on the best possible mode of regulating the doses for the patients, and I here communicate what I have found to be the best plan in this respect.

“If a small globule of one of the highest dynamizations of a medicine laid dry on the tongue, or moderate olfaction in a phial containing one or several of such globules, show itself to be the smallest, weakest dose, of the shortest duration of action (though there are plenty of patients of such an excitable nature as to be affected thereby to a sufficient extent for the cure of slight acute diseases, for which the remedy has been homœopathically selected), we can easily understand that the incredible variety in patients as regards their excitability, their age, their mental and corporeal development, their vital force, and especially the nature of their disease (which in one case may be natural and simple and of recent origin, in another natural, simple but of long standing, in another complicated—the union of several miasms,—in another, and this is the commonest and worst case, ruined by wrong medicinal treatment and burdened with medicinal diseases) demands a great variety in their treatment, as also in the regulation of the doses of medicine needful for them.

“I must limit myself in this place to the latter subject only, as the others must be left to the accuracy, diligence and judgment of the practitioner who is competent and master of his art, and cannot be arranged in tables for the benefit of the incompetent or careless.

“Experience has taught me, as it has also, doubtless, the best of my followers, that it is more useful in diseases of any importance (the most acute not excepted, and all the more in the subacute, chronic and the most chronic) to give to the patient the powerful homœopathic medicinal globule or globules in solution only, and this solution in divided doses; for example, a solution formed with from seven to twenty table-spoonfuls of water, without any addition, given to the patient in acute and very acute diseases, every six, four or two hours, and when the danger is very great, even every hour or every half-hour, a table-spoonful at a time, or in the case of delicate persons and children only a small part of a table-spoonful (one or two teaspoonfuls).

“In chronic diseases I found it best to allow a dose (to wit, a spoonful) of such a solution of the appropriate medicine to be taken no seldomer than every two days, but more generally every day.

“But as water (even when distilled) begins to spoil after a few days, whereby also the power of the small quantity of medicine it contains is

destroyed, the addition of a small quantity of spirits of wine was requisite, or where this was impracticable or could not be borne, I allowed instead a few small bits of hard-wood charcoal to be put in the aqueous solution, whereby my object was accomplished; only in the latter case the fluid becomes after a few days of a blackish colour, from the shaking which is necessary before taking each dose, as will presently be seen.

“Before going farther I must make the important observation that our vital principle does not well admit of the same unaltered dose of medicine being given to the patient even twice, still less several times in succession. For then either the good effects of the former dose will be partly done away with, or there appear new symptoms and sufferings dependent on the medicine, and that were not formerly present in the disease, which obstruct the cure; in a word, the medicine, though it may have been chosen accurately homœopathic, acts awry, and attains the end in view either imperfectly or not at all. Hence the many contradictions of homœopaths among themselves in respect to the repetition of the dose.

“But if, for the repeated administration of one and the same medicine (which is *indispensable* in order to obtain the cure of a great chronic disease), the dose be each time changed and modified, although but slightly so, in its degree of dynamization, the vital force of the patient accepts quietly and as it were willingly the *same* medicine, even at short intervals, an incredible number of times, with the best result, and each time to the increased advantage of the patient.

“This small alteration of the degree of dynamization may be effected by shaking the phial in which is the solution of the single globule (or several of them) with five or six smart jerks of the arm before each time of taking it

“When the physician has allowed the several table-spoonfuls of such a solution to be taken successively in this manner (yet so that when the remedy has one day produced too powerful an action he lets the dose be omitted for a day), he then, if the medicine continues to show itself useful, takes one or two globules of the same medicine of a lower potency (*e. g.* if he have previously employed the thirtieth dilution he now uses one or two globules of the twenty-fourth), dissolves them in about the same number of table-spoonfuls of water by shaking the bottle, again adds a little spirits of wine or a few pieces of charcoal, and allows this solution to be used to the end in the same way or at longer intervals, and even somewhat less at a time, but each time only after shaking it five or six times, as long as the remedy continues to effect improvement and no new symptoms of the medicine (never experienced by other patients) appear, in which case another medicine must be employed. But if only the symptoms of the disease appear, but increase considerably under the continued and even moderated use of the medicine, then it is time to discontinue for one or two weeks or even longer, and we may expect to see striking improvement from it.¹

¹ “In the treatment of cases of acute disease the homœopathic physician goes to work in a similar manner. He dissolves one or two globules of the highly potentized well-selected medicine, in seven, ten or fifteen table-spoonfuls of water (without any addition) by shaking the bottle, and lets the

“After such a portion has been taken and the same medicine is still found to be necessary, if the physician wishes to prepare a fresh portion of the same degree of potency for the patient, it is requisite first to shake the new solution as many times as the number of succussions given to the former one amount to, and a few times more, before the patient takes the first dose of it; at the subsequent doses, however, only five or six times again.

“In this manner the homœopathic physician will obtain all the benefit from a well-selected medicine which could be expected for this chronic disease by giving it by the mouth.

“But if the diseased organism be acted upon by the physician with the same medicine at the same time on other sensitive parts besides the nerves of the mouth and alimentary canal—if, I say, the same medicine which is found salutary be at the same time rubbed in externally in an aqueous solution (even in but a small quantity) on one or more parts of the body which are most free from morbid affections (*e. g.*, on an arm or a leg or a thigh unaffected by any skin disease, pains or cramps), by this means, the salutary action will be *much* increased; the limbs to be rubbed in this manner may be, moreover, changed. In this way the physician gains much more advantage from the homœopathically suited medicine for the patient affected by a chronic disease, and can cure him much more rapidly than by merely administering it by the mouth.

“This mode of employing the medicine (that has been found useful internally), by rubbing it into the skin of the surface of the body, which has been very much tested by me and is uncommonly efficacious, and is attended by the most strikingly happy results, explains those rare miraculous cures in which patients *with a sound skin*, who had long been cripples, recovered rapidly and forever by bathing a few times in a mineral water the medicinal constituents of which were by accident homœopathically suited for their chronic disease.¹

patient, according as the disease is more or less acute, more or less dangerous, take a whole or half table-spoonful (or even less if it is a child), every half, whole, or every two, three, four or six hours (after well shaking the bottle each time). If the physician observe the occurrence of no new symptoms, he goes on with it at these intervals, until the symptoms at first present begin to increase; then he gives it more rarely and in smaller doses.

“In the cholera, as is well known, the suitable remedy must often be given at much shorter intervals.

“Children should get these solutions always only out of their ordinary drinking mugs; a table or tea-spoon for drinking with is something quite unusual and suspicious to them, and for that reason they reject this tasteless liquid. Some sugar, may, however, be added to it for them.

¹ “On the other hand, they were proportionately injurious to patients who suffered from ulcers and cutaneous eruptions, which, as happens from other external remedies, they repelled from the skin, whereupon, after a transient restoration of the patient’s vital force, the internal, uncured disease settled in another part of the body much more important for life and health, so that in place of these affections the crystalline lens grew opaque, the optic nerve became paralysed, the hearing was lost, pains of countless kinds tormented

“The limb to be subjected to friction for this purpose must, as has been said, be *free from cutaneous disease*; moreover, in order to permit the occurrence of some change and variety, if several limbs are free from cutaneous diseases, one limb after another, alternately, on different days (by preference on the days when no internal medicine is taken) should be rubbed by means of the hand with a small quantity of the medicinal solution, until it becomes dry. For this purpose also the bottle must previously have been shaken five or six times.

“But convenient though this mode of procedure be, and though it certainly expedite very much the cure of chronic diseases, I have yet often found that the larger quantity of spirits of wine, or the several small pieces of charcoal it was requisite to add to the watery solution in order to keep it sweet in warm weather, were always distasteful to some patients.

“I have, therefore, latterly found the following mode of operation preferable for scrupulous patients. From a mixture composed of about five table-spoonfuls of pure water and as many of French brandy—which should be kept in readiness in a stoppered bottle—two, three or four hundred drops (according as it is desired to have a stronger or weaker medicinal solution) should be dropped into a phial, which it should fill about half full, wherein the small medicinal powder or the globule or globules lie; this should be corked up and shaken until the medicine is dissolved. Of this, one, two, three or, if the irritability and vital powers of the patient seem to require it, a few drops more, should be dropped into a cup containing a table-spoonful of water, which should be briskly stirred and given to the patient and, where greater caution is requisite, the half of it only should be given, and a similar half-spoonful may very well be used for rubbing in, in the way described.

“On the days when the latter only is employed, the small drop-phial must be each time shaken strongly five or six times, just as when the medicine is used internally, and the medicinal drop or drops, together with the table-spoonful of water, should likewise be well stirred up in the cup.

“It is better, instead of a cup, to use a phial containing a table-spoonful of water, and to drop the required number of drops of medicine into it; it is then in like manner to be shaken five or six times, and the whole or half of it drunk.

“In the treatment of chronic diseases it is often more useful that the ingestion, and also the rubbing in, should be done in the evening shortly before going to bed, for then there is less chance of any disturbance from without than when it is performed in the morning.

“As long as I gave the medicines undivided, each all at once in a little water, I found that potentizing the dilution bottles with ten succussions acted too strongly (their medicinal powers became too highly developed), and hence I advised but two shakes to be given. But for the last few years, since I can now distribute each dose of medicine, but in an indestructible

the patient, his intellectual organs suffered, his spirits became affected, spasmodic asthma threatened to suffocate him, a fit of apoplexy carried him off, or some other dangerous or intolerable ailment appeared in their stead. Hence the rubbing in of the homœopathic internal medicine should never be employed on spots that are affected by an external disease.”

solution, over fifteen, twenty, thirty days, and even a longer period, no potentizing of the dilution phials is too strong for me, and I again prepare each with ten jerks of the arm. I must, therefore, herewith retract what I said three years since in the first part of this work, p. 186.

"In cases where, along with extreme weakness, there was great irritability on the part of the patient, and only smelling at a phial in which were a few small globules of the required medicine was admissible, when it was necessary to continue the medicine for several days, I let the patient smell daily in a different phial containing globules of the same medicine, but every time in a lower degree of potency, once or twice with each nostril, according as I wished to make a smaller or greater impression."

The note to § 246 is remarkable as containing Hahnemann's direction to give all medicines in the thirtieth dilution in both chronic and acute diseases. This potency he elsewhere informs us he adopted for the sake of uniformity. Previous to recommending this uniform dose, Hahnemann had advised different doses for different medicines according as they varied from one another in power and energy. The variations in Hahnemann's posology at different periods of his life may be seen in an article by Dr. Hughes in the 36th vol. of the *Brit. Journ. of Hom.*, p. 113. But that Hahnemann did not stick to the thirtieth dilution as the proper dose in all cases is evident from various passages in his writings. Thus, in the last edition of the *Chronic Diseases*, he directs that when a medicine requires to be repeated it should invariably be given in a lower dilution. He likewise says in the same work that *nitric acid* should be given for condylomata in the sixth dilution, *Thuja* in the thirtieth, twenty-fourth, eighteenth, twelfth and sixth dilutions, *petroselinum* in drop doses of the fresh juice. In 1836 he writes to Dr. C. Hering (*Hom. World*, xxvi, 77) to send him the third trituration of *lachesis* and *crotalus*. In 1841 he begs Dr. Lehmann, of Coethen, who prepared all his medicines, to send him the third trituration of some medicines, a list of which he encloses (see facsimile letter, *Lesser Writings*). On the other hand, he seems also to have occasionally given medicines in higher dilutions (v. § 287, third note). In short, Hahnemann's practice shows great variations at different periods of his life in respect of the doses he gave. Generally, where he had previously used lower, he subsequently gave higher dilutions, but that was not always so, for he says in the first edition of the *Chronic Diseases* that though he formerly gave the sixth attenuation of *stannum* he now finds the third trituration the best dose; and it is noteworthy that in the last edition of the *Materia Medica Pura*, published in 1833, he gives as specimens and models of homœopathic practice two cases which had already appeared in the first edition, published in 1816, where the doses given were respectively the pure juice of *bryonia* and twelfth dilution of *pulsatilla*.

To § 249:

The corresponding paragraph in previous editions differs considerably from this. This is how it runs in the fourth edition:

"§ 248. Only when, before the expiry of the period of action of a dose of medicine, the state of an urgent disease has, on the whole, not improved, but rather become aggravated—though may be only slightly—by new symp-

toms; when, consequently the medicine has not been selected homœopathically corresponding to the case in its characteristic effects, a dose of a medicine more precisely suited for the morbid state now present must be given, even before the expiration of the period of action of the medicine last administered."

To § 250:

The previous editions have after this paragraph the following, with slight variations in each edition:

Fourth edition.—"§ 250. Even in chronic diseases it is very seldom or never the case that nothing better can be done, especially at first, than to prescribe the same medicine twice in succession, even after the expiration of the period of action of the first dose; for even when it has done good the improvement effected by it must go on for some time, and usually there is no indication for the repetition of the same medicine, because what cannot be improved by the first dose, a second or larger dose is equally unable to cure."

In the first three editions we find the following:

Third edition.—"§ 270. When, therefore, a thoroughly suitable specific (homœopathic) remedy cannot at once be found on account of the deficiency of medicines whose pure effects have been ascertained, there will usually be one or two next best medicines for the characteristic original symptoms of the disease, one or other of which—according to the morbid state in each case—may be useful as an intercurrent remedy, so that its administration in alternation with the chief medicine promotes the recovery much more palpably than giving *only* the chief medicine, most, though still imperfectly, suited amongst all those we possess, two or three times in succession.

"§ 271. But should it be found that the most beneficial procedure is to give solely and alone the best adapted homœopathic chief medicine in uninterrupted succession (in which rare case it must correspond very closely to the chronic disease), experience will teach us that then a smaller dose ought to be given every time, after the expiry of the period of action of the preceding dose, in

order not to disturb the improvement (seeing that the medicine becomes ever less and less necessary), but to conduct the case to the desired end in the most direct and natural way.

“§ 272. Therefore, as soon as the chronic disease has for the time yielded to a thoroughly suitable homœopathic remedy, *i. e.*, specific or nearly specific for this case, if the disease is a very chronic one (ten, fifteen or twenty years old), a dose of the chief remedy must be given for three or six months, at ever longer and longer intervals, at last at intervals of several weeks (the intervals being varied according to circumstances), but always in smaller and smaller doses until all tendency of the organism to the chronic dyscrasia has completely disappeared and is extinguished; the neglect of this provision leaves the best treatment incomplete and in ill-repute.”

The following is in the first four editions:

“§ 273. The careful observer discovers the time for the repetition of the dose by the appearance of some slight traces of one or other original symptom of the old malady.”

To § 251:

This is the expansion of a note in the fourth edition.

To § 252:

In the first three editions the first part of this paragraph runs thus:

“But should we find that such ever-decreasing doses do not suffice to attain the desired end, and that the patient must continue to have equally large or even larger and frequently repeated doses of the still indicated homœopathic remedy in order to avoid a relapse, this is a *sure sign*,” &c.

To § 253, note 2:

Hahnemann generally uses the terms “low” and “lowest” when he talks of increased degrees of “dilution or attenuation,” but the corresponding “potencies” he calls “high and highest.”

To § 255:

This and the following paragraph are an expansion of one paragraph (§ 218) of the first edition.

To § 256:

The following paragraphs appear in the first three editions:

Third edition—"§ 279. As some primary-action symptoms of medicines on the healthy human body appear several days later than others, the corresponding symptoms in diseases cannot disappear sooner than at this period of the treatment, even though the other symptoms have yielded to the medicine; which is not surprising.¹

¹ For example, mercury, which exhibits its tendency to develop round ulcers with elevated, inflamed, painful borders only after several days, in some persons only after several weeks, can, on that account, when administered internally in the venereal disease, cure the chancre only after the lapse of several days.

"§ 280. If we have the choice, we should prefer for the cure of chronic diseases medicines of longer duration of action; on the other hand, for the cure of more rapid acute diseases—that is to say, such diseases as have a tendency to change their state frequently—medicines of short duration of action."

To § 257:

In the first edition "rational" is generally used in place of "true."

To § 263:

The last two clauses in this paragraph appear in the fourth edition.

To § 266:

This paragraph and note appear first in the second edition.

To § 268:

All previous editions have the following:

Fourth edition.—"§ 269. As every medicine acts most precisely and effectually in solution, the sensible practitioner will give in solution¹ all medicines which do not require to be administered in the form of powder.² All other forms in which they have hitherto been used (pills, electuaries, &c.) are to be rejected because the action of the medicine on the living fiber is thereby rendered uncertain and determinate.³

¹ Metallic salts dissolved in a large quantity of water soon decompose and spoil; their dilutions for homœopathic employment cannot therefore be made with water—which, moreover, is not suitable for dropping. Many metallic salts cannot be dissolved immediately in spirits of wine, but if dissolved in 100 parts of water without being precipitated, they may be further diluted with alcohol to as great an extent as the homœopathic practitioner requires. We can proceed thus with all of them as described in the preface to the pathogenesis of arsenic in the first volume of my *Materia Medica Pura*.

Sugar of lead alone becomes decomposed when a very little of its ever so diluted watery solution is dropped into spirits of wine, and gradually falls to the bottom of the vessel as carbonate of lead. All these difficulties are got rid of in the following manner:—In the second part of my work on *Chronic Diseases* I have described the best way of preparing the antipsoric medicines, also those made from dry substances, in order to obtain them all in the simplest and most uniform manner in fluid form with retention of all their medicinal powers for homœopathic use, in the decillionfold dilution and potency. The non-antipsoric medicines are also best prepared in the same manner. We then have no need of metallic salts for medicines, for their acids cause an alteration in the peculiar powers of the metals. The metals in their pure form can thus be brought into alcoholic solution, as also the metallic oxydes, all inflammable substances, such as petroleum, phosphorus, sulphur, vegetable, animal and mineral charcoal (graphites), all resins and gum-resins, also vegetable powders, all kinds of flour, &c.—in short, every medicinal substance without the addition of anything that could diminish or alter their medicinal power. Medicines that are only obtainable by chemical art the practitioner should either prepare himself or have them made in his presence.*

* For instance, hepar sulphuris calcareum

† Also the acid or basic constituents, extracted and isolated in recent times by complicated laborious processes from some vegetable drugs (morphine, strychnine, quinine, &c.), are present in the simple alcoholic tinctures, and it is not necessary for the patient's use to separate them by such elaborate methods unless we desire to have them in such a concentrated form as to enable us to despatch men and beasts quickly with them—an achievement the direct opposite of what is desired by the honest, careful physician."

To § 269:

This and the two following paragraphs appear first in the fifth edition. § 269 teaches the theory of dynamization by trituration and succussion for the first time in the *Organon*, though the idea was already hinted at in 1829 in the concluding clause of the second note to § 278 of the fourth edition (note to § 280 of the fifth edition). It was more explicitly stated in 1826 in the note to *Thuja (Mat. Med. Pura, ii, 649)*, which runs as follows:—"The discovery that crude medicinal substances (dry and fluid) unfold their medicinal power ever more and more by trituration or succussion with non-medicinal things, and in greater degree the further, the longer and the stronger this trituration or succussion is carried on, so that all their material substance seems gradually to be dissolved and resolved into pure medicinal spirit;—this discovery, unheard of till made by me, is of unspeakable value;" and it was elaborated, in 1827, in the short essay entitled "How can small doses," &c. (in the second volume of the English edition of the *Mat. Med. Pura*), where it is stated that "it deserves incontestably to be reckoned among the greatest discoveries of this age."

To § 270, note:

Hahnemann's latest recorded notions respecting dynamization, as we find them in the second edition of his *Chronic Diseases*, part 5, merit insertion in this place, and it will be seen that in his later years he modified considerably

* In the first edition the corresponding note contains only a small portion of this note; the last clause forms a separate aphorism (§ 233).

the opinion he has, in various places throughout the *Organon*, expressed on the subject.

“Actual *dilutions*,” he says, “are almost wholly confined to sapid and coloured objects. A solution of salt or bitter substances becomes always more tasteless the more water is mixed with it, and at length loses almost all taste, though we may shake it as much as we please; and in like manner a solution of a coloured substance becomes, by the admixture of more and more water, at last almost quite colourless, and gains no increase of colour by any imaginable shaking.

“These are and continue to be true attenuations or dilutions, but not dynamizations.

“Homœopathic *dynamizations* are real awakenings of the medicinal properties that lie dormant in natural bodies during their crude state, which then become capable of acting in almost a spiritual manner upon our life—that is to say, on our percipient (sensitive) and excitable (irritable) fibres. These developments of properties (*dynamizations*) in crude medicinal substances, which were unknown before me, are accomplished, as I first taught, by the trituration of dry substances in a mortar, but in the case of liquid substances by succussion, which is nothing less than a trituration of them. These preparations, therefore, cannot have the term ‘dilutions’ applied to them, although every preparation of the sort, in order to potentize it higher—that is to say, in order to awaken and develop still farther the medicinal properties that still lie latent in it—must first be again yet more attenuated to allow the trituration or succussion to penetrate more deeply into the essential nature of the medicinal substance, and thus to liberate and bring to light the more subtle part of the medicinal power that lies still deeper, which were impossible to be effected by the greatest amount of trituration and succussion of substances in a concentrated state.*

“We frequently read in homœopathic writings that some one or other found no effect from this or that high (dilution) dynamization of a medicine in a certain case of disease, but that a lower one rendered the desired service—whilst others saw more success attending higher ones. But the cause of the great difference in the results is not investigated. What is to prevent the preparer of homœopathic medicines (this should always be the homœopathic practitioner himself; the weapons he uses against diseases he should himself forge, he should himself whet)—what is to prevent him, in order that he may obtain powerful dynamization, in place of giving a few slovenly shakes (whereby little more than dilutions are produced, which they ought not to be), giving, for the preparation of each potency, to every phial which contains one drop of the lower potency to ninety-nine drops of alcohol, ten, twenty, fifty and even more strong succussions, performed against some hard elastic body?

“The perfection of our, the only healing art, and the weal of the patients appear well to deserve that the physician take the requisite pains to procure for his medicines the proper, the greatest possible efficacy.

“Thus we obtain, even in the fiftieth potency (the new wiseacres have hitherto ridiculed the thirtieth potency, and made use of the lower, little deve-

* Compare this with the last clause of the note to § 270.

loped, more massive medicinal preparations in large doses, whereby, however, they were not able to effect what our system can do), each lower one of which has been dynamized with an equal number of succussions, medicines of the most penetrating efficacy, so that each of the minutest globules impregnated with it, dissolved in much water, can be taken in small portions, and must be so taken in order not to produce too violent effects in sensitive patients, not to mention that such a mode of preparation develops *almost all* the properties that lie hid in the essential nature of the medicinal substance, which thereby alone can attain any activity.—Paris, 19th December, 1838."

Hahnemann's directions for the preparation of his diluted medicines, though they varied from time to time as regards the number of succussions to be given to each dilution, and as to the employment of trituration for the so-called lower dilutions, never varied in respect of the diluting medium, which for the triturations is always sugar of milk, and for the fluid attenuations always alcohol. He also always directs each dilution to be made in a separate phial, and succussions to be given to each. In fact, he tells us that the development of power by means of the trituration of dry and the succussion of fluid medicines "deserves incontestably to be reckoned *among the greatest* discoveries of this age" (*Mat. Med. Pura*, ii, 44). The mode of preparing the dilutions generally adopted by the makers of so-called "high potencies" discards all succussion, employs but one phial for all dilutions, and uses in place of alcohol the ordinary drinking water of the place where they are made, though Hahnemann expressly denounces the employment of water as the diluting vehicle for his preparations (*loc. cit.*).

To § 271:

Chr. Dis., 2nd edit., art. *Sulphur*. Here Hahnemann says that though he formerly considered the potentized tinct. sulph. sufficient, he has now found that it is greatly inferior to the preparation made by triturating the sulphur up to the third, and thereafter treating it with alcohol. In the tinct. sulph. he says the alcohol seems only to extract a particular part of the sulphur, but not all its constituents,—a notion at variance with the modern doctrine that sulphur is an elementary substance.

To § 271, note:

The medicines alluded to are *Arsenic* and *Pulsatilla*. Detailed directions for the preparation of his dilutions are also given in the second edition of the *Chronic Diseases*, pt. 1. In this latter work Hahnemann recommends that all medicines, the juices of plants as well as the earths, metals, salts, and dry woods or barks, should be prepared by trituration up to the third attenuation, then by dilution through twenty-seven phials, first with equal parts of water and spirits of wine, then with spirits of wine only.

To § 272—274:

At the suggestion of Dr. Aegidi, Hahnemann was induced to try the effect in diseases, especially chronic ones, of mixing two highly diluted medicines and giving them in one dose. He was at first greatly pleased with the results obtained, and intended to recommend this plan in the fifth edition of the *Organon*, but was dissuaded from this by some of the most influential of his disciples; instead of doing so he merely alludes to the proposal, mildly denouncing it in the note to § 272.

To § 275:

This paragraph is followed in the first edition by the subjoined, which in subsequent editions are replaced by the next three paragraphs:

“§ 238. For if this greater alteration in the organism, caused by the excessive quantity of the medicine, be too violent, owing to the dose selected being stronger than necessary, though it may be very similar to the original disease, besides the increased intensity of the homœopathic aggravation (§ 157, fifth edition), there ensues, at the very least, an unnecessary weakening after the expiry of the period of action of the medicament, and, if the dose was very excessive, there occur, in addition to the increased primary medicinal symptoms, some symptoms of its secondary action, a kind of medicinal after-disease opposite in character to the first.

“§ 239. Now, moreover, as scarcely any medicine can be selected so perfectly homœopathic that it shall correspond with mathematical accuracy and completeness in every point to the totality of the disease symptoms (§ 156, fifth edition), the new symptoms which, when the dose was suitably small, were inconsiderable, are increased to serious ailments of many kinds if the quantity of medicine given was excessively large.

“§ 240. For these and other reasons the rational practitioner (who always adopts the best method in his practice *because it is the best*, and does not suffer himself to be deterred from doing so by the dictates of blind custom) will select the remedy that is suitable for the disease in such a well-adapted dose that it shall hardly be able to excite a semblance of aggravation of the disease, that is to say, shall hardly be able to dominate in the slightest degree as a counter-disease force over the disease to be cured.

“§ 241. This apparent aggravation and increase of the disease under treatment by the homœopathic remedy should be scarcely perceptible, and then only in the first two or three hours after its ingestion.

“§ 242. One of the chief maxims of the homœopathic medical system is the following: *The counter-disease force*

(the remedy), *chosen as appropriate as possible for the cure of the natural disease, should be made only strong enough to effect our purpose, and should not injure the body in the least by unnecessary strength.*

“§ 243. Now, as the smallest quantity of medicine naturally deranges the organism least, we should choose the very smallest doses, provided always they are a match for the disease.”

To § 279:

The corresponding aphorism in the first edition says with regard to the size of the dose (§ 244)—

“Hardly any dose of the homœopathically selected remedy can be so small that it shall not be stronger than the natural disease, and that it shall not be able to overcome it.

In the second and subsequent editions this aphorism is the same as we see in the fifth edition, and differs from the first edition in that it makes the diminution of the dose to be limited by its power of causing a primary aggravation of the patient's symptoms.

In the first edition only this aphorism is followed by the subjoined:

“§ 245. In diseases the sensitiveness of the organism to medicines, especially those employed homœopathically, is immensely increased. Of this the ordinary observer has no conception; it is only known to the careful observer. It is beyond all belief when the disease has attained a great height.¹

“¹ A patient struck down with typhus, insensible and comatose, with burning hot skin bathed in perspiration, with stertorous respiration coming from his open mouth in a jerky interrupted manner, &c. is restored to consciousness and in a few hours to health by the smallest dose of *opium*, even if it be a million times smaller than ever was administered by any physician in the world. The sensitiveness of the diseased or ailing body attains such a height in many cases that it is acted on and excited by external forces, the existence of which is often denied because they display no *obvious* action on healthy robust bodies and in many diseases for which they are not suitable. Such a force is *animal magnetism*, that peculiar power that one living body exercises over another by certain modes of touching or nearly touching, which produces wonderful excitation in weak, delicate and sensitive persons of both sexes. How inconceivably small the still always material doses of homœopathic medicine may therefore be made in order to develop astonishing excitation in the extremely sensitive diseased body !”

The substance of this aphorism and its note is found in the *Med. of Exp.*, p. 528.

To § 280:

The following note occurs here in the fourth edition:

"My labours in this matter, recorded in the prefaces to the medicines in the *Materia Medica Pura*, have anticipated the wants of homœopathic physicians, and saved them the trouble of instituting thousands of trials on themselves by indicating for some medicines the dilution required for homœopathic practice; though since then I have been constrained by more recent experience to carry the dilution of most of the medicines still lower, in order to attain nearer to perfection in this incomparable healing art, as will be found in the introduction to the second part of my book on *Chronic Diseases*."

This was of course written before Hahnemann had definitely fixed on the thirtieth dilution as the most suitable dose for all medicines and all diseases. In the places indicated in the earlier editions of the *Mat. Med. Pura* he endeavours to fix the proper dilution for many of the medicines which varies from the crude substance to the thirtieth dilution.

To § 281:

This paragraph is followed in the first edition by the subjoined note:

"Let not the often enormous doses of medicines given in ordinary practice be urged against this truth. These medicines have seldom any homœopathic relation to the disease (when that is the case the medicines are much more efficacious in altering the health than when they are given on other principles). Moreover they are always given either in combination with other strong drugs, or other violently acting medicines are given besides or between the doses of the first. Mixed up in this way each medicine can no longer exercise its peculiar action, but is altered by the action of the second, third, or fourth ingredient. The powers of the several medicines in a mixture virtually neutralise one another to a great extent, so that they can often be taken without producing any great effect. A single one of these very powerful ingredients, if it be genuine and in full possession of its powers, is given *alone* in the same dose would often cause death—a dreadful possibility, which seems unconsciously and as if instinctively to have led physicians to render the medicines of whose positive action they are ignorant less dangerous by mixing a number of them together in one prescription. (This proceeding seems to be vaguely indicated by the expression '*corrigenia*!') In this respect it may be almost termed a piece of good luck that in ordinary practice many medicines, especially the extracts, become almost absolutely powerless by the mode of preparing them hitherto in vogue."

To § 282:

There is nothing corresponding to this aphorism in the first edition.

To § 283:

The corresponding paragraph in the first edition has the following note:

"When I speak of the smallest possible dose in the homœopathic system of medicine, I cannot set forth in tabular form the weights and measures of the medicines, for the reason that the medicines differ so much in power. I may only remark that in accordance with the degree of culture of their minds people have very different standards of measurement for estimating the great and the small; that to many the number of miles in the circumference of our little earth seems to be something enormous, and that one must not mention before them the quadrillion and quintillion earth-cirum-

ferences that separate the innumerable suns in the universe from one another. Persons of such limited intelligence are to be met with who can appreciate nothing that they cannot grasp with their hands, and who estimate things not according to their real inherent power, but by their coarse commercial weight. The smallest weight of medicine they will hear of must be not less than a grain; a tenth of a grain is for them an unconsidered trifle. How can we suppose that these people, with their restricted standards of measurement, can have a conception of the necessary division and diminution of the medicinal doses for homœopathic purposes into smallest fractions of grains? It were vain to expect it! Their limited intellect turns giddy at numbers and divisions that do not come within the sphere of their practice, are never even thought of by them. And yet it is only too true that in the infinity of creation all that we weak mortals think large—very large—is far from being large, and all that we imagine to be the smallest possible is far from being so. Dissect, if you can, the component parts of the organs of the infusorial animalcule, and you have hardly got down to the commencement of the things which in creation are to be called small. And what *power* resides in each of the countless organs which shorten and elongate the body of the animalcule, and enable it to dart quickly about in fluids, besides the unknown ways in which it contributes to the vital operations, the purposes the pleasures and the reproductive work of the minute organism! What immeasurably great energy resides in these parts which our limited faculties deem so small! Short-sighted man! how can you assign limits to the marvellous, almost spiritual power of medicines? How with your coarse mechanical scales can you determine the exact weight at which they will cease to have any effect?

“The doctrine of the *divisibility* of matter teaches us that we cannot make a part so small that it shall cease to be *something*, and that it shall not share *all* the properties of the whole. If, now, the smallest possible part is powerful enough for the purpose for which you require it, would you employ a greater quantity than you require, in order not to run counter to traditional custom, and out of deference to the prejudices of those whose standard of measurement is imperfect? And what is the use of larger doses of medicines if the smallest possible quantities given on the homœopathic principle suffice for the cure of diseases in the most rapid and permanent manner? And why should there be doubts about the powerfulness of such small but still material doses of homœopathic remedies, though their calculated weight is extremely small, since some of the most powerful counter-disease forces are quite imponderable, and yet have a great influence on the health of man? Who is ignorant of the medicinal powers of cold and heat? Who does not know the power of electricity and galvanism? Who will deny the heroic, often too great power of animal magnetism in altering man's health? And what can surpass the counter-disease force which the magnet, according to the testimony of many acute and honest observers, has clearly manifested in a great number of diseases?—the magnet, whose imponderable matter constantly streaming out from it is imperceptible to our senses, and yet has a great influence on the health of even the most robust man, as any one can convince himself if he lets any part of his body come in contact for one hour with the north pole of a large magnet-

capable of lifting ten or twelve times its own weight, as experiments of trust, worthy observers on healthy persons have taught us (*v. Andry and Thouret, Beob. u. Unters. üb. d. Gebr. d. Magn.*, Leipzig, 1785, p. 155)."

This is followed by the subjoined paragraphs which appear in the first edition only:

"§ 248. The fact that usually not much more than a single dose of a certain homœopathically selected medicine overpowers and annihilates the morbid state for which it is appropriate, and that every superfluously stronger dose affects the body more than is necessary, explains the important, universally valid observation that dividing the dose (giving it at several intervals) has a much more powerful effect than the whole dose administered all at once.

"§ 249. Eight drops of the tincture of any medicine in one dose have four times less effect than these eight drops given in doses of one drop at eight different times every hour or every two hours.

"§ 250. If we employ dilution also (whereby the dose gains a greater capacity for expansion) we may easily produce an excessive effect; but the effect will be slightly different, whether the mixture with the diluting fluid is merely superficial, or so uniform and intimate that the smallest part of the fluid has become permeated with a proportionate part of the dissolved medicine. The former will be much less powerful than the latter.

"§ 251. Thus a single drop of the tincture *intimately* mixed by *vigorous* shaking with a pound of water, and two ounces of it given every two hours, will have four times as much effect as eight drops given at once.

"§ 252. From the last-mentioned maxim of experience—that the power of the fluid medicine is considerably increased by its intimate mixture with a larger volume of fluid—it follows undeniably, that in order to make the dose of the homœopathic remedy as small as possible, and as is requisite, it must be given in the smallest possible volume, so as to come in contact with as few nerves as possible when it is ingested.¹

¹ Hence the uselessness and impropriety of drinking after taking the dose which has been purposely made so small."

To § 284:

Now "highest."

To § 287, first note:

And in the first part of the second edition of the *Chronic Diseases*.

To § 287, second note:

This allusion to the employment of dilutions beyond the thirtieth occurs first in the fifth edition. In the earlier parts of the second edition of the *Chronic Diseases* nothing is said about dilutions beyond the thirtieth, which is recommended as the normal dose except when the medicine is repeated, when less diluted preparations are advised. Thus after the thirtieth, then the twenty-fourth, then the eighteenth, then the twelfth, sixth, and so on. But in the preface to the third part of the *Chronic Diseases*, published in 1839, there is an approving allusion to the fiftieth dilution. (See the concluding paragraph of preface given above, p. 296.) In this passage Hahnemann departs from the caution he gave in his letter to Dr. Schreter, of the 13th September, 1829 (see *Brit. Journ. of Hom.*, v, p. 398): "I do not approve of your potentizing the medicines higher (as, for instance, up to thirty-six and sixty). There must be some limit to the thing; it cannot go on to infinity. By laying it down as a rule that all homœopathic remedies be diluted and potentized up to thirty, we have a uniform mode of procedure in the treatment of all homœopaths, and when they describe a cure we can repeat it, as they and we operate with the same tools."

Again, in 1832, when commenting on von Korsakoff's new-fangled mode of attenuating drugs, Hahnemann says, "I must say that these procedures chiefly seem to show how high one can go with the potentized attenuation of medicines without their action on the human health becoming *nil*. For this these experiments are of inestimable value; but, for the homœopathic treatment of patients, it is expedient in the preparation of all kinds of medicines to remain stationary at the decillionfold attenuation and potency, in order that homœopathic practitioners may be able to promise themselves uniform results in their cures" (*Arch.*, xi, 2, 99).

To § 288, note:

This note forms part of the preface by Hahnemann to Bönninghausen's *Rep. d. Antipsor. Arzn.* The first part of the preface is given in the note to § 246.

To § 292:

The first edition concludes with a note relative to the employment of other than homœopathic remedies.

"Besides the homœopathic method, the rational physician will very seldom have occasion to employ that revolutionary plan of evacuations upwards and downwards, except when quite indigestible or foreign or hurtful substances have been taken into the stomach or bowels. Sometimes the employment of some undynamic remedies is needful. Such as fatty matters, which mechanically or physically loosen the connection and compactness of the fibres; tannin, which solidifies the living fibres almost as much as it does the dead fibres; charcoal, which diminishes the bad smell of unhealthy parts in the living body, just as it destroys that of dead things; chalk, alkalies, soap and sulphur, which can chemically decompose, neutralize and render innocuous the corrosive acids and metallic salts in or on the human body;

acids and alkalis which are able to dissolve different kinds of urinary calculi in the bladder; the physically destructive red-hot iron, chemical escharotics of various sorts, &c. The reducing but rarely rationally employable blood-letting, leeches, &c., need not be dwelt upon here."

To § 292, note:

This note terminates here in the three previous editions.


To § 293:

The last two aphorisms are also given in the third edition, and this constitutes the chief and, with the exception of the double § 108 and § 167, almost the only difference between it and the second edition.


To § 293, note:

This note ends here in the fourth edition.









LANE MEDICAL LIBRARY
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
MEDICAL CENTER
STANFORD, CALIF. 94305

